

## The Science of Epicurus

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

By Melania Cassan & Chiara Rover

#### 1. Epicurus' Primary Sources (Critical Editions, Collections of Texts, Translations and Commentaries).

##### - Epicurus' Complete Works.

Arrighetti, G. (a cura di) (1973<sup>2</sup>). *Epicuro: Opere*. Torino: Einaudi (New revised and expanded edition; I ed. 1960).

Bignone, E. (a cura di) (1920). *Epicuro: Opere, frammenti, testimonianze sulla sua vita*. Bari: Laterza (reprinted in 1964, Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider).

Diano, C. (ed.) (1946). *Epicuri Ethica*. Firenze: Sansoni.

Indelli, G. (2002). «Addenda agli *Epicurea* di Usener». *SIFC*, 20/1-2, 95-103.

Inwood, B.; Gerson, L.P. (eds) (1994). *The Epicurus Reader: Selected Writings and Testimonia*. Introduction by D. S. Hutchinson. Indianapolis: Hackett.

Isnardi Parente, M. (a cura di) (1983). *Opere di Epicuro*. Torino: UTET.

Krautz, H.-W. (Hrsg.) (2001). *Epikur: Briefe, Sprüche, Werkfragmente, Griechisch/Deutsch*. Stuttgart: Reclam.

Long, A.A.; Sedley, D.N. (eds) (1987). *The Hellenistic philosophers*, 2 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Long, A.A. (1986). *Hellenistic Philosophy: Stoic, Epicureans, Sceptics*. Berkeley-Los Angeles: University of California Press; Ital. transl. (1997). *La filosofia ellenistica: Stoici, epicurei, scettici*. Bologna: Il Mulino.  
[<https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520058088/hellenistic-philosophy#about-book>]  
[<https://www.mulino.it/isbn/9788815061560>]

Sammartano, R. (a cura di) (1959). *Epicuro, Etica. Opere e frammenti. In append. la Vita di Epicuro di Diogene Laerzio*. Bologna: Cappelli.

Usener, H. (Hrsg.) (1887). *Epicurea*. Lipsiae: Teubner (reprinted in 1963, Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider; in 1966, Stuttgartiae: Teubner; in 2002, Milano: Bompiani, with Ital. transl.; in 1969, Padova: CEDAM, with Ital. transl., without Greek text).  
[<https://archive.org/stream/HermannUsenerEpicurea1887/Hermann%20Usener%20-%20Epicurea%20%281887%29#page/n11/mode/2up>]

Usener, H. (1977). *Glossarium Epicureum*. Edendum curaverunt M. Gigante et W. Schmid. Roma: Olschki.

##### - Epicurus' *On Nature*

- Arrighetti, G. (1956). «Un papiro inedito del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro (Papiro Ercolanese 1431)». *SCO*, 6, 175-93.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24172411.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24172411.pdf)
- Arrighetti, G. (1957). «Epicuro, περὶ φύσεως β'». *ASNP Serie II*, 26, 26-53.
- Arrighetti, G. (1957). «Un papiro inedito del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)». *SCO*, 6, 175-93.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24172411?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24172411?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Arrighetti, G. (1959). «A proposito di alcuni papiri del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *Philologus*, 103, 39-52.
- Arrighetti, G. (1971). «L'opera *Sulla natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 1, 41-56.
- Arrighetti, G. (1975). «L'opera *Sulla natura* e le lettere di Epicuro a Erodoto e a Pitocle». *CErc*, 5, 39-51.
- Arrighetti, G. (1979). «Un passo dell'opera *Sulla natura* di Epicuro, Democrito e Colote». *CErc*, 9, 5-10.
- Arrighetti, G.; Cantarella, R. (1972). «Il libro *Sul tempo* (PHerc. 1413) dell'opera di Epicuro *Sulla natura*». *CErc*, 2, 5-46.
- Arrighetti, G.; Gigante, M. (1977). «Frammenti del libro undicesimo *Della natura* di Epicuro (PHerc. 1042)». *CErc*, 7, 5-8.
- Balauzé, J.-F. (éd.) (1994). *Épicure : Lettres, maximes, sentences*. Paris: Librairie Générale Française.
- Barigazzi, A. (1949). «La μὴνὴ della terra nei frammenti ercolanesi del libro XI del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *SIFC*, 24, 3-19.
- Cantarella, R. (1955). «Dal Papiro Ercolanese 1413». *Acme*, 8/2-3, 57-8.
- Cantarella, R. (1957). «Dal Papiro Ercolanese 1413». Krókowski, G.; Steffen, V.; Strzelecki, L. (eds). *Symbolae R. Taubenschlag dedicatae III*. Wrocław: Ossolineum, 77-81.
- Cantarella, R. (1966). «Il Papiro Ercolanese 1413». *Atti dell'XI Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Milano 2-8 settembre 1965*. Milano: Istituto Lombardo di Scienze e Lettere, 595.
- Damiani, V. (2015). Rev. to Leone, G. (2012). *Epicuro, Sulla natura, libro II. Edizione, traduzione e commento*. Napoli: Bibliopolis. *Eikasmos*, 26, 436-43.
- Danella, P. (2001). «I segni in alcuni papiri *Della natura* di Epicuro». Andorlini, I. (a cura di). *Atti del XXII congresso internazionale di papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*. Firenze: Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli, 301-20.
- D'Angelo, A. (2001). «Epicuro, Περὶ χρόνου (PHerc. 1413): nuove letture». Andorlini, I. (a cura di). *Atti del XXII congresso internazionale di papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*. Firenze: Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli, 321-9.
- Del Mastro, G. (2011). «PHerc. 1416, cr. 5: tre pezzi del papiro *Sul tempo* (PHerc. 1413)». *CErc* 41, 27-32.
- Del Mastro, G. (2013). «A proposito del ΠΕΡΙ ΦΥΣΕΩΣ di Epicuro: il XXI libro e un nuovo papiro (PHerc. 362 e 560)». *Lexicon Philosophicum*, 1, 179-91.  
[\[http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/103/120\]](http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/103/120)
- Dorandi, T. (2015). «Libri dell'opera *Sulla natura* in più esemplari». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 17-34.

- Dorandi, T. (2015). «Modi e modelli di trasmissione dell'opera *Sulla natura* di Epicuro». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 15-52.
- Giannantoni, G. (1983). «La polemica antimegarica nel XXVIII libro *Della natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 13, 15-9.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1976). «Χρόνος ἐπινοούμενος e χρόνος οὐ νοούμενος in Epicuro, *PHerc.* 1413». *PP*, 31, 168-75.
- Laks, A. (1981). « Une légèreté de Démocrite (Epicurus, *De natura* liber incertus 34.30, 7-15 Arrighetti2) ». *CErc*, 11, 19-23.
- Laursen, S. (1987). «Epicurus, *On Nature* Book XXV». *CErc*, 17, 77-8.
- Laursen, S. (1988). «Epicurus, *On Nature* XXV (Long-Sedley 20,B,C, and j)». *CErc*, 18, 7-18.
- Laursen, S. (1992). «The Summary of Epicurus *On Nature* Book 25». Capasso, M. (a cura di). *Papiri letterari greci e latini*. Galatina: Congedo, 141-54.
- Laursen, S. (1995). «The Early Parts of Epicurus, *On Nature*, 25th Book». *CErc*, 25, 5-109.
- Laursen, S. (1997). «The Later Parts of Epicurus, *On Nature*, 25th Book». *CErc*, 27, 5-82.
- Leone, G. (1984). «Epicuro, *Della natura*, libro XIV». *CErc*, 14, 17-107.
- Leone, G. (1987). «La chiusa del XIV libro *Della natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 17, 49-76.
- Leone, G. (1988). «Achille Vogliano editore di Epicuro». *CErc*, 18, 149-91.
- Leone, G. (1988). «Il II libro *Della natura* di Epicuro (*PHerc.* 1149/993 e 1010). Problemi testuali ed esegetici». Mandilaras, V.G. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XVIIIth International Congress of Papyrology, Athens 25-31 May 1986*. Athens: Greek Papyrological Society.
- Leone, G. (1993). «Strutture concettuali del II libro *Della natura* di Epicuro (*PHerc.* 1149/993 e 1010)». Franchi dell'Orto, L. (a cura di). *Ercolano 1738-1988: 250 anni di ricerca archeologica. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Ravello-Ercolano-Napoli-Pompei, 30 ottobre-5 novembre 1988*. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider, 307-12.
- Leone, G. (2000). «Epicuro fondatore del Giardino e l'opera sua conservata nei papiri». *CErc*, 30, 21-33.
- Leone, G. (2002). «Epicuro, *Della natura*, libro XXXIV (*PHerc.* 1431)». *CErc*, 32, 7-135.
- Leone, G. (2002). «Nuove conferme dall'opera *Della natura* di Epicuro alla valenza tecnica del gruppo semantico ἔτοιμος/ἐτοιμῶς nella dottrina epicurea dei pori». *SIFC*, 20/1-2, 104-18.
- Leone, G. (2003). «Rileggendo il XXVIII libro *Della natura* di Epicuro: riflessioni e proposte». *CErc*, 33, 159-64.
- Leone, G. (2004). «Una risposta da un papiro dell'opera *Della natura* di Epicuro (*PHerc.* 1149) a una *vexata quaestio* sulla struttura dell'*Epistola ad Erodoto*». Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Longo Auricchio, F. (a cura di). *Mathesis e mneme: studi in memoria di Marcello Gigante*, 2 voll. Napoli: Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, vol. II, 45-57.
- Leone, G. (2005). «Per la ricostruzione dei *PHerc.* 1149/993 e 1010 (Epicuro, *Della natura*, libro II)». *CErc*, 35, 15-25.

- Leone, G. (2006). «Momenti del percorso ecdotico del II libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 36, 145-87.
- Leone, G. (2007). «Per la ricostruzione del *PHerc.* 1149/993 (Epicuro, *Della natura*, libro II)». Frösén, J.; Puroola, T.; Salmenkivi, E. (eds). *Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology*, vol. II. Helsinki: Societas Scientiarum Fennica Publisher, 549-58.
- Leone, G. (2010). «Il *PHerc.* 1010 (Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro II): anatomia del rotolo». Gagos, T. (ed.). *Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology*. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Library, 409-26.  
[\[https://quod.lib.umich.edu/i/icp/7523866.0025.151/--il-pherc-1010-epicuro-sulla-natura-libro-ii-anatomia-del?rgn=main;view=fulltext\]](https://quod.lib.umich.edu/i/icp/7523866.0025.151/--il-pherc-1010-epicuro-sulla-natura-libro-ii-anatomia-del?rgn=main;view=fulltext)
- Leone, G. (2010). «Il secondo libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro tra disegni e incisioni». *CErc*, 40, 155-72.
- Leone, G. (a cura di) (2012). *Epicuro, Sulla natura, libro II. Edizione, traduzione e commento*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.  
[\[https://bibliopolis.it/shop/sulla-natura-libro-ii/\]](https://bibliopolis.it/shop/sulla-natura-libro-ii/)
- Leone, G. (2012). «Il *PHerc.* 1149/993 (Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro II): una nuova ipotesi di ricostruzione». Schubert, P. (éd.). *Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie*. Ginevra: Librairie Droz, 429-35.
- Leone, G. (2012). “Reconstructing *PHerc.* 1783/1691/1010 and *PHerc.* 1149/993 (Epicurus, *On nature*, Book II)”. Erler, M.; Rother, W. (Hrsgg). *Philosophie der Lust. Studien zum Hedonismus*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 105-12.
- Leone, G. (2014). «Osservazioni sui papiri ercolanesi di Epicuro». *SEP*, 11, 83-109.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/3001812\]](https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/3001812)
- Leone, G. (2015). «Appendice». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 191-209.
- Leone, G. (2016). «I papiri del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro nella storia dell’Officina dei Papiri Ercolanesi». Casanova, A.; Messeri, G.; Pintaudi, R. (a cura di). “*E sì d’amici pieno*”. *Omaggio di studiosi italiani a Guido Bastianini per il suo settantesimo compleanno*. Firenze: Edizioni Gonnelli, 233-50.
- Leone, G. (2018). «Gli Epicurei e il buon raccolto dell’anima». Davoli, P.; Pellé, N. (a cura di). Πολυμάθεια: *studi classici offerti a Mario Capasso*. Lecce: Pensa Multimedia, 265-86.  
[\[https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75\\_indice\\_961\]](https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75_indice_961)
- Leone, G. (2018). «Il *PHerc.* 1811/335: Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro III?». *CErc*, 48, 5-24.  
[\[http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf\]](http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf)
- Leone, G. (2020). «“Connessioni” scorrette e “connessioni” insospettite nell’XI libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 50, 15-26.
- Leone, G.; Carrelli, S. (2019). «Per l’edizione di Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro incerto (*PHerc.* 1811/335)». Nodar, A.; Torallas Tovar, S. (eds). *Proceedings of the 28th International Congress of Papyrology*. Barcelona: Publicacions de l’Abadia de Montserrat, 274-288.
- Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di) (2020). ‘*Vedere*’ *l’invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE.
- Liebich, W. (1953). “Aus der Arbeit an den Papyri von Herculaneum”. *Wissenschaftliche Annalen*, 2, 304-13.

- Longo Auricchio, F. (1992). "The Contribution Made By the Herculaneum Papyri to Our Knowledge of Epicurean Philosophy". *ARP*, 3, 107-23.
- Millot, C. (1977). «Épicure, *De la nature*, livre XV ». *CErc*, 7, 9-39.
- Monet, A. (2007). «La représentation du temps chez Épicure : lectures du *PHerc.* 1413 ». Palme, B. (Hrsg.). *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses, Wien, 22-28 Juli 2001*. Wien: Verlag der österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 455-60.  
[\[https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/La-repre%CC%81sentation-du-temps-chez-Epicure%3A-lectures-Monet/bafe375492d431a762e47574a413d2185f1ae485\]](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/La-repre%CC%81sentation-du-temps-chez-Epicure%3A-lectures-Monet/bafe375492d431a762e47574a413d2185f1ae485)
- Napolitano, S. (2018). «Nuovi elementi per la ricostruzione del *PHerc.* 990: (Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro incerto)». *CErc*, 48, 41-50.  
[\[http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf\]](http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf)
- Oates, W.J. (ed.) (1940). *The Stoic and Epicurean philosophers. The complete extant writings of Epicurus, Epictetus, Lucretius, Marcus Aurelius*. New York: Random House.
- Philippson, R. (1932). "Epikurs Buch 28 Περὶ φύσεως". *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 52, 1458-61.
- Puglia, E. (1987). «*PHerc.* 1420/1056: un volume dell'opera *Della natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 17, 81-3.
- Puglia, E. (1988). «*PHerc.* 1039: altro libro di Epicuro *Sulla natura?*». *CErc*, 18, 19-26.
- Puglia, E. (1997). «La sottoscrizione del libro XXVIII *Sulla natura* di Epicuro (*PHerc.* 1479/1417)». *Papyrologica Lupiensia*, 6, 101-6.
- Sedley, D.N. (1973). "Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book XXVIII". *CErc*, 3, 5-83.
- Sedley, D.N. (1974). "The structure of Epicurus' *On nature*". *CErc*, 4, 89-92.
- Sedley, D.N. (1976). "Epicurus and the Mathematicians of Cyzicus". *CErc*, 6, 23-54.
- Sedley, D.N. (1984). "The Character of Epicurus' *On nature*". *Atti del xvii Congresso internazionale di papirologia (Napoli, 19-26 maggio 1983)*. Napoli: Centro internazionale per lo studio dei papiri ercolanesi, 381-7.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1987). «*PHerc.* 1232, fr. 6: una testimonianza del libro *Sul fine* di Epicuro?». *CErc*, 17, 85-8.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1987). «Tracce del XXXIV libro *Della natura* di Epicuro nel *PHerc.* 998». *CErc*, 17, 79.
- Verde, F. (2010). «Τρίγωνα ἄτομα. A proposito di Epicuro, *Della Natura*, libro XIV, col. XXXVIII 1-10 Leone». *CErc*, 40, 31-8.
- Verde, F. (2020). «Rileggendo il XXXIV libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro: Struttura generale e questioni storico-filosofiche». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *'Vedere' l'invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 7-24.
- Vogliano, A. (a cura di) (1928). *Epicuri et Epicureorum scripta in Herculansibus papyris servata*. Berlin: Weidmann.
- Vogliano, A. (1931-1932). «I frammenti del XIV libro del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *RAIB*, 6, 33-76.
- Vogliano, A. (1941). «Un passo del XI libro del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *Athenaeum*, 141-3.

Vogliano, A. (1953). «I resti del II libro del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *Prolegomena*, 2, 59-98.

Vogliano, A. (1956). «I resti del XV libro del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *Philologus*, 100, 253-70.

**- Epicurus' Letters to Erodotos, Pythocles, Menoecus and Sentences (and Diogenes Laertius' Lives of Eminent Philosophers, X)**

Adorno, F. (1980). «Epicuro *Epistola a Erodoto* 39,7-40,3. Vuoto, spazio-*chora*, intattilità, luogo, atomi e corpi nel vocabolario di Epicuro. Un'interpretazione di *Timeo* 52 A-D di Platone e di *Fisica* 209B9-16 di Aristotele». *Elenchos*, 1, 245-75.

Adorno, F. (1983). «Epicuro, *Epistola a Erodoto*, 39,7-41,5; *PHerc.* 1056,5. Un codicillo e qualche riflessione». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, vol. I, 53-72.

Alabuenaga, A. (ed.) (1983). *Epicuro, Carta a Meneceo y Máximas capitales*. Madrid: Alhambra.

Alfinito, L. (1994). «Un passo discusso di Epicuro (*ad Menoec.* 123-4)». *Vichiana*, 5, 266-72.

Algra, K.A. (ed.) (1998). *Brief over het geluk*. Groningen: Historische Uitg.

Amerio, R. (a cura di) (1957). *Epistola a Meneceo, Massime capitali, Gnomologio vaticano, Frammenti e testimonianze*. Torino: Società Ed. Internazionale.

Andersen, Ø. (1975). «Eine überflüssige Textänderung? Zu Epikur SV 42». *SO*, 50, 67-74.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677508590673?journalCode=sos120>]

Angeli, A. (1993). «Frammenti di lettere di Epicuro nei papiri d'Ercolano». *CErc*, 23, 11-27.

Angeli, A. (2013). «Lettere di Epicuro dall'Egitto (POxy. LXXVI 5077)». *Studi di Egittologia e di Papirologia*, 10, 9-31.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2906310>]

Arrighetti, G. (1955). «Sull'epistola di Epicuro a Pitocle». *ASNP*, 24, 67-86.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24299899.pdf>]

Arrighetti, G. (a cura di) (1973<sup>2</sup>). *Epicuro: Opere*. Torino: Einaudi (New revised and expanded edition; I ed. 1960).

Arrighetti, G. (1975). «L'opera *Sulla natura* e le lettere di Epicuro a Erodoto e a Pitocle». *CErc*, 5, 39-51.

Bailey, C. (ed.) (1926). *Epicurus: The extant remains*. Oxford: Clarendon Press (reprinted with an Introduction by I. Edman in 1947, New York: Limited Editions Club; in 1970, Hildesheim-New York: Georg Olms).

