



Ca' Foscari  
University  
of Venice

Department of Philosophy  
and Cultural Heritage



European Research Council

Established by the European Commission

**FARE**  
RICERCA IN ITALIA

FRAMEWORK PER L'ATTRAZIONE E IL RAFFORZAMENTO  
DELLE ECCELLENZE PER LA RICERCA IN ITALIA

**June 14, 2022**

Aula Morelli, Malcanton-  
Marcorà h. 4 p.m.

**Organizers:**

**Rodolfo Garau**, Ca' Foscari University of  
Venice, Department of Philosophy and  
Cultural Heritage

**Pietro Daniel Omodeo**, Ca' Foscari  
University of Venice, Department of  
Philosophy and Cultural Heritage

*Max Planck Partner Group "The Water City"  
(Max Planck Society / MPI for the History of  
Science - Berlin)*

*ERC "EarlyModernCosmology" (Horizon  
2020, GA 725883)*

*Project "EarlyGeoPraxis" (FARE, Italian  
Ministry of University and Research)*

A registration is required. Access requires a  
valid covid19 Green Pass Certificate (no swab).

**For informations and registration, please contact**  
Rodolfo Garau [rodolfo.garau@unive.it](mailto:rodolfo.garau@unive.it)

Image: Die Montagehalle der Maschinenfabrik Escher Wyss in  
Zürich in der Neumühle, 1875 / Wikimedia commons



Guest hosted by the ERC  
EarlyModernCosmology GA 725883  
and the FARE EarlyGeoPraxis  
project ID R184WNSTWH

## **Does a Critique of Ideology need a concept of truth? Lessons from the early 20th century**

**Christoph Henning**

Max-Weber-Kolleg der Universität Erfurt

When Marx articulated his critique of the epistemic distortions in capitalism (by alienation and ideology, e.g.), his own epistemic "standpoint" was not one of the perspectives of the groups or classes affected, but the standpoint of science which could integrate and explain those various perspectives (and should be adopted by the workers' movement). However, in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, when the ideology critique was radicalized, science itself became problematic. It now appeared as one perspective amongst many which was itself torn by class interests and dominated by the power of groups. Can this relativism be overcome if we privilege one of the various affected "standpoints" for moral or political reasons? My talk discusses alternatives to this problematic option that were already discussed in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, but are often misrepresented today: Karl Mannheim's sociology of knowledge did in fact discuss the "totalization" of ideology critique: no single perspective could be immunized against that critique, so no individual perspective can be privileged. This, however, was not a recipe for relativism, but to the contrary, Mannheim developed various methods to overcome this relativism. The paper reconstructs these perspectives with a focus on the concept of truth.