Balauδέ, J.-F. (éd.) (1994). *Épicure : Lettres, maximes, sentences*. Paris: Librairie Générale Française.

Balauδέ, J.-F. (éd.) (1999). « Livre X ». Goulet-Cazé, M.-O. (éd.). *Diogène Laërce : Vies et doctrines des philosophes illustres*. Paris: Le Livre de Poche, 1147-325.

Barigazzi, A. (1948). «Note critiche alla lettera a Pitocle di Epicuro». *SIFC*, 23, 179-213.

Barigazzi, A. (1953). «Epicurea». *Hermes*, 81, 145-62.

- Barigazzi, A. (1975). «Epicuro, *Sent. Vat. 55*». *Prometheus*, 1, 89-90.  
[\[https://oaj.fupress.net/index.php/prometheus/article/view/19\]](https://oaj.fupress.net/index.php/prometheus/article/view/19)
- Beghini, A. (2019). «Nota a Diog. Laert. X 13». *Eikasmos*, 30, 195-200.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4584633\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4584633)
- Bickel, E. (1952). «*Observationum satyra. III: Critica in Epicuri Epist. ad Menœceum p. 123 s.*». *Paideia*, 7, 275-8.
- Bignone, E. (1933). «Studi critici sulle Κύρια δόξα e sopra la *Vita* di Epicuro». *Aegyptus*, 13, 419-42.
- Blanchard, A. (1991). «Épicure, *Sentence Vaticane 14* : Épicure ou Métrodore? ». *REG*, 104, 394-409.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44264714?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44264714?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg\\_0035-2039\\_1991\\_num\\_104\\_497\\_2519\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg_0035-2039_1991_num_104_497_2519)
- Boer, E. (Hrsg.) (1954). *Epikur: Brief an Pythokles*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Bollack, J.; Laks, A. (éds) (1978). *Épicure à Pythoclès : Sur la cosmologie et les phénomènes météorologiques*. Lille: Presses Universitaires de Lille.
- Braicovich, R. (2017). «Las epítomes epicúreas: destinatarios, funciones y problemas». *ASHF*, 34/1, 35-47.  
[\[https://revistas.ucm.es/index.php/ASHF/article/view/55650\]](https://revistas.ucm.es/index.php/ASHF/article/view/55650)
- Brieger, A. (Hrsg.) (1882). “Epikur’s Brief an Herodot, § 68-83”. *Jahresbericht des Stadtgymnasiums zu Halle a/S*, 14, 1-28.
- Burzacchini, G. (1994). «Epicuro, *Sent. Vat. 14*». *Eikasmos*, 5, 187-88.
- Cambron-Goulet, M. (2017). « Les lettres d’Épicure, entre exhortation et didactique ». Gavaille, É.; Guillaumont, F. (éds). *Conseiller, diriger par lettre*. Tours: Presses Universitaires François-Rabelais, 203-20.
- Caretta, A.; Samarati, L. (a cura di) (1976). *Epicuro, Lettere e Massime*. Brescia: La Scuola.
- Carrelli, S.; Leone, G. (2019). «Per l’edizione di Epicuro, *Sulla natura*, libro incerto (*PHerc. 1811/335*)». Nodar, A.; Torallas Tovar, S. (eds). *Proceedings of the 28 International Congress of Papyrology*. Barcelona: Publicacions de l’Abadia de Montserrat, 274-88.
- Clay, D. (1972). “Epicurus’ Κύρια Δόξα XVII”. *GRBS*, 13, 59-66.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/9651\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/9651)
- Clay, D. (1982). “Epicurus in the Archives of Athens”. Shear, T.L. (ed.). *Studies in Attic Epigraphy, History, and Topography Presented to Eugene Vanderpool by Members of the American School of Classical Studies*. Princeton: American School of Classical Studies at Athens, 17-26.
- Colaclidès, P. (1978). « Sur un aphorisme d’Épicure ». *RPh*, 52, 264-5.  
[\[https://search.proquest.com/openview/024973dfc80a13262c015251003d56d1/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1819865\]](https://search.proquest.com/openview/024973dfc80a13262c015251003d56d1/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1819865)
- Conche, M. (éd.) (1987). *Épicure : Lettres et Maximes*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Damiani, V. (2012). «Nota testuale a Epic. *ad Pyth. 101*». *AntPhilos*, 6, 129-33.
- D’Andrea, A. (1936). «Nota ai par. 61 e 62 dell’*Epistola a Erodoto* di Epicuro». *RFIC*, 126-33.
- De Sanctis, D. (2012). «Utile a molti, utile al singolo: il proemio dell’*Epistola a Pitocle* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 42, 95-110.

- DeWitt, N.W. (1940). "Epicurus' Three-Wheeled Chair". *CPh*, 35, 183-5.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/264963?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/264963?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Diano, C. (1946). *Lettere di Epicuro e dei suoi*. Florence: Sansoni.
- Diano, C. (1948). «Note epicuree, IV». *Maia*, 1, 108-13.
- Dorandi, T. (2000). «Un libro del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro trasmesso in tre esemplari». Alganza Roldán, M.; Camacho Rojo, J.M.; Fuentes González, P.P.; Villena Ponsoda, M. (eds). Ἐπιείκεια: *studia Graeca in memoriam Jesús Lens Tuero: homenaje al Profesor Jesús Lens Tuero*. Granada: Athos-Pérgamos, 103-11.
- Dorandi, T. (2010). «Diogene Laerzio, Epicuro e gli editori di Epicuro e di Diogene Laerzio». *Eikasmos*, 21, 273-301.
- Dorandi, T. (2013). *Diogenes Laertius: Lives of eminent philosophers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/diogenes-laertius-lives-of-eminent-philosophers/42B34DD9AF275F88F569202ED7CDA244\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/diogenes-laertius-lives-of-eminent-philosophers/42B34DD9AF275F88F569202ED7CDA244)
- Dorandi, T. (2019). «Problemi ecdotici in Epicuro, *Ep. Hdt.* 39-40». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Graziano Arrighetti e la produzione letteraria dei Greci*. Pisa-Roma, Fabrizio Serra Editore, 155-166.
- Eller, K.H. (1989). "Epikurs Lehrbrief an Menoikeus". *AU*, 32/1, 69-85.  
[\[https://www.fachportal-paedagogik.de/literatur/vollanzeige.html?Fid=89930#vollanzeige\]](https://www.fachportal-paedagogik.de/literatur/vollanzeige.html?Fid=89930#vollanzeige)
- Gaos Schmidt, A. (1996). «La carta de Epicuro a Meneceo». *Nova Tellus*, 14, 87-105.  
[\[https://revistas-filologicas.unam.mx/nouatellus/index.php/nt/article/view/618\]](https://revistas-filologicas.unam.mx/nouatellus/index.php/nt/article/view/618)
- García Calvo, A. (1972). «Para la interpretación de la carta a Heródoto de Epicuro». *Emerita*, 40, 69-140.  
[\[https://www.editoriallucina.es/entrada/para-la-interpretacion-de-la-carta-a-herodoto-de-epicuro\\_1045.html\]](https://www.editoriallucina.es/entrada/para-la-interpretacion-de-la-carta-a-herodoto-de-epicuro_1045.html)
- Geer, R.M. (ed.) (1964). *Epicurus, Letters, Principal Doctrines, and Vatican Sayings*. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill.
- Gigante, M. (1992). "Das zehnte Buch des Diogenes Laertios: Epikur und der Epikureismus". Haase, W.; Temporini-Vitzthum, H. (Hrsgg). *ANRW*, II, 36, 6: *Philosophie, Wissenschaften, Technik. Philosophie (Doxographica [Forts.])*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 4302-7.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110864298/10.1515/9783110864298-008.xml?rskey=Tzi3Pi&result=1\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110864298/10.1515/9783110864298-008.xml?rskey=Tzi3Pi&result=1)
- Hamelin, O. (1910). «Épicure: *Lettre à Hérodote, Lettre à Pythoclès, Lettre à Ménécée* ». *RMM*, 18, 397-440.
- Hamelin, O.; Salem, J. (éds) (2000). *Lettres et maximes*. Paris: Librio.
- Heidsieck, F. (1976). «Épicure et la logique du vivant. Commentaire de la page 74 de la *Lettre à Hérodote* ». *REG*, 89, 611-4.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg\\_0035-2039\\_1976\\_num\\_89\\_426\\_4119\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg_0035-2039_1976_num_89_426_4119)
- Heßler, J.E. (2008). "Ergebnisse der Arbeit am Kommentar zu Epikurs *Brief an Menoikeus: Ep. Men.* 124". *SCO*, 54, 11-67.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24189461?seq=1\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24189461?seq=1)

Heßler, J.E. (2011). «Proposte sulla data di composizione e il destinatario dell' *Epistola a Meneceo*». *CErc*, 41, 7-11.

Heßler, J.E. (Hrsg.) (2014). *Brief an Menoikeus*. Basel: Schwabe.  
[<https://issuu.com/schwabeverlag/docs/9783796532139-4188>]

Horna, K. (1935). “Note on Epicurus (*Gnomologium Vaticanum*, N° LXXXI)”. *CR*, 49/2, 58-9.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-review/article/note-on-epicurus-gnomologium-vaticanum-no-lxxxi/25EE4E33CBD601476E2F2867C24D7E0A>]

Horna, K. (1937). “Notes on Epicurus. *Vatican collection*”. *CPh*, 32/3, 266-67.  
[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/362033?journalCode=cp>]

Isnardi Parente, M. (1974). «Gli dèi di Epicuro nello scolio a Κύρια δόξαι I». *PP*, 29, 171-9.

Jufresa Muñoz, M. (ed.) (1975). *Epicur, Lletres*. Barcelona: Foundation Bernat Metge.

Kerferd, G.B. (1971). “On Diog. Laert. X,73”. *RhM*, 114, 87-9.  
[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/114/Kerferd.pdf>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41244471?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41244471?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Kleve, K. (1971). “Ενέργημα/ἐνάργημα. A Textcritical Note on Epicurus' *Letter to Herodotus* 37 (Diog. Laert. 10)”. *SO*, 46, 90-5.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677108590629?needAccess=true&journalCode=sos12>]

Kleve, K. (1971). “A Textcritical Note on Epicurus' *Letter to Herodotus* 37 (Diog. Laert. 10)”. *SO*, 46, 90-5.

Konstan, D. (1972). “Epicurus on ‘Up’ and ‘Down’ (‘*Letter to Herodotus*’ par. 60)”. *Phronesis*, 17, 269-78.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/17/3/article-p269\\_9.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/17/3/article-p269_9.xml?language=en)]

Korte, A. (1938). “Epikureisches Gnomologium auf einem Berliner Papyrus”. *APF*, 13, 110-111.

Krokiewicz, A. (1924). « La lettre d'Épicure à Ménouikeus ». *PHist*, 97-100, 34-35.

Laks, A. (1976). « Édition critique et commentée de la *Vie d'Épicure* dans Diogène Laërce ». Bollack, J.; Laks, A. (éds). *Études sur l'épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l'Université de Lille III, 1-118.  
[<http://rspa.vjf.cnrs.fr/01/reference-bibliographique/par-id/02dee588c2bd394f9110e8dba214e8b6a14f5611>]

Lapini, W. (2010). «Il prologo della *Lettera a Erodoto* di Epicuro: sul testo di Diog. Laert. X 35-7». *Elenchos*, 31/2, 331-43.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/31/2/article-p331.xml>]

Lapini, W. (2015). *L'Epistola a Erodoto e il Bios di Epicuro in Diogene Laerzio: note testuali, esegetiche e metodologiche*. Roma: Ed. di Storia e Letteratura.

Leone, G. (2011). «Una nuova traduzione dell' *Epistola a Erodoto* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 41, 273-9.

Lembo, D. (1996). «Rileggendo Epic. RS XXIV». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 99-118.

Marcovich, M. (1972). “On Diog. Laert. X, 73 (*Epicuri Epist. ad Herodotum*)”. *AC*, 41, 605.

Marcovich, M. (1985). “Epicurus Vaticanus”. *ICS*, 10, 191-4.  
[<https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/handle/2142/12021>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23062542?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23062542?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Masi, F.G. (2016). “«A Mutual Horizon of Events»: Epicurus’ *Letter to Herodotus* Between Philology and Philosophy». *Eirene*, 52, 485-500.
- Mau, J. (1954). “Raum und Bewegung: Zu Epikurs *Brief an Herodot* § 60”. *Hermes*, 82, 13-24.
- Miralles i Solà, C. (1971). «Carta a Meneceo». *Boletín del Instituto de Estudios Helénicos*, 5/2, 75-7.
- Morel, P.-M. (2003). « Corps et cosmologie dans la physique d’Épicure. *Lettre à Hérodote*, § 45 ». *RMM*, 1, 33-49.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Morel, P.-M. (éd.) (2011). *Épicure : Lettres, maximes et autres textes*. Paris: Flammarion.
- Mühlh, P. von der (Hrsg.) (1922). *Epistulae tres et ratae sententiae a Laertio Diogene servatae; accedit Gnomologium Epicureum Vaticanum*. Leipzig: Teubner.
- Mühlh, P. von der (1965). “Zum Wortlaut der zehnten der Κύριαι Δόξαι Epikurs”. *MH*, 22, 229-31.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24813362.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24813362.pdf)
- Pellegrino, A.M. (a cura di) (1992). *Lettera sulla felicità (a Meneceo), e Vita di Epicuro scritta da Diogene Laerzio*. Roma: Stampa alternativa.
- Prehn, K. (Hrsg.) (1925). *De Epicuri ad Pythoclem epistula*. Greifswald: Adler.
- Regali, M. (2005). «Το τοῦτων μάλιστα ἐγγαληνίζον: sul testo della *Lettera a Erodoto* di Epicuro (DL X 37)». *SCO*, 51, 229-33.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24186920?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24186920?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[http://www.sco-pisa.it/index.php/sco/article/view/70\]](http://www.sco-pisa.it/index.php/sco/article/view/70)
- Renaut, A. (1975). « Épicure et le problème de l’être. Sur le statut ontologique des prédicats. Essai de lecture de la *Lettre à Hérodote* 68,7-71 ». *EPh*, 4, 435-65.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883517?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883517?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Russello, N.; Adorno, F. (a cura di) (1994). *Lettere sulla fisica, sul cielo e sulla felicità. Frammenti dell’epistolario. Testo greco a fronte*. Milano: Biblioteca Universale Rizzoli.
- Salem, J. (éd.) (1982). *Épicure, Lettres*. Paris: Nathan.
- Salem, J. (éd.) (1993). *Commentaire de la lettre d’Épicure à Hérodote*. Bruxelles: Éditions Ousia.
- Salem, J. (1993). « Commentaire de la lettre d’Épicure à Ménécée ». *RPhilos*, 183, 513-49.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097054?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097054?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Sbordone, F. (1963). «Per la storia dell’epistolario di Epicuro». *Miscellanea di studi alessandrini in memoria di Augusto Rostagni*. Torino: Bottega d’Erasmus, 26-39.
- Scarpata, G. (1993). «Un passo della *Lettera a Meneceo* di Epicuro». *Paideia*, 48, 57-9.
- Solovine, M. (éd.) (1965<sup>2</sup>). *Épicure. Doctrines et maximes*. Paris: Hermann (I ed. 1940).
- Stark, R. (1965). “Epicurea”. *Hermes*, 93 420-8.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4475369?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4475369?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Strodach, G.K. (ed.) (1963). *The Philosophy of Epicurus: Letters, Doctrines, and Parallel Passages from Lucretius*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.

Untersteiner, M. (1931). «Di alcuni passi controversi nell'epistola di Epicuro ad Erodoto». AA.VV. *Atti della XIX Riunione della Società Italiana per il Progresso delle Scienze (Bolzano-Trento, 7-15 settembre 1930 - IX)*, 2 voll. Roma: Società italiana per il progresso delle scienze, vol. II, 645-53.

Van den Hout, Michael P.J. (1954). «Emendatur Epicuri epistula secunda, § 109 (p. 40, 6 Von der Mühl)». *Mnemosyne*, 7, 18.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/7/1/article-p18\\_2.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/7/1/article-p18_2.xml?language=en)]

Verde, F. (a cura di) (2010). *Epicuro: Epistola a Erodoto*, Introduction by Emidio Spinelli. Roma: Carocci.

Verde, F. (2018). «Once again on Epicurus' Letter to Herodotus §§ 39-40». *CQ*, n.s., 68/2, 736-39.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/once-again-on-epicurus-letter-to-herodotus-3940/E8F64F36D8A6B7B8C9306A189C92F36A>]

Vogliano, A. (1936). «Frammento di un nuovo "Gnomologium Epicureum"». *SIFC*, 13, 267-81.

Wais, F. (1939). *Der Aufbau und das Problem der Echtheit der κύρια δόξαι des Epikuros*. Wien.

Zannoni, G. (a cura di) (1927). *La lettera di Epicuro a Erodoto [Ricerca intorno alla natura]*. Faenza: Stabilimento grafico F. Lega.

#### - Epicurus' Other Works and Collections

Angeli, A. (1993). «Frammenti di lettere di Epicuro nei papiri d'Ercolano». *CErc*, 23, 11-27.

Angeli, A. (2013). «Lettere di Epicuro dall'Egitto (POxy LXXVI 5077)». *SEP*, 10, 9-31.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2906310>]

Arrighetti, G. (1970). «Il nuovo frammento di Epicuro presso Didimo Cieco». AA.VV. *Studia Florentina A. Ronconi oblata*. Roma: Ed. dell'Ateneo, 21-8.

Bollack, J. (éd.) (1975). *La pensée du plaisir. Épicure, textes moraux, commentaires*. Paris: Éditions de Minuit; Ital transl. (1985). *Il pensiero del piacere: Epicuro, testi morali, commentari*. Genova: La Quercia.

Brun, J. (éd.) (1961). *Epicure et les Épicuriens : Textes choisis*. Paris : Presses Universitaires de France.

Capasso, M. (1981). «*PHerc.* 346. Un trattato etico epicureo». Bagnall, R.S. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XVth International Congress of Papyrology*. Chico: Scholars Press, 131-8.

D'Amelio, M. (1926). *Di alcuni trattati epicurei sulla ricchezza*. Napoli: Sangiovanni.

Damiani, V. (2016). «Il *PHerc.* 1026: un trattato epicureo di argomento etico/epistemologico? Saggio di edizione». *CErc*, 46, 5-19.

Del Mastro, G. (2011-2012). «Il *PHerc.* 566: l'*Echelao* di Epicuro». *PapLup*, 20/21, 17-24.

[<http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/index.php/plup/article/view/12667>]

Diano, C. (1948). «Lettere di Epicuro agli amici di Lampsaco, a Pitocle e a Mitre». *SIFC*, 23, 59-68 (also in Firenze: Le Monnier).

Diano, C.; Serra, G. (a cura di) (1987). *Epicuro, Scritti morali*. Milano: Biblioteca Universale Rizzoli.

Di Fabio, T. (2018). «*PHerc.* 1043: un'opera filosofica epicurea?». *CErc*, 48, 175.

- Erbì, M. (2019). «La Lettera ai filosofi di Mitilene: una proposta di ricostruzione». *CErc*, 49, 5-15.
- Erbì, M. (2020). *Epicuro, Lettere. Frammenti e testimonianze, introduzione, testo e commento*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore.  
[\[http://www.libraweb.net/index.php?dettagliopdf=1&chiave=3500&valore=sku&name=BSA99\\_Erbi.JPG&h=844&w=600\]](http://www.libraweb.net/index.php?dettagliopdf=1&chiave=3500&valore=sku&name=BSA99_Erbi.JPG&h=844&w=600)
- Gigante, M. (2002). «Una falsa attribuzione a Epicuro». Torraca, L. (a cura di). *Scritti in onore di Italo Gallo*. Napoli: Ed. Scientifiche Italiane, 349-50.
- Gigon, O. (Hrsg.) (1949). *Epikur. Von der Ueberwindung der Furcht. Katechismus, Lehrbriefe, Spruchsammlung, Fragmente*. Zürich: Artemis Verlag.
- Gronewald, M. (1979). «Epikureisches in PSI 851». *ZPE*, 36, 51-2.
- Horna, K. (1931). «Zur Epikurischer Spruchsammlung». *Wiener Studien*, 49, 32-9.
- Janko, R. (2008). «New Fragments of Epicurus, Metrodorus, Demetrius Laco, Philodemus, the *Carmen De Bello Actiaco* and Other Texts in Oxonian *Disegni* of 1788-1792». *CErc*, 38, 5-95.
- Jensen, C. (Hrsg.) (1933). *Ein neuer Brief Epikurs*. Berlin: Weidmann.
- Lavielle, E. (éd) (1969). *Les Épicuriens*. Paris: Bordas.
- Liebich, W. (1953). «Aus der Arbeit an den Papyri von Herculaneum». *Wissenschaftliche Annalen*, 2, 304-13.
- Longo, O. (1987). «L'Alcesti di Epicuro». *Belfagor*, 42, 563-9.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/26145941?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/26145941?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Mau, J. (1954-55). «Über die Zuweisung zweier Epikur-Fragmente». *Philologus*, 99, 93-111.
- Mewaldt, J. (Hrsg.) (1949). *Epikur, Philosophie der Freude. Eine Auswahl aus seinen Schriften*. Stuttgart: Kröner.
- Mihaescu, H.; Papu, E. (éds) (1950). *Épicure et Lucrèce, Textes choisis*. Bucarest: Éd. de Stat.
- Milanese, G. (a cura di) (2007). «Testi epicurei». Milanese, G. (a cura di). *Lucrezio: De rerum natura*. Introduzione di E. Narducci. Milano: Mondadori: 533-647.
- Obbink, D. (1992). «Epicurus II (?): Sulla religiosità e il culto popolare (POxy. 215)». *Corpus dei Papiri Filosofici greci e Latini (CPF): Testi e lessico nei papiri di cultura greca e latina*, parte I: Autori Noti, vol. I\*\*. Firenze: Olschki, 167-91.
- Obbink, D.; Schorn, S. (2011). «5077. Epicurus (et. al.), *Epistulae ad familiares*». Colomo, D; Chapa, J. et al. (eds). *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri*, Volume LXXVI. London: The Egypt Exploration Society, 37-50.
- Pénisson, P. (éd.) (1984). *Épicure, Textes sur le plaisir*. Paris: Hatier.
- Pesce, D. (ed.) (1958). *Il pensiero stoico ed epicureo. Antologia di testi*. Firenze: La Nuova Italia.
- Rapp, C. (Hrsg.) (2010). *Epikur: Ausgewählte Schriften*. Stuttgart: Kröner Verlag.  
[\[https://www.kroener-verlag.de/details/product/ausgewaehlte-schriften/\]](https://www.kroener-verlag.de/details/product/ausgewaehlte-schriften/)
- Schmid, W. (1938). «De Epicuri fragmento 567». *Hermes*, 73, 132.

Vogliano, A. (1927). «Nuovi testi storici». *RF (Bologna)*, 5, 501-4.

Vogliano, A. (a cura di) (1928). *Nuove lettere di Epicuro e dei suoi scolari*. Bologna: Stabil. poligraf.

Wotke, C.; Usener, H. (1888-1890). “Epikureische Spruchsammlung”. *Wiener Studien*, 10, 175-201 (1888); 11, 170 (1889); 12, 1-4 (1890) (reprinted in Usener, H. (1912). *Kleine Schriften*, vol. I: *Arbeiten zur Griechischen Philosophie und Rhetorik*. Grammatische und Text-Kritische Beiträge. Lipsia: Teubner, 297-325).

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/kleine-schriften/2AB8516C9146AD7ECB7499C725201D94>]

[<https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-languages/kleine-schriften-volume-1?format=PB>]

## - Catalogues of the Herculaneum Papyri and Lexicon

Arrighetti, G. (1973<sup>2</sup>). «Indice delle parole principali». Arrighetti, G. (a cura di). *Epicuro: Opere*. Torino: Einaudi (New revised and expanded edition; I ed. 1960), 745-93.

Capasso, M. (1989). «Primo Supplemento al *Catalogo dei Papiri Ercolanesi*». *CErc* 19, 193-264.

Del Mastro, G. (2000). «Secondo Supplemento al *Catalogo dei Papiri Ercolanesi*». *CErc* 30, 157-241.

Del Mastro, G. (2005). *Chartes* (Catalogo Multimediale dei Papiri Ercolanesi). Napoli (CD-ROM).

Dorandi, T. (2001). «Supplemento ai Supplementi al *Catalogo dei Papiri Ercolanesi*». *ZPE*, 135, 45-9.

Gigante, M. (sotto la direzione di) (1979). *Catalogo dei Papiri Ercolanesi*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Gigante, M.; Schmid, W. (eds) (1977). *Hermannus Usener: Glossarium Epicureum*. Roma: Edizioni dell'Ateneo & Bizzarri.

Giovacchini, J.; Darras, C.; Delattre, D.; Delattre-Biencourt, J. (2010) «Vocabulaire de l'Épicurisme». Delattre, D.; Pigeaud, J. (éds). *Les Épicuriens*. Paris: Gallimard, 1425-60.

## 2. General Overview of Epicurus and Epicureanism

AA.VV. (1969). Association Guillaume Budé, *Actes du VIIIe Congrès, Paris 5-10 avril 1968*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.

AA.VV. (1959). *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale.

A.A.V.V. (1983). ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ: *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*, 2 voll. Napoli: Macchiaroli.

Adorno, F. (2007). «Epicuro in tutto il suo complesso: la sua novità, sia nel linguaggio, sia nella fisica e nella restituzione all'uomo di se stesso, in una nuova sintattica». *Συντακτικά*, 34, 1-30.

Alpers, K. (1968). “Epikurs Geburtstag”. *Museum Helveticum*, 25, 48-51.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24813898.pdf?seq=1>]

Aróstegui, A. (1954). «La filosofía epicurea». *RF (Madrid)*, 13, 655-77.

Athanassievitch, X. (1927). *L'atomisme d'Épicure*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

- Bailey, C. (ed.) (1926). *Epicurus: The extant remains*. Oxford: Clarendon Press (reprinted with an Introduction by I. Edman in 1947, New York: Limited Editions Club; in 1970, Hildesheim-New York: Georg Olms).
- Barigazzi, A. (1975). «L'epicureismo fino a Lucrezio». Dal Pra, M. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia*, IV: *La filosofia ellenistica e la patristica cristiana dal III secolo a.C. al V secolo d.C.* Milano: Vallardi, 167-78.
- Barigazzi, A. (1975). «Epicuro». Dal Pra, M. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia*, IV: *La filosofia ellenistica e la patristica cristiana dal III secolo a.C. al V secolo d.C.* Milano: Vallardi, 15-61.
- Baumgarten, A. (1956). «Epikur». *Altertum*, 2, 8-14.
- Bénatouïl, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds) (2003). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses Universitaires de Strasbourg.  
[<http://pus.unistra.fr/fr/livre/?GCOI=28682100641120>]
- Bénatouïl, T.; Gourinat, J.-B.; Narcy, M. (éds) (2019). *L'épicurisme antique. Philosophie antique. Problèmes, renaissances, usages (= PhilosAnt)*, 19. Paris: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/>]
- Bignone, E. (1918-19) «Nuove ricerche epicuree». *AAT*, 54, 539-51.
- Bignone, E. (a cura di) (1920). *Epicuro: Opere, frammenti, testimonianze sulla sua vita*. Bari: Laterza (reprinted in 1964, Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider).
- Bignone, E. (1924). «Fra Epicurei e poeti». *RF*, 145-175.
- Bignone, E. (1924). «Note critiche ad Epicuro». *RF*, 383 ss.
- Bignone, E. (1932). «Studi critici sul testo di Epicuro». *SIFC*, 10, 71-118.
- Bignone, E. (1933). «Lectiones Epicureae». *Atene e Roma*, s. III, 1/1-2, 110-12.
- Bignone, E. (1933-1934). «Nuove ricerche sulla formazione filosofica di Epicuro (I-IV)». *Atene e Roma*, 13-62 (1933); *ASNP*, 273-300, 333-58 (1933), 289-330 (1934).  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298349?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298349?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)] (= *ASNP*, 273-300, 1933)  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298352?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298352?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)] (= *ASNP*, 333-58, 1933)  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298418?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24298418?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)] (= *ASNP*, 289-330, 1934)
- Bignone, E. (1973). *L'Aristotele perduto e la formazione filosofica di Epicuro*, Presentazione di V.E. Alfieri, 2 voll. Firenze: La Nuova Italia (reprinted in 2007, with a bio-bibliographical note and editorial update by G. Girgenti, Milano: Bompiani).
- Bollack, J.; Bollack, M.; Wismann, H. (éds) (1971). *La lettre d'Épicure*. Paris: Les Éditions de Minuit.
- Bollack, J., Laks, A. (éds) (1976). *Études sur l'Épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l'Université de Lille III.
- Boyancé, P. (1969) *Épicure*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Brun, J. (1959). *L'épicurisme*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Brun, J. (Ed.). (1961). *Épicure et les Épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Capone Braga G. (1943). «Aspetti pessimistici della dottrina di Epicuro». *Sophia*, 11, 42-57 (reprinted in 1951. *Studi su Epicuro*. Milano: Marzorati).

- Capone Braga, G. (1951). *Studi su Epicuro*. Milano: Marzorati.
- Clay, D. (1973). "Epicurus' Last Will and Testament". *AGPh*, 55, 252-80.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/agph/55/3/article-p252.xml>]
- Clay, D. (1986). "The Cults of Epicurus". *CErc*, 16, 11-28 (reprinted in 1998. *Paradosis and Survival: Three Chapters in the History of Epicurean Philosophy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 75-102).  
[[https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis\\_and\\_survival](https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis_and_survival)]
- Conche, M. (2014). *Sur Épicure*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.  
[<https://www.lesbelleslettres.com/livre/2705-sur-epicure>]
- Conti, A.; Rossi, G. (1878). *Esame della filosofia epicurea nelle sue fonti e nella storia*. Firenze: Tipografia di M. Ricci.
- Coppola, G. (1942). *Vita di Epicuro*. Milano: Garzanti (reprinted in 2016, Avellino: Edizioni di Ar).
- Cresson, A. (1940). *Épicure, sa vie, son œuvre, avec un exposé de sa philosophie, et des Extraits de Lucrèce*. Paris: Alcan.
- Crönert, W. (1907). "Die Epikureer in Syrien". *JOAI*, 10, 145-52.
- Dahlmann, J.H. (1928). *De philosophorum graecorum sententiis ad loquellae originem pertinentibus capita duo* [De Epicuro; De Cratylo Platónico]. PhD dissertation, Leipzig.
- Danzig, G. (2020). "Epicurus and Epicureanism in Rabbinic Literature, Maimonides, and Rabbi Nachman of Breslov". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 549-81.  
[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-21>]
- De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di) (2015). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademia Verlag.
- DeWitt, N.W. (1932). "Notes on the History of Epicureanism". *TAPA*, 166-176.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283212.pdf>]
- DeWitt, N.W. (1947). "Epicurus. Philosophy for the Millions". *CJ*, 42, 195-200.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3291745?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3291745?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- DeWitt, N.W. (1967<sup>2</sup>). *Epicurus and His Philosophy*. Cleveland-New York: The World Publishing Company (I ed. in 1954, Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press).  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctts81p>]
- Diano, C. (1936). «Questioni epicuree». *Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Classe di Scienze morali, storiche e filologiche. Rendiconti*, 819-95.
- Diano, C. (1943). «Note epicuree». *ASNP*, 12/3, 111-23.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299125?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299125?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Diano, C. (1974). *Scritti epicurei*. Firenze: Olschki.
- Dimakis, P.D. (1987). «Note al testamento di Epicuro». Pastori, F. et al. (a cura di). *Studi in onore di Arnaldo Biscardi*. Milano: Ist. Ed. Cisalpino La Goliardica, 471-92.

- Dorandi, T. (2007). « *Le corpus épiqueurien* ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épiqueur et les épiqueuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 29-48.
- Droz-Vincent, G. (1993). « Étude critique sur l'épiqueurisme antique » *EPh*, 3, 390-408.
- Duvernoy, J.-F. (1991). « *L'épiqueurisme et sa tradition antique* ». Paris: Bordas.
- [[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20848777?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20848777?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Erler, M. (1994). "Epikur-Die Schule Epikurs-Lukrez". Flashar, H. (Hrsg.). *Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie*, Begründet von F. Ueberweg, völlig neubearbeitete Ausgabe. *Die Philosophie der Antike*, Band 4: *Die hellenistische Philosophie*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 29-490.
- Erler, M. (1997). "Epikuros". Cancik, H.; Schneider, H. (Hrsgg.). *Der neue Pauly: Enzyklopädie der Antike*, Band 3. Stuttgart-Weimar: Verlag J.B. Metzler, 1130-40.
- Erler, M. (2009). "Epicureanism in the Roman Empire". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 46-64.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicureanism-in-the-roman-empire/DE6E51EB2D242758E6A6C76FCAE87A00>]
- Erler, M. (2014). "Epikur und Epikureismus". Zimmermann, B.; Rengakos, A. (Hrsgg.). *Handbuch der griechischen Literatur der Antike*. Zweiter Band: *Die Literatur der klassischen und hellenistischen Zeit*. München: Verlag C.H.Beck, 404-25.
- Euringer, M. (2011). "Epikur und Epikureismus". Eissa, T.-L.; Sorgner, S.L. (Hrsgg.). *Geschichte der Bioethik: eine Einführung*. Paderborn: Mentis, 71-83.
- Farrington, B. (1966). *The faith of Epicurus*. Amsterdam: Erasmus; Ital. transl. (1967). *Che cosa ha veramente detto Epicuro*. Roma: Ubaldini.
- Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds) (2011). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/0A0E27EDEDDB22318C21F4CD94D564>]
- Fowler, Don P. (1993). "Epicureanism". *JHS*, 113, 169-74.
- Frischer, B. (1982). *The Sculpted Word: Epicureanism and Philosophical Recruitment in Ancient Greece*. Berkeley-Los Angeles: University of California Press.  
[<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;idno=heb90022.0001.001>]
- Gabaude, J.-M. (1975). « Sur Épiqueur et l'épiqueurisme ». *Revue de l'enseignement philosophique*, 25/4, 11-7.
- Gagliarde, G. (2011). « L'Épiqueur brevement ». *ARF*, 13, 69-87.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2616000>]
- Geyer, C.-F. (2000). *Epikur zur Einführung*. Hamburg: Junius Verlag.
- Giancotti, F. (1978). *Il prelude di Lucrezio e altri scritti lucreziani ed epiqueuri*. Messina-Firenze: D'Anna.
- Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di) (1996). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*, 3 voll. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds) (2007). *Lire Épiqueur et les épiqueuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

- Gigante, M. (1992). "Das zehnte Buch des Diogenes Laertios: Epikur und der Epikureismus". Haase, W.; Temporini-Vitzthum, H. (Hrsgg). *ANRW*, II, 36, 6: *Philosophie, Wissenschaften, Technik. Philosophie (Doxographica [Forts.])*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 4302-7.  
<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110864298/10.1515/9783110864298-008.xml?rskey=Tzi3Pi&result=1>
- Gigante, M. (1996). «La storia dell'epicureismo nei Papiri ercolanesi». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 421-48.
- Giovacchini, J. (2008). *Épicure*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.  
<https://www.lesbelleslettres.com/livre/634-epicure>
- Giuffrida, P. (1940-1950). *L'epicureismo nella letteratura latina nel I sec. av. Cristo*, 2 vols. Torino: Paravia.
- Goulet, R. (2000). s.v. «Épicure de Samos». Goulet, R. (éd.). *Dictionnaire des philosophes antiques [DPHA]*, III, 154-81.
- Grilli, A. (1978). «Il naufragio di Epicuro». *RSF*, 33, 116-8.  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020191?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020191?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Heßler, J.E. (2013). "Epikur(eismus)". Heinen, H. et al. (Hrsgg). *Handwörterbuch der antiken Sklaverei*. (CD-ROM IV 2013)
- Holmes, B.; Shearin, W.H. (eds) (2012). *Dynamic Reading: Studies in the Reception of Epicureanism*. New York-Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/dynamic-reading-9780199794959?cc=it&lang=en&>
- Hossenfelder, M. (1998). *Epikur*. München: Beck.
- Innocenti, P. (1972). «Per una storia dell'epicureismo nei primi secoli dell'era volgare. Temi e problemi». *RSF*, 27, 123-47.
- Innocenti, P. (1975). *Epicuro*. Firenze: La Nuova Italia.
- Isnardi Parente, Margherita (1975). «L'epicureismo». Mathieu, V. (a cura di). *Questioni di storiografia filosofica. I: Dalle origini all'Ottocento. I: Dai presocratici a Occam*. Brescia: La Scuola Editrice, 359-98.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1978). «La dottrina di Epicuro e il "carattere pratico" della filosofia ellenistica». *Rivista Critica di Storia della Filosofia*, 33/1, 3-29 (reprinted as «Il "carattere pratico" della filosofia ellenistica e il pensiero di Epicuro». Isnardi Parente, M. (1991). *Filosofia e scienza nel pensiero ellenistico*. Napoli: Morano, 43-79).  
[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Jones, H. (1989). *The Epicurean Tradition*. London: Routledge.
- Jürss, F.; Müller, R.; Schmidt, E.G. (1988<sup>2</sup>). *Griechische Atomisten: Texte und Kommentare zum materialistischen Denken der Antike*. Leipzig: Reclams Universal-Bibliothek (I ed. 1977).
- Keenan, J.G. (1977). "A Papyrus Letter About Epicurean Philosophy Books". *GMusJ*, 5, 91-4.  
[https://ecommons.luc.edu/classicalstudies\\_facpubs/69/](https://ecommons.luc.edu/classicalstudies_facpubs/69/)
- Konstan, D. (2005). s.v. "Epicurus". *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (revised in 2009, 2014, 2018).  
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/epicurus/>

- Korfmacher, W.Ch. (1932). "The Double Face of Epicureanism". *CB*, 9, 49-50.
- Koval'čuk, S.N. (1988). « La philosophie d'Épicure ». Kude, M.Kh. (éd). *La philosophie antique : traits spécifiques et importance actuelle*. Riga: Zinatne, 42-5.
- Krokiewicz, A. (1929). «De Epicuri philosophia». *Bulletin International de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres*, 1-3/I-II, 39-54.  
[<https://rcin.org.pl/ihsan/Content/653/Bulletin%20Polonaise%201929%201-3.pdf>]
- Kruse-Berdoldt, V. (1975). *Kopienkritische Untersuchungen zu den Porträts des Epikur, Metrodor und Hermarch*. Göttingen. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.
- Leiwo, M.; Remes, P. (1999). "Partnership of Citizens and Metics: the Will of Epicurus". *CQ*, 49/1, 161-6.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/partnership-of-citizens-and-metics-the-will-of-epicurus/C7E14D7E35AC283F66B2964F0F550F7A>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Lendrum, W.T. (1908). "Epicurus and Lucretius". *CR*, 22, 261-2.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/696160?seq=1>]
- Leone, G. (2006). «Epicuro». *Enciclopedia filosofica*, vol. IV (Dom-Fic). Milano: Fondazione Centro Studi Filosofici di Gallarate-Bompiani, 3432-41.
- Lewis, D.M. (1969). "Two Days". *CR*, 19, 271-2.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/707723?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/707723?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-review/article/two-days/D683270441C31548EED0DD346D9E7D1C>]
- Long, A.A. (2006). *From Epicurus to Epictetus* Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128>]
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. "Epicuro", 138-44).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]
- Marcovich, M. (1973). "Epicurus' Shipwreck". *ZAnt*, 23, 211.
- Maso, S. (2010). Rev. to Warren, J. (ed.) (2009), *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. *BMCR*, 2010.10.54.  
[<https://bmc.brynmawr.edu/2010/2010.10.54/>]
- Mette, H.J. (1978). "Epikuros 1963-1978". *Lustrum*, 21, 45-116.
- Mette, H.J. (1984). "Epikuros 1980-1983". *Lustrum*, 26, 5-6.
- Mitsis, P. (ed.) (2020). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/oxford-handbook-of-epicurus-and-epicureanism-9780199744213?cc=us&lang=en>]
- Momigliano, A. (1935). «Su alcuni dati della vita di Epicuro». *Rivista di Filologia e di Istruzione Classica*, 13, 302-16 (reprinted in 1975. *Quinto contributo alla storia degli studi classici e del mondo antico*, Tomo primo, Roma: Edizioni di Storia e Letteratura, 545-60).

[<http://www.storiaeletteratura.it/catalogo/quinto-contributo-alla-storia-degli-studi-classici-e-del-mondo-antico/1117>]

More, P.-M. (2006). "Epicureanism". Gill, M.L.; Pellegrin, P. (eds). *A Companion to Ancient Philosophy*. Malden-Oxford-Victoria: Blackwell, 486-504.

Morel, P.-M. (2009). *Épicure : La nature et la raison*. Paris: Vrin.

O'Keefe, T. (2010). *Epicureanism*. Durham: Acumen.  
[<https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520264717/epicureanism>]

Otto, W. (1975). *Epikur*. Stuttgart: Klett; Ital. transl. (2001). *Epicuro*. Parma: Edizioni all'insegna del Veltro.

Paci, E. (1954). «L'epicureismo». Padovani, U.A.; Moschetti, A.M. (a cura di). *Grande antologia filosofica*, vol. II: *Il pensiero classico*, I. Milano: Marzorati, 483-508.

Pais, I. (1982). "Die Philosophie des Gartens. Der Epikureismus". *AUB(phil)*, 16, 55-78.

Panichas, G.A. (1967). *Epicurus*. New York: Twayne.

Pesce, D. (1974). *Saggio su Epicuro*. Bari: Laterza.

Pesce, D. (1980). *Introduzione a Epicuro*. Roma-Bari: Laterza.

Pesce, D. (1988). *Saggio su Epicuro*. Brescia: Paideia.

Philippson, R. (1931). "Zu Epikur". *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 51, 61-4.

Philippson, R. (1983). *Studien zu Epikur und den Epikureern*. Hildesheim: Olms-Weidmann.

Pisano, G. (1942). «Colote, Epicuro e Diogene di Enoanda». *Atene e Roma*, s. III, 10, 67-75.

Ramelli, I. (2020). "Early Christianity". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 582-612.  
[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-22>]

Renault, M. (1932). *Épicure*. Paris: Mellottée.

Rist, J.M. (1972). *Epicurus: An Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Ital. transl. (1978). *Introduzione a Epicuro*. Milano: Mursia.

Robert, A. (2013). «Épicure et les épicuriens au Moyen Âge». *Micrologus*, 21, 3-45.  
[<https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00875840>]

Rodis-Lewis, G. (1975). *Épicure et son école*. Paris : Gallimard.

Roskam, G. (2014). s.v. "Epicurus (341-270 BCE)". Gibbons, M.T. (ed.). *The Encyclopedia of Political Thought*. Wiley, 1108-16.

Ryba, B. (1930). "Zu Epikur". *PhW*, 50, 861-62.

Sacerdoti, N. (1975). *Epicuro: La vita il pensiero la scuola*. Milano: Edizioni Accademia.

Schmid, W.P. (1961). "Epikur". *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum*, 5/37-38, coll. 681-819.

- Schmid, W.P. (1984). *Epicuro e l'epicureismo cristiano*. Brescia: Paideia.
- Schuhl, P.-M. (1968). « Actualité de l'épicurisme ». *RPhilos*, 158, 255-62.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41090469?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41090469?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Sedley, D.N. (1976). "Epicurus and His Professional Rivals". Bollack, J.; Laks, A. (éds). *Etudes sur l'épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l'Université de Lille III, 119-59.
- Simon, M. (1974). "Epikureismus und Epikureertum. Das Fortleben philosophischer Ideen". Welskopf, E.Ch. (Hrsg.). *Hellenische Poleis*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 2017-88.
- Steckel, H. (1968). "s.v. Epikuros". *RE Suppl.* Vol. XI, coll. 579-652.
- Strozier, R.M. (1985). *Epicurus and Hellenistic Philosophy*. Lanham: University Press of America.
- Taussig, S. (éd.) (2006). *P. Gassendi: Vie et mœurs d'Épicure (De Vita et Moribus Epicuri libri octo, Lyon 1647)*, 2 vols. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Verde, F. (2013). *Epicuro*. Roma: Carocci.
- Viano, C.A. (1993). «Epicuro e gli Epicurei». Rossi, P.; Viano, C.A. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia I: L'Antichità*. Roma-Bari: Laterza, 292-311.
- Vona, P. di (1979). «Per una storia dell'epicureismo». *PP*, 34, 313-20.
- von Arnim, H. (1907). "s.v. Epikuros". *RE*, 6/1, coll. 133-55.
- Warren, J. (ed.) (2009). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/B8F667743847BCF44E146EBEC60202E7>]
- Wilson, C. (2008). *Epicureanism at the Origins of Modernity*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.  
[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/epicureanism-at-the-origins-of-modernity-9780199238811?cc=us&lang=en&>]
- Wrede, H. (1982). "Bildnisse epikureischer Philosophen". *MDAI(A)*, 97, 235-45.
- Wycherley, R.E. (1959). "The Garden of Epicurus". *Phoenix*, 13/2, 73-7.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1086973?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1086973?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

### 3. The School of Epicurus

- André, J.-M. (1996). « Stace témoin de l'épicurisme campanien ». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 909-28.
- Angeli, A. (1982). «Eterodossia a Lampsaco?». De Franciscis, A. (a cura di). *La regione sotterrata dal Vesuvio: Studi e prospettive. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, 11-15 novembre 1979*. Napoli: Università degli Studi di Napoli, 415-26.
- Angeli, A. (1986). «Compendi, eklogai, tetrapharmakos: due capitoli di dissenso nell'Epicureismo». *CErc*, 16, 53-66.

- Angeli, A. (1988). «La scuola epicurea di Lampsaco nel *PHerc.* 176 (Fr. 5 Coll. I, IV, VIII-XXIII)». *CErc*, 18, 27-51.
- Angeli, A. (1993). «Le biografie di Idomeneo, Leonteo e Batide nel *PHerc.* 176». Franchi dell'Orto, L. (a cura di). *Ercolano 1738-1988: 250 anni di ricerca archeologica. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Ravello-Ercolano-Napoli-Pompei, 30 ottobre-5 novembre 1988*. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider, 301-5.
- Baltes, M. (2003). «Nachfolge Epikurs. *Imitatio Epicuri*». Aland, B.; Hahn, J.; Ronning, C. (Hrsgg). *Literarische Konstituierung von Identifikationsfiguren in der Antike*. Tübingen: Mohr, 29-49 (reprinted in 2005. *Epinoemata. Short Texts on Classical Philosophy and Homeric Poetry. Kleine Schriften zur antiken Philosophie und homerischen Dichtung*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 111-34).  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110931433/10.1515/9783110931433.111.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110931433/10.1515/9783110931433.111.xml)
- Barigazzi, A. (1975). «L'epicureismo fino a Lucrezio». Dal Pra, M. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia, IV: La filosofia ellenistica e la patristica cristiana dal III secolo a.C. al V secolo d.C.* Milano: Vallardi, 167-78.
- Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg) (2000). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30 September-3 Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner.
- Blank, D.L. (2001). « La philologie comme arme philosophique: la connaissance technique de la rhétorique dans l'épicurisme ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 241-57.
- Brun, J. (Ed.). (1961). *Épicure et les Épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Campos Daroca, F.J.; De La Paz Lopez Martinez, M. (2010). « Communauté épicurienne et communication épistolaire. Lettres de femmes selon le *PHerc.* 176 : La correspondance de Bâtis ». Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculana: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 21-36.
- Capasso, M.; Gigante, M. (a cura di) (1982). *Trattato etico epicureo (PHerc 346)*. Napoli: Giannini.
- Castner, C.J. (1988). *Prosopography of Roman Epicureans Between the Second Century B.C. and the Second Century A.D.* Frankfurt: Peter Lang.  
[\[https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/9053\]](https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/9053)
- Cavalieri, M.C. (2010). «La biblioteca ercolanese: i contenuti». Capasso, M. (a cura di). *Leggere greco e latino fuori dai confini nel Mondo Antico: atti del I Congresso Nazionale dell'Associazione Italiana di Cultura Classica, Lecce, 10-11 maggio 2008*. Lecce: Pensa Multimedia, 57-71.
- Clarke, M.L. (1973). «The Garden of Epicurus». *Phoenix*, 27, 386-7.
- Clay, D. (1983). «Individual and Community in the First Generation of the Epicurean School». AA.VV. *ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 255-79.
- Clay, D. (1986). «The Cults of Epicurus». *CErc*, 16, 11-28 (reprinted in 1998. *Paradosis and Survival: Three Chapters in the History of Epicurean Philosophy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 75-102).  
[\[https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis\\_and\\_survival\]](https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis_and_survival)
- Clay, D. (1998). *Paradosis and Survival: Three Chapters in the History of Epicurean Philosophy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.  
[\[https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis\\_and\\_survival\]](https://www.press.umich.edu/23166/paradosis_and_survival)

Clay, D. (2007). « L'épicurisme: école et tradition ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 5-27.

Clay, D. (2009). "The Athenian Garden". Warren, J (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 9-28.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/athenian-garden/B261E64D3690BF2223B7E683CD8BA451>]

Delattre, D.; Pigeaud, J. (éds) (2010). *Les épicuriens*. Paris: Gallimard.

[<http://www.gallimard.fr/Catalogue/GALLIMARD/Bibliotheque-de-la-Pleiade/Les-Epicuriens>]

Della Valle, G. (1938). «La villa Sillana ed Augustea Pausilypon. Contributo alla storia dell'epicureismo campano». *Campania romana. Studi e materiali editi a cura della sezione campana dell'Istituto di Studi romani, I*. Napoli: Rispoli, 207-67.

Del Mastro, G. (2008). «Il PHerc. 1589 e una nuova testimonianza su Temista e Leonteo». *CErc*, 38, 221-8.

DeWitt, N.W. (1936). "Organization and Procedure in Epicurean Groups". *CPh*, 31, 205-11.

[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/361938?journalCode=cp>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/264154?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/264154?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

DeWitt, N.W. (1937). "The Later Paideia of Epicurus". *TAPA*, 326-333.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283273?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283273?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Di Fabio, T. (2018). «Corpo politico e vita morale negli Epicurei seriori». Barbanera, M. (a cura di). *Figure del corpo nel mondo antico*. Perugia: Aguaplano Editore, 189-204.

[<https://lirias.kuleuven.be/2789638?limo=0>]

Dorandi, T. (1982). «Due note Ercolanesi». *ZPE*, 45, 47-52.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20186199?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20186199?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Dorandi, T. (1999). "Chronology". Algra, K.; Barnes, J.; Mansfeld, J.; Schofield, M. (eds). *The Cambridge History of Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 31-54.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-hellenistic-philosophy/chronology/F874277AA5EF036C51A97210041734F2>]

Dorandi, T. (2000). «Plotina, Adriano e gli Epicurei di Atene». Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30 September-3 Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 137-48.

Dorandi, T. (2007). « Le corpus épicurien ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 29-48.

Dorandi, T. (2012). "Aspects of the Epigraphical and Papyrological Tradition of Praxiphanes". Martano, A.; Matelli, E.; Mirhady, D. (a cura di). *Praxiphanes of Mytilene and Chamaeleon of Heraclea. Text, Translation, and Discussion*. New York: Routledge, 445-61.

[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315127255/chapters/10.4324/9781315127255-7>]

Dorandi, T. (2016). "The School and Texts of Epicurus in the Early Centuries of the Roman Empire". Longo, A.; Taormina, D. (eds). *Plotinus and Epicurus: Matter, Perception, Pleasure*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 29-48.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/plotinus-and-epicurus/school-and-texts-of-epicurus-in-the-early-centuries-of-the-roman-empire/C4E840D84861B7C1E1575B9A0C84B957>]

Dorandi, T. (2020). "Epicurus and the Epicurean School". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 13-42.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-1>]

Dorandi, T.; Indelli, G.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1979). «Per la cronologia degli scolarchi epicurei». *CErc*, 9, 141-42.

Dyson, J.T. (1996). «Dido the Epicurean». *ClAnt*, 15/2, 203-21.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25011040?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25011040?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://online.ucpress.edu/ca/article/15/2/203/25302/Dido-the-Epicurean>]

Erbì, M. (2015). «Lettere dal *Kepos*: il concreto impegno di Epicuro per i *philois*». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 75-94.

Erler, M. (1993). «*Philologia medicans*: wie die Epikureer die Texte ihres Meisters lasen». Kullmann, W.; Althoff, J. (Hrsgg.). *Vermittlung und Tradierung von Wissen in der griechischen Kultur*. Tübingen: Narr, 281-303.

Erler, M. (1994). «Epikur-Die Schule Epikurs-Lukrez». Flashar, H. (Hrsg.). *Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie*, Begründet von F. Ueberweg, völlig neubearbeitete Ausgabe. *Die Philosophie der Antike*, Band 4: *Die hellenistische Philosophie*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 29-490.

Erler, M. (1996). «*Philologia medicans*: la lettura delle opere di Epicuro nella sua scuola». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 513-26.

Erler, M. (2009). «Epicureanism in the Roman Empire». Warren, J (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 46-64.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicureanism-in-the-roman-empire/DE6E51EB2D242758E6A6C76FCAE87A00>]

Erler, M. (2015). «La sacralizzazione di Socrate e di Epicuro». Beretta, M.; Citti, F.; Iannucci, A. (a cura di). *Il culto di Epicuro. Testi, iconografia e paesaggio*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-13.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3171524>]

Erler, M. (2018). «Epikureismus in der Kaiserzeit». Riedweg, Ch.; Horn, Ch.; Wyrwa, D. (Hrsgg.). *Grundriss der Geschichte der Philosophie*, Begründet von F. Ueberweg, völlig neubearbeitete Ausgabe. *Die Philosophie der Antike*, Band 5/1: *Philosophie der Kaiserzeit und der Spätantike*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 197-211, 242-49.

Ferguson J. (1958). «Sulpicius Lupercus Serbastus Iunior». *C&M*, 19, 120-8.

Ferguson, J. (1990). «Epicureanism Under the Roman Empire». Revised and supplemented by J.P. Hershbell. *ANRW*, 36/4, 2257-327.

[<https://www.bu.edu/ict/anrw/pub/II/36/ferguson.html>]

Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds) (2001). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/0A0E27EDEDDB22318C21F4CD94D564>]

Follet, S. (1994). «Lettres d'Hadrien aux Épicuriens d'Athènes (14.2-14.3.125) : SEG III 226 + IG II<sup>2</sup> 1097, 1 ». *Revue des Études Grecques*, 107, 158-71.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44265164?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44265164?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg\\_0035-2039\\_1994\\_num\\_107\\_509\\_2610](https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg_0035-2039_1994_num_107_509_2610)]

Gallo, I. (1983). «Ancora sullo scolarcato epicureo di Tespi (*PHerc.* 1044, fr. 11)». *ZPE*, 51, 51-54.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20183803?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20183803?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds) (2007). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

[[https://www.puf.com/content/Lire\\_%C3%89picure\\_et\\_les\\_%C3%A9picuriens](https://www.puf.com/content/Lire_%C3%89picure_et_les_%C3%A9picuriens)]

Gigante, M. (1983). «L'Épicureismo a Roma da Alcio e Filisco a Fedro». Gigante, M. *Ricerche Filodemee*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 25-34.

Giovacchini, J. (2018). « L'histoire du Jardin comme école : entre réalité historique et utopie philosophique ». *Métis*, 16, 237-60.

[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01949738>]

Giovacchini, J.; Darras, C.; Delattre, D.; Delattre-Biencourt, J. (2010). « Vocabulaire de l'épicurisme ». Delattre, D.; Pigeaud, J. (éds). *Les Epicuriens*. Paris: Gallimard, 1425-60.

[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02376095>]

Hemmerdinger, B. (1994). « L'épicurien Marcus Octavius et sa bibliothèque d'Herculanum ». *Eikasmos*, 5, 277-9.

Janko, R. (2008). "New Fragments of Epicurus, Metrodorus, Demetrius Laco, Philodemus, the *Carmen De Bello Actiaco* and Other Texts in Oxonian *Disegni* of 1788-1792". *CErc*, 38, 5-95.

Koch, R. (2005). *Comment peut-on être dieu ? La secte d'Épicure*. Paris: Belin.

[<https://www.belin-editeur.com/comment-peut-etre-dieu>]

Leslie, R.J. (1950). *The Epicureanism of Titus Pomponius Atticus*. PhD dissertation, Columbia University.

Liebich, W. (1953). "Aus der Arbeit an den Papyri von Herculaneum". *Wissenschaftliche Annalen*, 2, 304-13.

Longo Auricchio, F. (1978). «La scuola di Epicuro». *CErc*, 8, 21-37.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1981). «Aspetti e problemi della dissidenza epicurea». *CErc*, 11, 25-40.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci.

[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

Matelli, E. (2012). *Prassifane: Testimonianze e frammenti, Filosofia e grammatica in età ellenistica*. Milano: Vita e Pensiero.

[<https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-libro/elisabetta-matelli/prassifane-testimonianze-e-frammenti-9788834321515-140429.html>]

Monet, A. (2003). « Pratiques exégétiques au sein de l'école épicurienne : le corps et le visible ». *CErc*, 33, 169-73.

Mühl, P. von der (1955). "Basilius und der letzte Brief Epikurs". *MH*, 12, 47-9.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24812156?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24812156?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Pace, N. (2000). «La rivoluzione umanistica della scuola epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo, teorici di poesia». *CErc*, 30, 71-9.

Philippson, R. (1983). *Studien zu Epikur und den Epikureern*. Hildesheim: Olms-Weidmann.

- Pohlenz, M. (1943). "Menander und Epikur". *Hermes*, 78, 270-5.
- Puglia, P. (1982). «La filologia degli Epicurei». *CErc*, 12, 19-34.
- Radin, M. (1949). *Epicurus, My Master*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.  
[<http://www.epicurism.info/etexts/epicurusmymaster.html>]
- Rodis-Lewis, G. (1975). *Épicure et son école*. Paris : Gallimard.
- Russo, A. (1979). «La scuola di Epicuro». *Vichiana*, 8, 149-61.
- Sedley, D.N. (2009). "Epicureanism in the Roman Republic". Warren, J (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 29-45.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicureanism-in-the-roman-republic/227C287C35CEAA34B027BA178F68C1FE>]
- Smith, M.F. (1996). "An Epicurean Priest from Apamea in Syria". *ZPE*, 112, 120-30.  
[<http://www.uni-koeln.de/phil-fak/ifa/zpe/downloads/1996/112pdf/112120.pdf>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20189781?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20189781?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Swist, J.J. (2017). "Prosecuting Epicurus in the emperor Julian's court: a reading of Himerius Oration 3". *Journal of Late Antiquity*, 10/1, 221-49.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/670879>]
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1980). «Il ΚΗΠΙΟΣ epicureo nel *PHerc.* 1780». *CErc*, 10, 17-24.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (2000). «La Scuola di Epicuro: Metrodoro, Polieno, Ermarco». *CErc*, 30, 35-44.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (2010). «Le lettere private del ΚΗΠΙΟΣ: Metrodoro, i maestri e gli amici epicurei (*PHerc.* 176 e *PHerc.* 1418)». Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculanensia: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 37-59.
- Velissaropoulos-Karakostas, J. (2000). Ο Αδριανός και η διαδοχή του Επικούρου. Velissaropoulos-Karakostas, J. et al. (eds). *Τιμαί Ιωάννου Τριανταφυλλοπούλου*, 317-33.
- Verde, F. (2015). "Boethus the Epicurean". *PhilosAnt*, 15, 205-24.  
[<http://journals.openedition.org/philosant/419>]
- Verde, F. (2020). «Momenti di riflessione sull'animalità nel *Kepon*: Epicuro, Lucrezio, Ermarco e Polistrato». Gensini, S. (ed.). *La voce e il logos: Filosofie dell'animalità nella storia delle idee*. Pisa: ETS, 53-78.
- Viano, C.A. (1993). «Epicuro e gli Epicurei». Rossi, P.; Viano, C.A. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia I: L'Antichità*. Roma-Bari: Laterza, 292-311.
- Vogliano, A. (a cura di) (1928). *Nuove lettere di Epicuro e dei suoi scolari*. Bologna: Stabil. poligraf.
- Vogliano, A. (1930). "Ein neues Fragment von Epikur". *APF*, 9/1-2, 1-4.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apf/9/1-2/article-p1.xml>]
- Vogliano, A. (1952). «Dall'Epistolario di Epicuro e dei primi scolari». *Prolegomena*, 1, 43-60.
- Warren, J. (2011). «Epicureismo». Eco, U. (a cura di). *La grande storia - L'antichità*, Vol. 5 - *Grecia: Filosofia*. Milano: Corriere della sera, 482-97.
- Wrede, H. (1982). "Bildnisse epikureischer Philosophen". *MDAI(A)*, 97, 235-45.

## - Metrodorus of Lampsacus

Blanchard, A. (1991). «Épicure, *Sentence Vaticane* 14 : Épicure ou Métrodore? ». *REG*, 104, 394-409.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44264714?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44264714?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg\\_0035-2039\\_1991\\_num\\_104\\_497\\_2519](https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg_0035-2039_1991_num_104_497_2519)]

Bredlow, L.A. (2008). "Diogenes Laertius 10, 22: Metrodorus of Lampsacus or of Athens?". *Philologus*, 152/1, 145-48.

Califf, D.J. (2003). "Metrodorus of Lampsacus and the Problem of Allegory: An Extreme Case?". *Arethusa*, 36/1, 21-36.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44578802?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44578802?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/38626/pdf>]

Koerte, A. (Hrsg.) (1890). *Metrodori Epicurei fragmenta*. Leipzig: Teubner.

Kruse-Berdoldt, V. (1975). *Kopienkritische Untersuchungen zu den Porträts des Epikur, Metrodor und Hermarch*. Göttingen. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Longo Auricchio, F. (1985). «Testimonianze dalla Retorica di Filodemo sulla concezione dell'oratoria nei primi maestri epicurei». *CErc*, 15, 31-61.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. "Metrodoro", 144-5).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

Puglia, E. (1997). «Su una lettera riguardante libri di Metrodoro ed Epicuro: (PGettyMus acc. 76. AI. 57)». *ZPE*, 117, 42-4.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20189998?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20189998?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Roskam, G. (2011). "Reading fables in Epicurus' Garden. On Metrodorus, fr. 60 K". *CErc*, 41, 33-6.  
[[https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1644381&context=L&vid=Lirias&search\\_scope=Lirias&tab=default\\_tab&lang=en\\_US&fromSitemap=1](https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1644381&context=L&vid=Lirias&search_scope=Lirias&tab=default_tab&lang=en_US&fromSitemap=1)]

Roskam, G. (2019). "Metrodorus of Lampsacus in Plutarch". Leão, D.; Guerrier, O. (éds). *Figures de sages, figures de philosophes dans l'oeuvre de Plutarque*. Coimbra: Coimbra University Press, 109-26.  
[[https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS2929209&context=L&vid=Lirias&search\\_scope=Lirias&tab=default\\_tab&lang=en\\_US&fromSitemap=1](https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS2929209&context=L&vid=Lirias&search_scope=Lirias&tab=default_tab&lang=en_US&fromSitemap=1)]

Spinelli, E. (1986). «Metrodoro contro i dialettici?». *CErc*, 16, 29-43.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1983). «I primi seguaci di Epicuro». AA.VV. *ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 519-23.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1990). «Il contributo di Metrodoro di Lampsaco alla formazione della teoria epicurea del linguaggio». *CErc*, 20, 17-25.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1992). «Metrodoro *Contro i dialettici?*». *CErc*, 22, 119-22.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2000). «La Scuola di Epicuro: Metrodoro, Polieno, Ermarco». *CErc*, 30, 35-44.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2007). «Metrodoro ἀγαθὸς οἰκονόμος. Rileggendo Philod., *Oec.* coll. XIV 23-XV 21 (*PHerc.* 1424)». *CErc*, 37, 67-76.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2008). «Un frammento di Metrodoro di Lampsaco in Filodemo (*PHerc.* 57, col. 3)». *CErc*, 38, 103-7.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2010). «Le lettere private del ΚΗΠΙΟΣ: Metrodoro, i maestri e gli amici epicurei (*PHerc.* 176 e *PHerc.* 1418)». Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculanensia: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 37-59.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2010). «Le opere *Contro l'Eutifrone di Platone* e *Contro il Gorgia di Platone*: per una nuova edizione dei frammenti di Metrodoro di Lampsaco». *CErc*, 40, 39-49.

Verde, F. (2017). «An Unrecognized Fragment of Metrodorus of Lampsacus' Against Timocrates? Some Reflexions on Philodemus' *De Ira* (coll. 12.22-32, and 45.8-12)». *ASNP*, 9/1, 249-56.

Verde, F. (2018). «Metrodoro di Lampsaco critico di Posidippo? Su *AP IX 360*». *Hermes*, 146/3, 358-67.  
[<https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/fsv/hermes/2018/00000146/00000003/art00009>]

Wrede, H. (1982). «Bildnisse epikureischer Philosophen». *MDAI(A)*, 97, 235-45.

### - Hermarchus of Mytilene

Blank, D.L.; Longo Auricchio, F. (2017). «Ermarco contro Alessino: nuove luci su una polemica antica». *CErc*, 47, 13-40.

Erler, M. (2009). «Ursachenanalyse von Krieg und Frieden bei Platon und in der hellenistischen Philosophie». Holzem, A. (Hrsg.). *Krieg und Christentum: religiöse Gewalttheorien in der Kriegserfahrung des Westens*. Paderborn: Schöningh, 169-79.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/46569?rskey=wPeN3b&result=27>]

Essler, H. (2005). «Un nuovo frammento di Ermarco nel *PHerc.* 152/157 (Filodemo, *De dis*, libro III)». *CErc*, 35, 151-60.

Essler, H. (2016). «Ehebruch bei Göttern und Steinen (*PHerc.* 152/157, Frg. 42, 25-Frg. 78, 9)». *CErc*, 46, 101-08.

Essler, H. (2017). «*PHerc.* 152/157 - an Author's Master Copy». *S&T*, 15, 57-80.  
[[https://www.unicas.it/siti/eventi/rivista-segno-e-testo/volumi-pubblicati/vol-15-\(2017\).aspx](https://www.unicas.it/siti/eventi/rivista-segno-e-testo/volumi-pubblicati/vol-15-(2017).aspx)]

Gallo, I. (1985). «Ermarco e la polemica epicurea contro Empedocle». Cosenza, P. (a cura di). *Esistenza e destino nel pensiero greco arcaico*. Napoli: Ed. scient. ital., 33-50.

Kruse-Berdoldt, V. (1975). *Kopienkritische Untersuchungen zu den Porträts des Epikur, Metrodor und Hermarch*. Göttingen. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Leiwo, M.; Remes, P. (1999). «Partnership of Citizens and Metics: the Will of Epicurus». *CQ*, 49/1, 161-6.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/partnership-of-citizens-and-metics-the-will-of-epicurus/C7E14D7E35AC283F66B2964F0F550F7A>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Longo Auricchio, F. (1985). «Testimonianze dalla Retorica di Filodemo sulla concezione dell'oratoria nei primi maestri epicurei». *CErc*, 15, 31-61.

Longo Auricchio, F. (a cura di) (1988). *Ermarco: Frammenti*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Longo Auricchio, F. (2013). «Osservazioni e precisazioni su Ermarco». *CErc*, 43, 7-16.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Ermarco”, 149).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

Kruse-Berdoldt, V. (1975). “Kopienkritische Untersuchungen zu den Porträts des Epikur, Metrodor und Hermarch”. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Muscettola, A.S. (1976). «Ritratti di filosofi da Baia». *RAAN*, 51, 31-8.

Obbink, D. (1988). “Hermarchus, *Against Empedocles*”. *CQ*, 38/2, 428-35.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/hermarchus-against-empedocles/75E65453F466C3D5B982579D57C02222>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638988?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638988?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Piergiacomi, E. (2015). «Διάλεκτος κατ’ ἀναλογίαν: la “quasi lingua” degli dèi di Epicuro». *WJA*, 39, 39-68.  
[<http://wuerzburger-jahrbuecher.de/abstract-piergiacomi-392015/>]

Robitzsch, J.M. (2017). “The Epicureans on human nature and its social and political consequences”. *Polis*, 34/1, 1-19.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1\\_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.](https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.)]

Ross, W.; Obbink, D.; Schofield, M. (2016). s.v. “Hermarchus of Mytilene”. *Oxford Classical Dictionary*.  
[<https://oxfordre.com/classics/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780199381135.001.0001/acrefore-9780199381135-e-3036>]

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2000). «La Scuola di Epicuro: Metrodoro, Polieno, Ermarco». *CErc*, 30, 35-44.

Waerdt, P.A. van der (1988). “Hermarchus and the Epicurean Genealogy of Morals”. *TAPA*, 118, 87-106.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/284163#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/284163#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Wrede, H. (1982). “Bildnisse epikureischer Philosophen”. *MDAI(A)*, 97, 235-45.

Zago, G. (2009). «Porfirio, *De abst.* 1, 10, 2-3 (Ermarco, fr. 34 Longo Auricchio)». *RhM*, 152/3-4, 409-10.  
[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/152/M-Zago.pdf>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234811?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234811?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

## - Polyaeus of Lampsacus

Bénatouïl, T. (2010). « Les critiques épiciuriennes de la géométrie ». Bour, P.E.; Rebuschi, M.; Rollet, L. (Hrsgg). *Construction: Festschrift for Gerhard Heinzmann*. London: College Publications, 151-62.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00563545/document#:~:text=Dans%20son%20Commentaire%20sur%20le,en%20montrer%20les%20parties%20infond%C3%A9es.>]

Longo Auricchio, F. (1985). «Testimonianze dalla Retorica di Filodemo sulla concezione dell’oratoria nei primi maestri epicurei». *CErc*, 15, 31-61.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Polieno”, 145-6).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

Sedley, D.N. (1976). "Epicurus and the Mathematicians of Cyzicus". *CErc*, 6, 23-54.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (a cura di) (1991). *Polieno: Frammenti*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1992). «Un maestro del Giardino di Epicuro: Polieno di Lampsaco». *Èuresis*, 8, 35-47.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1992). «Per una edizione dei frammenti dei primi Maestri Epicurei: Polieno di Lampsaco». El-Mosalamy, A.H.S. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XIXth International Congress of Papyrology*, Cairo 2-9 September 1989. Cairo: Ain Shams University, 147-60.

Tepedino Guerra, A. (2000). «La Scuola di Epicuro: Metrodoro, Polieno, Ermarco». *CErc*, 30, 35-44.

Verde, F. (2013). Elachista: *La dottrina dei minimi nell'Epicureismo*. Leuven: Leuven University Press (espec. cap. III.1.2).

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdznj>]

### **- Idomeneus of Lampsacus**

Angeli, A. (1981). «I frammenti di Idomeneo di Lampsaco». *CErc*, 11, 41-101.

Angeli, A. (1981). «L'opera *Sui demagoghi in Atene* di Idomeneo». *Vichiana*, 10, 5-16.

Angeli, A. (1981). «Per una ricostruzione della biografia di Idomeneo di Lampsaco (*PHerc.* 463, col. IX,1672, coll. X 21-XI 13)». Bagnall, R.S. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XVIth International Congress of Papyrology*. Chico: Scholars Press, 115-23.

Angeli, A. (1984). «Accessione a Idomeneo». *CErc*, 14, 147.

Angeli, A. (1993). «Le biografie di Idomeneo, Leonteo e Batide nel *PHerc.* 176». Franchi dell'Orto, L. (a cura di). *Ercolano 1738-1988: 250 anni di ricerca archeologica. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Ravello-Ercolano-Napoli-Pompei, 30 ottobre-5 novembre 1988*. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider, 301-5.

Angeli, A. (2000). « Idoménée de Lampsaque ». Goulet, R. (éd.). *Dictionnaire des philosophes antiques* [DPhA], III, 860-3.

Cooper, C. (1997). "Idomeneus of Lampsacus on the Athenian Demagogues". *EMC*, 16/3, 455-82.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/651780/pdf>]

Liebich, W. (1953). "Aus der Arbeit an den Papyri von Herculaneum". *Wissenschaftliche Annalen*, 2, 304-13.

Saldutti, V. (2013). «Un frammento di Idomeneo di Lampsaco sul giovane Cleone». *QUCC*, 103, 81-9.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2633174>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44740661?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44740661?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Saldutti, V. (2015). «Sul demagogo e la demagogia in età classica: una sintesi critica». *IncidAntico*, 13, 81-110.

Vogliano, A. (1952). «Dall'Epistolario di Epicuro e dei primi scolari». *Prolegomena*, 1, 43-60.

### **- Colotes of Lampsacus**

- Allese, F. (2003). «La polemica di Colote contro il “socratico” Menedemo». *CErc*, 33, 101-6.
- Arrighetti, G. (1979). «Un passo dell’opera *Sulla natura* di Epicuro, Democrito e Colote». *CErc*, 9, 5-10.
- Boulogne, J. (2004). «Plutarque exégète d’Empédocle : une leçon de lecture ». *RPhA*, 22/2, 97-110.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24354829?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24354829?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Concolino Mancini, A. (1976). «Sulle opere polemiche di Colote». *CErc*, 6, 61-7.
- Corti, A. (2013). «È realmente esistita una polemica tra Arcesilao e la scuola epicurea?». *Aitia : Regards sur la culture hellénistique au XXIe siècle*, 3.  
[<http://aitia.revues.org/772>]
- Corti, A. (2014). *L’Adversus Colotem di Plutarco: Storia di una polemica filosofica*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt13x0mtp>]
- Crönert, W. (1906). *Kolotes und Menedemos*. Leipzig: Avenarius.  
[<https://archive.org/details/Croenert1906KolotesUndMenedemos>]
- Gallo, I. (2005). «Aspetti della critica all’epicureismo nell’*Adversus Colotem* di Plutarco». Jufresa, M. (éd.). *Plutarco a la seva època : paideia i societat. Actas del VIII simposio español sobre Plutarco (Barcelona, 6-8 de novembre de 2003)*. Barcelona: Departament de Filologia Grega Universitat de Barcelona, 283-7.  
[<https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/libro?codigo=9008>]
- Indelli, G. (2000). «Colote di Lampsaco, il bersaglio polemico di Plutarco, e Polistrato, il terzo capo del Giardino». *CErc*, 30, 45-52.
- Janko, R. (2017). “Empedocles’ *On nature* fr. B 8-9 in the context of Plutarch’s *Against Colotes*”. *CQ*, 67/1, 1-6.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/empedocles-on-nature-fr-b-89-in-the-context-of-plutarchs-against-colotes/92EEE2F9E6C8C24151E15243FCE5FA64>]
- Kechagia, E. (2010). “Rethinking a Professional Rivalry. Early Epicureans Against the *Stoa*”. *CQ*, 60/1, 132-55.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/rethinking-a-professional-rivalry-early-epicureans-against-the-stoa/F1845B5F91016F7AAE7BBC6AB8829B39>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40984744?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40984744?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Kechagia, E. (2011). *Plutarch against Colotes: A lesson in history of philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199597239.001.0001/acprof-9780199597239#:~:text=By%20refuting%20Colotes%20Epicurean%20arguments,about%20doing%20and%20reading%20philosophy.>]
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Colote”, 146-8).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]
- Martos Montiel, J.F. (2005). «Plutarco transmisor de la filosofía epicúrea: el *Contra Colotes* entre polémica y didáctica». Casanova, A. (a cura di). *Plutarco e l’età ellenistica: atti del convegno internazionale di studi, Firenze, 23-24 settembre 2004*. Firenze: Università di Firenze, Dipartimento di scienze dell’antichità, 337-50.
- Périllié, J.-L. (2005). «Colotès et la béatification épicurienne de l’amitié ». *EPh*, 2, 229-59.  
[<https://www.cairn.info/revue-les-etudes-philosophiques-2005-2-page-229.htm>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20849699?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20849699?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Piergiacomi, E. (2016). "Conflicts of Atomism: Some Major Differences Between Democritus and Colotes". *Elenchos*, 37/1-2, 147-80.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/37/1-2/article-p147.xml>]

Piettre, R. (1998). « La proscynèse de Colotès: une lecture de Plutarque, *Moralia* 1117b-f ». *Lalies: actes des sessions de linguistique et de littérature. 18, Aussois, 25-30 août 1997*. Paris: Presses de l'École Normale Supérieure, 185-202.

Pisano, G. (1942). «Colote, Epicuro e Diogene di Enoanda». *Atene e Roma*, 10, 67-75.

Robitzsch, J.M. (2017). "The Epicureans on human nature and its social and political consequences". *Polis*, 34/1, 1-19.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1\\_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.](https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.)]

Roskam, G. (2008). "Arguments as Boxing Gloves: Ethics of Philosophical Polemics in Middle Platonism". *LEC*, 76/2-3, 197-231.

[<http://lesetudesclassiques.be/index.php/lec/article/view/87>]

Roskam, G. (2010-2011). "How to Deal With the Philosophical Tradition? Some General Rules in Plutarch's Anti-Epicurean Treatises". *Ploutarchos*, 8, 133-46.

[[https://digitalis.uc.pt/pt-pt/artigo/how\\_deal\\_philosophical\\_tradition\\_some\\_general\\_rules\\_plutarch%E2%80%99s\\_anti\\_epicurean\\_treatises](https://digitalis.uc.pt/pt-pt/artigo/how_deal_philosophical_tradition_some_general_rules_plutarch%E2%80%99s_anti_epicurean_treatises)]

Schröder, S.F. (2000). "Neue Dokumente zur Identifizierung des sog. Kolotes-Porträts mit Lykurgos von Athen". *MDAI(M)*, 41, 355-7.

Waardt, P.A. van der (1989). "Colotes and the Epicurean Refutation of Skepticism". *GRBS*, 30, 225-67.

[<https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4291>]

Westman, R. (1955). *Plutarch gegen Kolotes: Seine Schrift Adversus Colotem als philosophiegeschichtliche Quelle (Acta Philosophica Fennica, Fasc. VII)*. Helsinki: Editio Societas Philosophica.

Wiener, C. (2004). "Kurskorrektur auf der Jenseitsfahrt: Plutarchs Thespesios-Mythos und Kolotes' Kritik an Platons *Politeia*". *WJA*, 28/a, 49-63.

[<https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/wja/article/download/30670/24347>]

## - Carneiscus

Capasso, M. (1984). «Per una nuova edizione del *Filista* di Carneisco (*PHerc.* 1027)». AA.VV. *Atti del xvii Congresso internazionale di papirologia (Napoli, 19-26 maggio 1983)*. Napoli: Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri di Ercolano, 405-17.

Capasso, M. (1984). «Prassifane, Epicuro e Filodemo. A proposito di Diog. Laert. X 13 e Philod. *Poem.* V IX, 10-X, 1». *Elenchos*, 5, 391-415.

Capasso, M. (1986). «Altre falsificazioni negli apografi ercolanesi». *CErc*, 16, 149-53.

Capasso, M. (a cura di) (1988). *Carneisco: Il secondo libro del Filista (PHerc. 1027)*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Carneisco”, 148-9).

[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

### - Polystratus

Bignone, E. (1941). «Note di critica del testo a Epicureo, a Seneca, alla Vita di Empedocle di Diogene Laerzio, a Polistrato Epicureo». *Bollettino del Comitato per la preparazione dell'Edizione nazionale dei Classici greci e latini*, 103-9.

Capasso, M. (1976). «L'opera polistratea sulla filosofia». *CErc*, 6, 81-4.

Capasso, M. (1982). «Polistrato uditore di Epicuro?». *CErc*, 12, 5-12.

De Lacy, Ph. (1977). “Polystratus *De philosophia* I, col. III”. *CErc*, 7, 126.

Grossardt, P. (2014). “Philostr. VA 8,31 und die Frage nach der epikureischen Prägung des *Corpus Philostrateum*”. *WJA*, 38, 5-37.

[<https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/wja/article/view/67347>]

Indelli, G. (1975). «Per l'interpretazione di Polistrato». *CErc*, 5, 87-97.

Indelli, G. (1977). «Polistrato contro gli Scettici». *CErc*, 7, 85-95.

Indelli, G. (a cura di) (1978). *Polistrato: Sul disprezzo irrazionale delle opinioni popolari*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Indelli, G. (2000). «Colote di Lampsaco, il bersaglio polemico di Plutarco, e Polistrato, il terzo capo del Giardino». *CErc*, 30, 45-52.

Indelli, G. (2020). «Epicuro, *La natura* XXXIV, e Polistrato, *Il disprezzo irrazionale delle opinioni popolari*». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *'Vedere' l'invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 135-146.

Isnardi Parente, M. (1971). «L'epicureo Polistrato e le categorie». *PP*, 26, 280-9.

Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «A proposito di due recenti studi epicurei». *Rivista Critica di Storia della Filosofia*, 35/4, 389-408.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44022001?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44022001?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Polistrato”, 149-51).

[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]

### - Philonides of Laodicea

Assante, M.G. (2010). «Osservazioni preliminari sull'anatomia del *PHerc. 1044 (Vita Philonidis)*». Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculansia, Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 231-45.

[<https://www.libraweb.net/index.php?dettagliopdf=1&chiave=2515&valore=sku&name=Herculansia.jpg&h=428&w=300>]

Assante, M.G. (2010). «*PHerc. 1044 (Vita Philonidis)*: fr. 58-59 Gallo», *CErc*, 40, 51-64.

Capasso, M.; Cappelluzzo, M.G.; Concolino Mancini, A.; Falcone, N.; Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino, A. (1976). «In margine alla *Vita di Filonide*». *CErc*, 6, 55-9.

Crönert, W. (1900). “Der Epikureer Philonides”. *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 2, 942-59; Ital. transl. (1975). «L’epicureo Filonide». Crönert, W. *Studi ercolanesi*, ed. italiana a cura di E. Livrea. Napoli: Morano, 39-61.

De Sanctis, D. (2009). «Il filosofo e il re: osservazioni sulla *Vita Philonidis* (PHerc. 1044)». *CErc*, 39, 107-18.

Ferrario, M. (2000). «La nascita della filologia epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 53-61.

Gallo, I. (2002). «Vita di Filonide epicureo (PHerc. 1044)». Gallo, I. (ed.). *Studi di papirologia ercolanese*. Napoli: D’Auria, 59-205.

Gera, D. (1999). “Philonides the Epicurean at Court: Early Connections”. *ZPE*, 125, 77-83.  
[<http://www.uni-koeln.de/phil-fak/ifa/zpe/downloads/1999/125pdf/125077.pdf>]

Habicht, C. (1988). “Zur Vita des Epikureers Philonides (PHerc. 1044)”. *ZPE*, 74, 211-4.

Koch Piettre, R. (2010). « Philonidès de Laodicée et le canon épicurien ». *Cahiers du Centre Gustave Glotz*, 21, 385-408.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/ccgg\\_1016-9008\\_2010\\_num\\_21\\_1\\_1738](https://www.persee.fr/doc/ccgg_1016-9008_2010_num_21_1_1738)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24360012?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24360012?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Philippon, R. (1941). s.v. “Philonides (Mathematiker und Epikureer)”. *RE*, 20/1, coll. 63-73.

Verde, F. (2013). *Elachista: La dottrina dei minimi nell’Epicureismo*. Leuven: Leuven University Press (espec. cap. III.1.2).  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdznj>]

Verde, F. (2013). “Epicurean Attitude Toward Geometry: The Sceptical Account”. Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 131-50.

Verde, F. (forthcoming). “«Faithful Innovations» in the Epicurean Science of Nature: Philonides and Demetrius Laco”. Erler, M.; Heßler, J.H.; Petrucci, F.M. (eds). *Allegiance, System, and Use of Texts: On Auctoritas of the Master and Dealing with Authoritative Texts in Platonism and Epicureanism in the Hellenistic and Imperial Age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

#### - Nicasirates

Asmis, E. (1990). “Philodemus’ Epicureanism”. *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt*, II 36/4, 2369-406 (espec. 2396-8).

Crönert, W. (1906). *Kolotes und Menedemos*. Leipzig: Avenarius (espec. 89 ss.).  
[<https://archive.org/details/Croenert1906KolotesUndMenedemos>]

Dorandi, T. (1980). «La rassegna dei filosofi di Filodemo». *RAAN*, 55, 31-49.

Indelli, G. (a cura di) (1988). *Filodemo, L’ira*. Napoli: Bibliopolis (espec. 153-5, 223-4).

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1981). «Aspetti e problemi della dissidenza epicurea». *CErc*, 11, 25-40.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1982). «Chi è Timasagora?». *La regione sotterrata dal Vesuvio. Studi e prospettive*, Atti del Convegno Internazionale 11-15 novembre 1979. Napoli: Università degli Studi, 405-13.

Philippson, R. (1936). “Nikasikrates und Timasagoras”. *RE*, 17/1, coll. 281-3.

Procopé, J. (1998). “Epicureans on Anger”. Sihvola, J.; Engberg-Pedersen, T. (eds), *The Emotions in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Dordrecht-Boston-London: Springer, 171-96 (espec. 106).  
[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-015-9082-2\\_6](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-015-9082-2_6)]

Sedley, D.N. (1989). “Philosophical Allegiance in the Greco-Roman World”. Griffin, M.; Barnes, J. (eds). *Philosophia Togata: Essays on Philosophy and Roman Society*, I. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 97-119 (espec. 103-17).

Wilke, K. (1914). *Philodemi Epicurei De ira liber*. Leipzig: Teubner (espec. XXI ss.).

### - Timasagoras of Rhodes

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1981). «Aspetti e problemi della dissidenza epicurea». *CErc*, 11, 25-40.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1982). «Chi è Timasagora?». *La regione sotterrata dal Vesuvio. Studi e prospettive*, Atti del Convegno Internazionale 11-15 novembre 1979. Napoli: Università degli Studi, 405-13.

Philippson, R. (1918). “Der Epikureer Timasagoras”. *Berliner Philologische Wochenschrift*, 38, coll. 1072-3.

Philippson, R. (1936). “Nikasikrates und Timasagoras”. *RE*, 17/1, coll. 281-3.

Verde, F. (2010). «Ancora su Timasagora epicureo». *Elenchos*, 31/2, 285-317.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/31/2/article-p285.xml>]

### - Zeno of Sidon

Angeli, A.; Colaizzo, M. (1979). «I frammenti di Zenone Sidonio». *CErc*, 9, 43-133.

Angeli, A.; Dorandi, T. (2008). «Gli Epicurei e la geometria: un progetto di geometria antieucleidea nel Giardino di Epicuro?». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-9.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478264>]

Bénatouïl, T. (2010). « Les critiques épicuriennes de la géométrie ». Bour, P.E.; Rebuschi, M.; Rollet, L. (Hrsgg). *Construction: Festschrift for Gerhard Heinzmann*. London: College Publications, 151-62.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00563545/document#:~:text=Dans%20son%20Commentaire%20sur%20le,en%20montrer%20les%20parties%20infond%C3%A9es.>]

Blank, D.L. (2001). « La philologie comme arme philosophique : la connaissance technique de la rhétorique dans l'épicurisme ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 241-57.

Del Mastro, G. (2017). «Σημεῖα nel Περί σημείων di Filodemo». *S&T*, 15, 37-55.

Del Mastro, G.; Kleve, K. (2000). «Il *PHerc.* 1533: Zenone Sidonio *A Cratero*». *CErc*, 30, 149-56.

- Ferrario, M. (2000). «La nascita della filologia epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 53-61.
- Fritz, K. von (1930). “Über den „Stoiker“ Zenon von Sidon”. *Philologus*, 85/1-4, 481-2.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/85/1-4/article-p480.xml?language=en>]
- Fritz, K. von (1972). “Zenon, Sohn des Musaios, aus Sidon”. *Paulys Realencyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft*, 19, 2, 121-2.
- Gigante, M. (1998). «Zenone Sidonio e la poesia». *CErc*, 28, 85-98.
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Zenone Sidonio”, 155-6).  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)]
- Mansfeld, J. (1983). “Intuitionism and Formalism. Zeno’s Definition of Geometry in a Fragment of L. Calvenus Taurus”. *Phronesis*, 28, 59-74.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/28/1/article-p59\\_4.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/28/1/article-p59_4.xml?language=en)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182165?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182165?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Netz, R. (2015). “Were There Epicurean Mathematicians?”. *OSAPh*, 49, 283-319.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198749516.001.0001/acprof-9780198749516-chapter-8#:~:text=This%20chapter%20resolves%20the%20puzzle,for%20their%20existence%20is%20spurious.&text=The%20evidence%20for%20other%20purported,should%20on%20balance%20be%20rejected.>]
- Rispoli, G.M. (2005). «Tragedia e tragici nei papiri ercolanesi». *Vichiana*, 7/1, 195-230.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1983). «Zenone di Sidone». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 551-2.
- Vlastos, G. (1966). “Zeno of Sidon as a Critic of Euclid”. Wallach, L. (ed.). *The Classical Tradition: Literary and Historical Studies in Honor of Harry Caplan*. Ithaca-New York: Cornell University Press, 148-59 (reprinted in 1995. *Studies in Greek Philosophy*, vol. II: *Socrates, Plato, and Their Tradition*, ed. by D. W. Graham. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 315-24).
- Demetrius of Laconia**
- Angeli, A.; Dorandi, T. (1987). «Il pensiero matematico di Demetrio Lacone». *CErc*, 17, 89-103.
- Angeli, A.; Dorandi, T. (2008). «Gli Epicurei e la geometria: un progetto di geometria antieuculea nel Giardino di Epicuro?». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-9.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478264>]
- Bénatouïl, T. (2010). « Les critiques épiciuriennes de la géométrie ». Bour, P.E.; Rebuschi, M.; Rollet, L. (Hrsgg). *Construction: Festschrift for Gerhard Heinzmann*. London: College Publications, 151-62.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00563545/document#:~:text=Dans%20son%20Commentaire%20sur%20le,en%20montrer%20les%20parties%20infond%C3%A9es.>]
- De Falco, V. (1923). *L'epicureo Demetrio Lacone*. Napoli: Cimmaruta.
- Del Mastro, G. (2004). «Demetrio Lacone e la correzione degli errori nei testi epicurei (*PHerc.* 1012, coll. XXXIV 3-9 Puglia)». *CErc*, 34, 205-8.

- Dorandi, T. (1994). « D  m  trios Lacon (ou le Laconien) ». Goulet, R. (  d.). *Dictionnaire des Philosophes Antiques*, vol. II: de *Bab  lyca d'Argos    Dyscolius*. Paris, CNRS   ditions, 637-41.
- Ferrario, M. (2000). «La nascita della filologia epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 53-61.
- Heel, J. van (1989). «Un frammento perduto del *PHerc.* 831». *CErc*, 19, 187-91.
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. "Demetrio Lacone", 151-5).  
[\[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894\]](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)
- McConnell, S. (2017). "Demetrius of Laconia and the Debate Between the Stoics and the Epicureans on the Nature of Parental Love". *CQ*, 67/1, 149-62.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/demetrius-of-laconia-and-the-debate-between-the-stoics-and-the-epicureans-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/6F20DB1EC02357BB3E2E192FF6A0CE6D\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/demetrius-of-laconia-and-the-debate-between-the-stoics-and-the-epicureans-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/6F20DB1EC02357BB3E2E192FF6A0CE6D)
- McOsker, M. (2014). "A New Edition of *PHerc.* 188 (Demetrius Laco, *On Poems I*)". *CErc*, 44, 19-48.
- Pace, N. (2000). «La rivoluzione umanistica della scuola epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo, teorici di poesia». *CErc*, 30, 71-9.
- Parisi, A. (2011). «Le citazioni poetiche nei papiri ercolanesi: tre citazioni euripidee nei papiri di Demetrio Lacone». *CErc*, 41, 37-50.
- Parisi, A. (2012). «Osservazioni sul lessico del *PHerc.* 831 (Demetrio Lacone, *Opus incertum*)». *CErc*, 42, 111-9.
- Parisi, A. (2014). «Le forme del sapere astronomico nell'Epicureismo: un saggio di lettura di *PHerc.* 831, IX-XI K  rte». *CErc*, 44, 49-64.
- Parisi, A. (2015). «Citare il maestro: due menzioni di Epicuro in Demetrio Lacone». *CErc*, 45, 19-31.
- Parisi, A. (2016). «Errori e sensazioni: per una nuova lettura di *PHerc.* 831 (Demetrio Lacone, *Opus incertum*)». *CErc*, 46, 39-53.
- Parisi, A. (2017). «*Laus physiologiae, παιδεία e p  renesi*: una proposta di lettura (*PHerc.* 831, VII-XV K  rte). *CErc*, 47, 41-54.
- Piergiacomi, E. (2015). "Mental Attraction to a Magnet-Like God. Demetrius Laco's Notion of   πιπρασμ  c". *CErc*, 45, 5-18.
- Puglia, E. (1980). «Nuove letture nei *PHerc.* 1012 e 1786 (*Demetrii Laconis opera incerta*)». *CErc*, 10, 25-53.
- Puglia, E. (1983). «Demetrio Lacone a Mileto». *CErc*, 13, 21-4.
- Puglia, E. (1986). «L'*Enchiridion* di Demetrio Lacone». *CErc*, 16, 45-51.
- Puglia, E. (a cura di) (1988). *Demetrio Lacone: Aporie testuali ed esegetiche in Epicuro (PHerc. 1012)*. Precedono testimonianze su Demetrio Lacone ordinate da M. Gigante. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Renna, E. (1982). «Nuove letture nel *PHerc.* 1055 (Libro incerto di Demetrio Lacone)». *CErc*, 12, 43-9.
- Renna, E. (1983). «Per la teologia epicurea in Demetrio». *CErc*, 13, 25-8.

- Rispoli, G.M. (2005). «Tragedia e tragici nei papiri ercolanesi». *Vichiana*, 7/1, 195-230.
- Romeo, C. (1978). «Nuove letture nei libri *Sulla poesia* di Demetrio Lacone». *CErc*, 8, 104-23.
- Romeo, C. (1979). «Demetrio Lacone sulla grandezza del sole (*PHerc.* 1013)». *CErc*, 9, 11-35.
- Romeo, C. (1982). «Demetrio Lacone interprete di Alceo». *CErc*, 12, 35-42.
- Romeo, C. (a cura di) (1988). *Demetrio Lacone: La poesia* (*PHerc.* 188 e 1014). Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Roselli, A. (1988). «Citazioni ippocratiche in Demetrio Lacone (*PHerc.* 1012)». *CErc*, 18, 53-7.
- Roselli, A. (1991). «Appunti per una storia dell'uso apologetico della filologia: La nuova edizione di Demetrio Lacone (*PHerc.* 1012)». *SCO*, 40, 117-38.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24183498?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24183498?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Santoro, M. (a cura di) (2000). *Demetrio Lacone: La forma del dio* (*PHerc.* 1055). Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Santoro, M. (2000). «Il pensiero teologico epicureo: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 63-70.
- Verde, F. (2011). «Minimi in movimento? Note sulle coll. XLVIII-L Puglia del *PHerc.* 1012 (*Demetrii Laconis Opus incertum*)». *CErc*, 41, 49-61.
- Verde, F. (2013). «Epicurean Attitude Toward Geometry: The Sceptical Account». Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 131-50.
- Verde, F. (2018). «Ancora sullo statuto veritativo della sensazione in Epicuro». *LPh.* Special Issue: Verde, F.; Catapano, M. (eds). *Hellenistic Theories of Knowledge*, 79-104.  
[\[http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/562\]](http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/562)
- Verde, F. (2020). «The Partition of the Soul: Epicurus, Demetrius Lacon, and Diogenes of Oinoanda». Inwood, B.; Warren, J. (eds). *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 89-112.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/body-and-soul-in-hellenistic-philosophy/partition-of-the-soul/4850A0F3B1BFEAF46AE2C6CA370733DB\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/body-and-soul-in-hellenistic-philosophy/partition-of-the-soul/4850A0F3B1BFEAF46AE2C6CA370733DB)
- Verde, F. (forthcoming). ««Faithful Innovations» in the Epicurean Science of Nature: Philonides and Demetrius Laco». Erler, M.; Heßler, J.H.; Petrucci, F.M. (eds). *Allegiance, System, and Use of Texts: On Auctoritas of the Master and Dealing with Authoritative Texts in Platonism and Epicureanism in the Hellenistic and Imperial Age*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

#### - Phaedrus

- Böhm, R.G. (1984). «Zur Schrift des Epicureers Phaidros (Cicero, *Ad Atticum* XIII, 39, 2)». *RCCM*, 26, 135-8.
- Dorandi, T. (2011). «Phèdre d'Athènes». Goulet, R. (éd.). *Dictionnaire des philosophes antiques*. Paris: CNRS Editions, 287-9.
- Papadimitriou, M.K. (2002). «Ἡ δοξογραφία του *De natura deorum* του Κικέρωνα (*ND* 1, 25-41): μια ερμηνευτική προσέγγιση». *Δωδώνη*, 31, 21-67.  
[\[http://62.217.125.92/jspui/bitstream/123456789/6287/1/3.%20%CE%97%20%CE%B4%CE%BF%CE%BE%CE%BF%CE%B3%CF%81%CE%B1%CF%86%CE%AF%CE%B1%20%CF%84%CE%BF%CF%85%2\]](http://62.217.125.92/jspui/bitstream/123456789/6287/1/3.%20%CE%97%20%CE%B4%CE%BF%CE%BE%CE%BF%CE%B3%CF%81%CE%B1%CF%86%CE%AF%CE%B1%20%CF%84%CE%BF%CF%85%2)

[Ode%20natura%20deomm%20%CF%84%CE%BF%CF%85%20%CE%9A%CE%B9%CE%BA%CE%AD%CF%81%CF%89%CE%BD%CE%B1.pdf](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/books-of-phaedrus-requested-by-cicero-att-1339/503C17306B0C4C598C7B6766E87FFD51)

Sbordone, F. (1968). «Primi lineamenti d'un ritratto di Fedro epicureo». *La Parole e le Idee*, 10, 21-30.

Summers, K. (1997). "The Books of Phaedrus Requested by Cicero (*Att.* 13.39)". *CQ*, 47, 309-11.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/books-of-phaedrus-requested-by-cicero-att-1339/503C17306B0C4C598C7B6766E87FFD51>]

### - Philodemus of Gadara

Acosta Méndez, E. (1983). «*PHerc.* 1089: Filodemo Sobre la adulación». *CErc*, 13, 121-38.

Allen, J. (1998). "Epicurean Inferences. The Evidence of Philodemus *De signis*". Gentzler, J. (ed.). *Method in Ancient Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 307-49.

Amoroso, F. (1975). «Filodemo *Sulla conversazione*». *CErc*, 5, 63-76.

Angeli, A. (1985). «L'esattezza scientifica in Epicuro e Filodemo». *CErc*, 15, 63-84.

Angeli, A. (a cura di) (1988). *Filodemo: Agli amici di scuola* (*PHerc.* 1005). Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Angeli, A. (1990). «La critica filodemea all'*Economico* di Senofonte». *CErc*, 20, 39-51.

Angeli, A. (2000). «Necessità e autodeterminazione nel *De ira* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 182 fr. 12 Indelli)». Capasso, M.; Pernigotti, S. (a cura di). *Studium atque urbanitas: Miscellanea in onore di Sergio Daris, Papyrologica Lupiensia*, 9, Galatina: Congedo, 15-63.

Armstrong, D. (1995). "The Impossibility of *Metathesis*: Philodemus and Lucretius on Form and Content in Poetry". Obbink, D. (ed.). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus, and Horace*. New York-Oxford: Oxford University Press, 210-32.

Armstrong, D. (2008). ««Be Angry and Sin Not»: Philodemus *versus* the Stoics on Natural Bites and Natural Emotions». Fitzgerald, J.T. (ed.). *Passions and Moral Progress in Greco-Roman Thought*. London-New York: Routledge, 79-121.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780203936122/chapters/10.4324/9780203936122-12>]

Armstrong, D.; Fish, J.; Johnston, P.A.; Skinner, M.B. (eds) (2004). *Vergil, Philodemus and the Augustans*. Austin: University of Texas Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7560/701816>]

Armstrong, D.; Ponczoch, A.P. (2011). "[Philodemus] *On Wealth* (*PHerc.* 1570 Cols. VI-XX, Pcc. 4-6a): New Fragments of Empedocles, Menander, and Epicurus". *CErc*, 41, 97-138.

Armstrong, D.; Ponczoch, A.P. (2013). "Empedocles and Philodemus in *PHerc.* 1570, col. VI 9-19". *CErc*, 43, 113-6.

Armstrong, D.; McOsker, M. (eds) (2020). *Philodemus, On anger*. Atlanta, SBL Press.

Arrighetti, G. (1983). «Filodemo, *Gli dèi* III fr. 75 (Antifane, gli Stoici e i πράγματα)». *CErc*, 13, 29-31.

Arrighetti, G. (2003). «L'Épicurisme de Philodème et de Lucrèce face aux théories littéraires hellénistiques. Quelques problèmes». Monet, A. (éd.). *Le Jardin romain. Epicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack, Travaux et recherches*. Lille: Presses de l'Université Charles de Gaulle-Lille 3, 137-46.

- Arrighetti, G. (2003). «Filodemo biografo dei filosofi e le forme dell'erudizione». *CErc*, 33, 13-30.
- Arrighetti, G. (2011). «La *mimesis* nel Περὶ ποιημάτων di Filodemo e Aristotele». *CErc*, 41, 69-82.
- Asmis, E. (1995). “An Epicurean Survey of Poetic Theories (Philodemus *On Poems* 5, Cols. 26–36)”. *CQ*, 42, 395-415.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/an-epicurean-survey-of-poetic-theories-philodemus-on-poems-5-cols-2636/DA4191E753AD0ACC9EEF59F02E4EC995>]
- Asmis, E. (2004). “Philodemus on Sound and Sense in Poetry”. *CErc*, 34, 5-27.
- Auvray-Assayas, C. (1991). « Le livre I du *De natura deorum* et le traité *De signis* de Philodème : problèmes de théologie et de logique ». *REL*, 69, 51-62.
- Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds) (2001). *Cicéron et Philodème. La polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Editions Rue d'Ulm.
- Barbieri, G. (2017). «Nuove letture in *PHerc.* 1289, Filodemo Περὶ Ἐπικούρου β' (coll. X, XIV, XVII, XXV Tepedino)». *CErc*, 47, 87-100.
- Barbieri, G. (2018). «Nuove letture in Filodemo, Περὶ Ἐπικούρου α' : (*PHerc.* 1232, coll. XX, XXIII, XXVII Tepedino)». *CErc*, 48, 83-97.  
[<http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf>]
- Barbieri, G. (2019). «Il *PHerc.* 1232 (Filodemo, Περὶ Ἐπικούρου α'): osservazioni preliminari». *CErc*, 49, 49-74.
- Berti, E. (1999). «La polemica antiaristotelica di Filodemo a proposito della retorica». Natali, C; Maso, S. (a cura di). *Antiaristotelismo*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 63-75.
- Blank, D.L. (1994). “Diogenes of Babylon and the κριτικοί in Philodemus: a Preliminary Suggestion”. *CErc*, 24, 55-62.
- Blank, D.L. (2001). « La philologie comme arme philosophique : la connaissance technique de la rhétorique dans l'épicurisme ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 241-57.
- Blank, D.L. (2003). “Atomist Rhetoric in Philodemus”. *CErc*, 33, 69-88.
- Blank, D.L. (2007). “Aristotle's *Academic Course on Rhetoric* and the end of Philodemus, *On Rhetoric VIII*”. *CErc*, 37, 5-47.
- Blank, D.L. (2008). “Matching Tops and Bottoms (*PHerc.* 1015/832)”. *CErc*, 38, 247-71.
- Blank, D.L. (2018). “Approaching the Medusa: Nausiphanes in a fragment of Philodemus' *Rhetoric*”. Davoli, P.; Pellé, N. (a cura di). *Πολυμάθεια: studi classici offerti a Mario Capasso*. Lecce: Pensa Multimedia, 161-80.  
[[https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75\\_indice\\_961](https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75_indice_961)]
- Boccadamo, G. (1953). «I papiri ercolanesi e la filosofia epicurea». *Civiltà Cattolica*, 104/2, 275-87.
- Brancacci, A. (2003). «Damone nel Περὶ μουσικῆς di Filodemo (37 B 2 D.-K.)». *CErc*, 33, 125-29.
- Brancacci, A. (2011). «Antistene e Socrate in una testimonianza di Filodemo (T 17 Acosta Méndez-Angeli)». *CErc*, 41, 83-91.

- Capasso, M. (1980). «*PHerc.* 671: Un altro libro *De signis?*». *CErc*, 10, 125-28.
- Capasso, M. (1988). «Un libro filodemeo in due esemplari». *CErc*, 18, 139-48.
- Capasso, M. (1992). «Una pretesa allusione di Filodemo a Cicerone (*PHerc.* 986, fr. 19)». *CErc*, 22, 169-71.
- Capasso, M. (1992). «Philodemus and the Herculaneum Papyri». Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 379-429.  
[\[https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-13\]](https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-13)
- Cappel-luzzo, M.G. (1976). «Per una nuova edizione di un libro della *Retorica* filodemea (*PHerc.* 1004)». *CErc*, 6, 69-76.
- Castellanos, A.R. (1987). «La implicación material. Su crítica en el *De signis* de Filodemo». *CErc*, 17, 113-18.
- Castiglioni, L. (1925). «Verisimilia ad Philodemi *De ira* librum». *BFC XXXII*, 136-7.
- Cataudella, Q. (1929). «Due luoghi di Filodemo *Περὶ ποιημάτων*, V». *RFIC*, 7, 244-6.
- Chandler, C. (ed.) (2006). *Philodemus On Rhetoric Books 1 and 2: Translation and Exegetical Essays*. New York-London: Routledge.  
[\[https://www.routledge.com/Philodemus-on-Rhetoric-Books-1-and-2-Translation-and-Exegetical-Essays/Chandler/p/book/9781138861190\]](https://www.routledge.com/Philodemus-on-Rhetoric-Books-1-and-2-Translation-and-Exegetical-Essays/Chandler/p/book/9781138861190)
- Corrales Cordón, F.D. (2015). «Algunos rasgos aristotélicos en la concepción de la τέχνη de los escritos sobre retórica de Filodemo de Gádara». *Azafea*, 17, 97-116.  
[\[https://revistas.usal.es/index.php/0213-3563/article/view/12733\]](https://revistas.usal.es/index.php/0213-3563/article/view/12733)
- Croisille, J.-M. (1988). «La Villa des papyrus à Herculaneum». *Annales Latini Montium Arvernorum*, 15, 63-76.
- D'Angelo, A. (1997). «Menandro e Filodemo». *CErc*, 27, 137-46.
- D'Angelo, M. (2018). «Un nuovo scritto teologico di Filodemo nel *PHerc.* 89/1383». *CErc*, 48, 117-30.
- D'Angelo, M. (2019). «La chiusa del *PHerc.* 89/1383 (Philodemus, *Opus incertum*)». *CErc*, 49, 115-34.
- De Falco, V. (1926). «Appunti sul *Περὶ κολακείας* di Filodemo». *RIGI*, 1, 15-27.
- De Lacy Ph.; De Lacy, E.A. (eds) (1978). *Philodemus. On Method of Inference*. Revised edition with the collaboration of M. Gigante, F. Longo Auricchio, A. Tepedino Guerra. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Delattre, D. (1989). «Philodème, *De la musique* : livre IV, colonnes 40\*à 109\* ». *CErc*, 19, 49-143.
- Delattre, D. (1993). «Speusippe, Diogène de Babylone et Philodème ». *CErc*, 23, 67-86.
- Delattre, D. (1996). «La composition des ὑπομνήματα de Philodème à partir du Livre IV du *De musica* et des restes du *De signis* ». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 549-72.
- Delattre, D. (1996). «Les mentions de titres d'oeuvres dans les livres de Philodème ». *CErc*, 26, 143-68.

- Delattre, D. (2001). « La bibliothèque de Philodème à travers les papyrus d’Herculaneum ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d’Ulm, 385-92.
- Delattre, D. (2003). « Les figures du sage et du philosophe au travers de quatre livres éthiques de Philodème ». *CErc*, 33, 229-41.
- Delattre, D. (2006). « La Villa des Papyrus et les rouleaux d’Herculaneum. La Bibliothèque de Philodème ». Liege: Université de Liège.  
[<https://orbi.uliege.be/handle/2268/16080>]
- Delattre, D. (éd.) (2007), *Philodème de Gadara : Sur la musique, Livre IV*, 2 voll. Paris: Les Belles Lettres.
- Delattre, D. (2009). « Philodème, témoin des discussions doctrinales entre épicuriens grecs aux IIe-Ier siècles avant notre ère ». Le Blay, F. (ed.). *Transmettre les savoirs dans les mondes hellénistique et romain*. Rennes: Presses Universitaires de Rennes, 31-46.
- Delattre, D. (2009). « Le Sage épicurien face à la colère et à l’ivresse : une lecture renouvelée du *De ira* de Philodème ». *CErc*, 39, 71-88.
- Delattre, D. (2011). « Philodème, *La Mort IV*: suppléments à l’édition de W.B. Henry». *CErc*, 41, 143-56.
- Delattre, D. (2018). « Philodème et le portrait moral dans le livre X des *Vices* ([L’Arrogance], *PHerc.* 1008) ». Müller, G.M.; Mariani-Zini, F. (Hrsgg.). *Philosophie in Rom – römische Philosophie ? Kultur-, literatur- und philosophiegeschichtliche Perspektiven*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 151-72.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110493108/10.1515/9783110493108-007.xml>]
- Delattre, J. (2018). « Au jardin de Campanie : comprendre la calomnie pour mieux lui résister : Philodème, *La calomnie* : (*PHerc.* Paris. 2, coll. L, R, X et Z) ». *CErc*, 48, 99-115.  
[<http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf>]
- Del Mastro, G. (2012). «Altri frammenti dal *PHerc.* 1691: Filodemo, *Historia Academicorum* e *Di III*». *CErc*, 42, 277-92.
- Del Mastro, G. (2015). «Per la ricostruzione del I libro del trattato di Filodemo, *Contro coloro che si definiscono lettori dei libri* (*PHerc.* 1005/862, 1485)». *CErc*, 45, 85-96.
- Del Mastro, G. (2017). «Σημεῖα nel Περὶ σημείων di Filodemo». *S&T*, 15, 37-55.
- Del Mastro, G.; Indelli, G.; Longo Auricchio, F. (2011). « Philodème de Gadara ». *Dictionnaire des philosophes antiques*. Paris: CNRS Editions, 334-59.
- De Sanctis, D. (2006). «Omero e la sua esegesi nel *De bono rege* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 36, 47-64.
- De Sanctis, D. (2007). «Il sovrano a banchetto: prassi del simposio e etica dell’equilibrio nel *De bono rege* (*PHerc.* 1507, coll. XVI-XXI Dorandi)». *CErc*, 37, 49-65.
- De Sanctis, D. (2008). «Il buon re di Filodemo tra Epicuro e Omero». *CErc*, 38, 165-77.
- De Sanctis, D. (2013). «La salvezza nelle parole: l’immagine del σωτήρ nel Περὶ παρησίας di Filodemo». *CErc*, 43, 63-71.
- De Sanctis, D. (2019). «Il βίος di Crantore nell’*Academicorum Historia* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 50, 39-47.
- Diels, H. (Hrsg.) (1970). *Philodemos Über die Götter: erstes und drittes Buch*, 2 voll. Leipzig: Zentralantiquariat der Deutschen Demokratischen Republik [ed. or. 1916-1917, Berlin: Verlag der Königl. Akademie der Wissenschaften, in Kommission bei Georg Reimer].

- Di Matteo, T. (1997). «Isocrate nella *Retorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 27, 121-36.
- Di Matteo, T. (2002). «Filodemo: la politica è come “Tirare giù la luna”». *CErc*, 32, 239-43.
- Di Piazza, S. (2005). «Metodo e criterio dell’inferenza semiotica nel *De Signis* di Filodemo». *Studi filosofici*, 28, 9-34.
- Dorandi, T. (1980). «La rassegna dei filosofi di Filodemo». *RAAN*, 55, 31-49.
- Dorandi, T. (1982). *Filodemo: il buon re secondo Omero*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Dorandi, T. (1982). «Filodemo, *Gli Stoici* (PHerc. 155 e 339)». *CErc*, 12, 91-133.
- Dorandi, T. (1985). “Der „gute König“ bei Philodem und die Rede des Maecenas vor Octavian (Cassius Dio lii, 14-40)”. *Klio*, 67, 56-60.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/klio/67/67/article-p56.xml>]
- Dorandi, T. (1986). «Filodemo e la fine dell’Academia (PHerc. 1021, XXXIII-XXXVI)». *CErc*, 16, 113-8.
- Dorandi, T. (1987). «Filodemo e l’Academia Nuova (PHerc. 1021, XVIII-XXVI)». *CErc*, 17, 119-34.
- Dorandi, T. (1989). «La polemica fra Aristotele e Isocrate nella testimonianza filodemea». Berti, E.; Napolitano Valditara, L.M. (a cura di). *Etica, politica, retorica: Studi su Aristotele e la sua presenza nell’età moderna*. L’Aquila-Roma: Japadre, 201-5.
- Dorandi, T. (1990). «Filodemo: gli orientamenti della ricerca attuale». Haase, W.; Temporini, I. (Hrsgg). *Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt. Geschichte und Kultur Roms in Spiegel der Neueren Forschung*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 2328-68.
- Dorandi, T. (1990). «Per una ricomposizione dello scritto di Filodemo sulla *Retorica*». *ZPE*, 82, 59-87.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/20187290.pdf?seq=1>]
- Dorandi, T. (1991). *Filodemo. Storia dei filosofi. Platone e l’academia* (PHerc. 1021 e 164). Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Dorandi, T. (1992). «Per una ricomposizione dello scritto di Filodemo *Sulla poetica*». *ZPE*, 91, 29-46.  
[[www.jstor.org/stable/20187662](http://www.jstor.org/stable/20187662)]
- Dorandi, T. (a cura di) (1994). *Filodemo. Storia dei filosofi. La Stoà da Zenone a Panezio* (PHerc. 1018). Leiden-New York-Köln: Brill.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/2363>]
- Dorandi, T. (1995). «La “Villa dei Papiri” a Ercolano e la sua Biblioteca». *CPh*, 90, 168-82.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/270490?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/270490?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Dorandi, T.; Spinelli, E. (1990). «Un libro di Filodemo sull’avarizia?». *CErc*, 20, 53-9.
- Dürr, E. (1987). “Bemerkungen zu einer Neuausgabe von Philodem, *De vitiis* X (PHerc. 1008)”. *CErc*, 17, 135-8.
- Dürr, E. (1992). «I resti del PHerc. 1736 (Filodemo, *Poetica*?)». *CErc*, 22, 139-56.
- Erbì, M. (2008). «Demostene nella *Retorica* di Filodemo: l’immagine del ῥήτωρ ἔμπρακτος». *CErc*, 38, 193-219.

- Erbì, M. (2009). «Il retore e la città nella polemica di Filodemo verso Diogene di Babilonia (*PHerc.* 1004, coll. 64-70)». *CErc*, 39, 119-40.
- Erbì, M. (2010). «Eraclito e l'inganno della retorica in Filodemo (*PHerc.* 1004, coll. 57-63)». *CErc*, 40, 65-74.
- Erbì, M. (2011). «La retorica nell'Epicureismo: una riflessione». *CErc*, 41, 187-205.
- Erbì, M. (2012). «Il sapiente e il retore in Filodemo, *Retorica VII* (*PHerc.* 1004, col. 50)». *CErc*, 42, 189-92.
- Erler, M. (1991). «Ἐπιτηδεύειν ἀσάφειαν». *CErc*, 21, 83-8.
- Erler, M. (1992). "Leben wie im Leichentuch. Anmerkung zu Phld., *De morte*, col. 38, 16 Henry". *CErc*, 41, 139-42.
- Erler, M. (1992). "Orthodoxie und Anpassung. Philodem, ein Panaitios des Kepos?". *Museum Helveticum*, 49, 171-200.
- Erler, M. (1992). "Der Zorn des Helden. Vergils *Aeneis* und Philodems *De ira*". *Grazer Beiträge*, 18, 103-26.
- Essler, H. (2007). "Zu den Werktiteln Philodems". *CErc*, 37, 125-34.
- Essler, H. (2009). "Falsche Götter bei Philodem (*Di III* Kol. 8, 5-Kol. 10, 6)". *CErc*, 39, 161-205.
- Essler, H. (2011). *Glückselig und unsterblich: epikureische Theologie bei Cicero und Philodem: mit einer Edition von Pherc. 152/157, Kol. 8-10*. Basel: Schwabe.
- Essler, H. (2013). "Freundschaft der Götter und Toten. Mit einer Neuedition von Phld., *Di III*, Frg. 87 und 83". *CErc*, 43, 95-112.
- Essler, H. (2013). "Die Götterbewegung (Phld., *Di III*, Kol. 10, 6 - Kol. 11, 7)". *CErc*, 42, 259-75.
- Essler, H. (2014). "Space and Movement in Philodemus' *De dis* 3: An Anti-Aristotelian Account". Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (Hrsgg). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy. Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 101-23.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.101.xml>]
- Essler, H. (2017). "Philodemus of Gadara". Clayman, D. (ed). *Oxford Bibliographies in Classics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[[obo-9780195389661-0246.xml](https://www.oxfordjournals.org/obo-9780195389661-0246.xml)]
- Fausti, D.; Manetti, G. (2011). «La sezione di Bromio del *De signis* di Filodemo: il dibattito sulla vaghezza del concetto di similarità». *CErc*, 41, 161-88.
- Ferrario, M. (1972). «Filodemo *Sulla provvidenza?* (*PHerc.* 1670)». *CErc*, 2, 67-94.
- Ferrario, M. (1976). «Una nuova edizione dell'opera filodemea sull'economia». *CErc*, 6, 92-5.
- Ferrario, M. (1980). «Frammenti del V libro della *Retorica* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 1669)». *CErc*, 10, 55-124.
- Ferrario, M. (2000). «La nascita della filologia epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 53-61.
- Ferrario, M. (2002). «Per una nuova edizione di *PHerc.* 1670 (Filodemo *Sulla Provvidenza?*)». *SIFC*, 20/3, 131-42.

- Ferrario, M. (2012). «Il medico, il timoniere e il retore in Filodemo, *Retorica VII (PHerc. 1004)*». *CErc*, 42, 193-208.
- Fimiani, M. (2016). «Osservazioni testuali sul *PHerc. 1423* (Filodemo, *Retorica*, libro IV, I tomo)». *CErc*, 46, 95-100.
- Fimiani, M. (2017). «Frammenti inediti e nuove corrispondenze nel IV libro della *Retorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 47, 131-6.
- Fiorillo, M. (2013). «Errori e correzioni nel *PHerc. 1004* (Filodemo, *Retorica VII*)». *CErc*, 43, 35-62.
- Fish, J. (1998). «Is Death Nothing to Horace? A Brief Comparison with Philodemus and Lucretius». *CErc*, 28, 99-104.
- Fish, J. (2002). «Philodemus' *On the Good King According to Homer*: Columns 21-31». *CErc*, 32, 187-232.
- Fish, J. (2011). «On Orderly Symposia in Homer: A New Reconstruction of *De bono rege (PHerc. 1507)*, col. 19». *CErc*, 41, 65-8.
- Fish, J. (2016). «The Closing Columns of Philodemus' *On the Good King according to Homer, PHerc. 1507*, cols. 95-98 (= cols. 40-43 Dorandi)». *CErc*, 46, 55-81.
- Gaines, R.N. (2003). «Philodemus and the Epicurean Outlook on Epideictic Speaking». *CErc*, 33, 189-97.
- Gaiser, K. (1983). «La biografia di Platone in Filodemo: nuovi dati dal *PHerc. 1021*». *CErc*, 13, 53-62.
- Gaiser, K. (1985). «Zur Struktur des Papyrus Herculensis 1021 (Philodems Buch über die Akademie)». *CErc*, 15, 85-99.
- Gargiulo, G. (1981). «*PHerc. 222*: Filodemo sull'adulazione». *CErc*, 11, 103-27.
- Gigante, M. (1955). «Sul frammento tragico presso Filodemo, *De morte IV*, 38». *Dioniso*, 18, 42-7.
- Gigante, M. (1959). «Ἀσύνθετος in *PHerc. 1251*, col. XIX, 19 s.». *PP*, 14, 292-3.
- Gigante, M. (1972). «Per l'interpretazione dell'opera filodemea *Sulla libertà di parola*». *CErc*, 2, 59-65.
- Gigante, M. (1975). «*Philosophia medicans* in Filodemo». *CErc*, 5, 53-61.
- Gigante, M. (1983). *Ricerche filodemee*. Napoli: Macchiaroli.
- Gigante, M. (1985). «La biblioteca di Filodemo». *CErc*, 15, 5-30.
- Gigante, M. (1990). *Filodemo in Italia*. Firenze: Le Monnier; Engl. transl. (1995). *Philodemus in Italy: The books from Herculaneum*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.  
[[https://www.press.umich.edu/13815/philodemus\\_in\\_italy](https://www.press.umich.edu/13815/philodemus_in_italy)]
- Gigante, M. (1992). «Filodemo e l'epigramma». *CErc*, 22, 5-8.
- Gigante, M. (1993). «Filodemo e Archiloco». *CErc*, 23, 5-10.
- Gigante, M. (1999). «Conobbe Seneca l'opera di Filodemo?». *CErc*, 29, 5-15.
- Gigante, M. (2000). «Libri morali di Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 119-24.

- Gigante, M. (2001). « Philodème dans l’histoire de la littérature grecque ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d’Ulm, 23-50.
- Gigante, M. (2001). «Philodemus ethicus necnon physicus». Andorlini, I. (a cura di). *Atti del XXII congresso internazionale di papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*. Firenze: Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli, 549-58.
- Gigante, M. (2002). *Il libro degli epigrammi di Filodemo*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.  
[<https://bibliopolis.it/shop/il-libro-degli-epigrammi-di-filodemo/>]
- Giovacchini, J. (2013). « Scepticisme et thérapeutique : le cas de conscience du dogmatisme épicurien ». Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 45-62.
- Giuliano, F.M. (2001). «*PHerc. 495-PHerc. 558* (Filodemo, *Storia di Socrate e della sua scuola?*). Edizione, commento, questioni compositive e attributive». *CErc*, 31, 37-79.
- Giuliano, L. (2007). «Alcune considerazioni sui disegni del *PHerc. 807 (opus incertum)*». *CErc*, 37, 93-101.
- Giuliano, L. (2009). «*PHerc. 807*: [Filodemo, *De morte*, libro incerto]». *CErc*, 39, 207-80.
- Giuliano, L. (2010). «Una citazione del IV libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro nel *PHerc. 807* (Filodemo, Περὶ θανάτου?)». Gagos, T.; Hyatt, A. (eds). *Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology*. Ann Arbor: Scholarly Publishing Office-University of Michigan Library, 265-76.  
[<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/i/icp/7523866.0025.137/--una-citazione-del-iv-libro-sulla-natura-di-epicuro-nel-pherc?rgn=main;view=fulltext>]
- Hammerstaedt, J. (1992). “Der Schlußteil von Philodems drittem Buch über Rhetorik”. *CErc*, 22, 9-117.
- Hammerstaedt, J. (1997). «Pausone, Aristofane e Archiloco nel quarto libro Περὶ ποιημάτων di Filodemo». *CErc*, 27, 105-20.
- Heidmann, J. (1971). “Der Papyrus 1676 der herculanensischen Bibliothek, Philodemos *Über die Gedichte*”. *CErc*, 1, 90-111.
- Henrichs, A. (1977). “Ein Meropiszitat in Philodems *De pietate*”. *CErc*, 7, 124-25.
- Henry, B. (2009). “New Light on Philodemus, *On Death*”. *CErc*, 39, 89-102.
- Henry, B. (ed.) (2009). *Philodemus: On Death*. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.  
[<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;view=toc;idno=heb08825.0001.001>]
- Henry, B. (2010). “Two Supplements in Philodemus, *On Gods 3*”. *ZPE*, 175/2, 41-2.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41291291?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41291291?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Hubbel, H.-M. (hrsg.) (1920). *The Rhetorica of Philodemus*. New Haven, Connecticut: Connecticut Academy of Arts and Science, vol.23, 243-382.  
[[http://minerva.union.edu/wareht/books/Philodemi%20Rhetorica%20\(trans.%20Hubbell\).pdf](http://minerva.union.edu/wareht/books/Philodemi%20Rhetorica%20(trans.%20Hubbell).pdf)]
- Indelli, G. (1982). «Il lessico filodemeo nell’opera *Sull’ira*». *CErc*, 12, 85-9.
- Indelli, G. (1986). «Platone in Filodemo». *CErc*, 16, 109-12.
- Indelli, G. (a cura di) (1988). *Filodemo: L’ira*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Indelli, G. (1993). «Testimonianze su Isocrate nel *PHerc. 1007* (Filodemo, *Retorica IV*)». *CErc*, 23, 87-91.
- Indelli, G. (2001). «Filodemo e Virgilio sull’ira». *CErc*, 31, 31-5.

- Indelli, G. (2006). «Detti e aneddoti nel *PHerc.* 1008 (Filodemo, *I vizi*, libro X)». *CErc*, 36, 77-85.
- Indelli, G. (2010). «Il lessico di Filodemo in alcune opere morali: gli ἄπαξ λεγόμενα». *CErc*, 40, 87-93.
- Indelli, G. (2014). «Lingua e stile nell'opera *Sull'ira* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 182)». *CErc*, 44, 109-15.
- Indelli, G.; Tsouna-McKirahan, V. (1990). "New Readings in *PHerc.* 1251". *CErc*, 20, 27-38.
- Indelli, G.; Tsouna, V. (eds) (1995). *Philodemus: On Choices and Avoidances*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Ioppolo, A.M. (2003). «La poetica dello Stoico anonimo in Filodemo *De poematis* V». *CErc*, 33, 131-50.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «A proposito di due recenti studi epicurei». *Rivista Critica di Storia della Filosofia*, 35/4, 389-408.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44022001?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44022001?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Janko, R. (1991). "Philodemus' *On Poems* and Aristotle's *On Poets*". *CErc*, 21, 5-64.
- Janko, R. (1992). "A first join between *PHerc.* 411 + 1583 (Philodemus, *On Music* IV): Diogenes of Babylon on Natural Affinity and Music". *CErc*, 22, 123-29.
- Janko, R. (1993). "Philodemus Resartus: Progress in Reconstructing the Philosophical Papyri From Herculaneum". Cleary, J.J. (ed.). *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy: VII, 1991*. Lanham-London: University Press of America, 271-308.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/7/1/article-p271\\_15.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/7/1/article-p271_15.xml)
- Janko, R. (ed.) (2000). *Philodemus: On Poems I*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-9780198150411?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us\]](https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-9780198150411?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us)
- Janko, R. (ed.) (2011). *Philodemus: On Poems III-IV, With the Fragments of Aristotle, On Poets*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-on-poems-books-3-4-9780199572076?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us\]](https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-on-poems-books-3-4-9780199572076?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us)
- Janko, R. (ed.) (2020). *Philodemus: On Poems II, With Fragments of Heraclodorus and Pausimachus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-on-poems-book-2-9780198835080?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us#\]](https://global.oup.com/academic/product/philodemus-on-poems-book-2-9780198835080?q=janko%20philodemus&lang=en&cc=us#)
- Jufresa, M. (1983). «Εὐταξία, una virtù del "buon re" di Filodemo». *CErc*, 13, 113-5.
- Karamanolis, G. (2005). "Philodemus, Περὶ ὑβρεως? (*PHerc.* 1017). New Readings and the Philodemean Conception of *Hybris*". 103-10.
- Kleve, K. (1973). "Zu einer Neuauflage von Philodemos, *Über die Götter*, Buch I (*PHerc.* 26)". *CErc*, 3, 89-91.
- Konstan, D.; Clay, D.; Glad, C.E.; Thom, J.C.; Ware, J. (eds) (1998). *Philodemus: On Frank Criticism*. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.
- Last, H.M. (1922). "The Date of Philodemus *De Signis*". *CQ*, 16, 177-80.
- Leone, G. (2001). «Colloquio a Lille su Filodemo e Lucrezio». *CErc*, 31, 135-6.

- Leone, G. (2020). «La biblioteca di Filodemo, dopo Filodemo». Polara, G. (a cura di). *Omne tulit punctum qui miscuit utile dulci, Studi in onore di Arturo De Vivo*. Napoli: Satura Editrice, 557-73.
- Liebich, W. (1954). “Ein Philodem-Zeugnis bei Ambrosius”. *Philologus*, 98, 116-31.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p116.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p116.xml)
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1975). «I filosofi megarici nella *Retorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 5, 77-80.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1977). ΦΙΛΟΔΗΜΟΥ ΠΕΡΙ ΠΗΤΟΠΙΚΗΣ *Libros primum et secundum (Ricerche sui papiri ercolanesi III)*. Napoli: Giannini.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1982). «Frammenti inediti di un libro della *Retorica* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 463)». *CErc*, 12, 67-83.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1985). «Testimonianze dalla “*Retorica*” di Filodemo sulla concezione dell’oratoria nei primi maestri epicurei». *CErc*, 15, 31-61.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1986). «Sulla concezione filodemea dell’adulazione». *CErc*, 16, 79-91.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1991). «Un proverbio citato da Filodemo». *CErc*, 21, 97-102.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1993). «Nuove letture nei frammenti del *PHerc.* 1015 (Filodemo, *Retorica*, libro incerto)». *CErc*, 23, 93-8.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1994). «Nuove letture nel *PHerc.* 1015 (Filodemo, *Retorica*, libro incerto)». *CErc*, 24, 109-10.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1995). «Echi del *Gorgia* nella *Retorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 25, 191-6.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1996). «Nuovi elementi per la ricostruzione della *Retorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 26, 169-71.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (2006). «A proposito di una citazione di Demetrio Falereo in Filodemo». *CErc*, 36, 65-71.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (2019). «Qualche considerazione sulla biografia di Filodemo». *CErc*, 49, 31-8.
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Delmastro, G. (2011). « Philodème de Gadara ». Goulet, R. (éd.). *Dictionnaire des philosophes antiques [DPhA]*, 334-59.
- Longo Auricchio, F.; Indelli, G.; Leone, G.; Del Mastro, G. (2020). *La Villa dei Papiri. Una residenza e la sua biblioteca*. Roma: Carocci (espec. “Filodemo”, 156-74).  
[\[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894\]](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309894)
- Luppe, W. (1983). “Atlas-Zitate im 1. Buch von Philodems *De pietate*”. *CErc*, 13, 45-52.
- Luppe, W. (1984). “Epikureische Mythenkritik bei Philodem-Götterliebschaften in *PHerc.* 243 II und III”. *CErc*, 14, 109-24.
- Luppe, W. (1986). “Götter-Sukzessions-Mythos bei Satyros. Philodem, *De pietate* 1088 VIII/IX+433 VIa”. *CErc*, 16, 71-7.
- Luppe, W. (1986). “Leiden und Krankheiten der Götter bei Philodem, *De pietate* 1088 I”. *CErc*, 16, 67-9.
- Luppe, W. (1995). “Verstümmelungen sowie körperliche und charakterliche Mängel bei Göttern in Philodems Περὶ εὐσεβείας (zu Kronos, Hephaist und Ares)”. *CErc*, 25, 203-10.

- Luppe, W. (1997). “Göttliche Betrugereien. Philodem Περὶ εὐσεβείας 1609 III 147-49”. *CErc*, 27, 147-9.
- Luppe, W. (2008). “Zum Verkauf Platons als Sklaven in *PHerc.* 1021 (aus Philodems *Geschichte der Akademie*)”. *CErc*, 38, 161-3.
- Mackey, J.L. (2015). “New Evidence for the Epicurean Theory of the Origin of Language: Philodemus, *On Poems V* (*PHerc.* 403, fr. 5, col. I)”. *CErc*, 45, 67-84.
- Manetti, G. (2010). «Un trattato sui segni». *Paradigmi: Rivista di critica filosofica*, 28, 165-97.  
[[https://www.francoangeli.it/riviste/Scheda\\_rivista.aspx?IDArticolo=39774](https://www.francoangeli.it/riviste/Scheda_rivista.aspx?IDArticolo=39774)]
- Manetti, G. (2012). “La semiotica salvatasi dal Vesuvio: il dibattito tra Epicurei e Stoici (?) sull’inferenza da segni nel *De signis* di Filodemo”. *Blityri*, 1, 135-76.  
[<https://blityri.it/index.php/blityri/article/view/20>]
- Manetti, G. (2013). «L’inferenza semiotica epicurea nel *De signis* di Filodemo». Manetti, G. (ed.). *In principio era il segno: Momenti di storia della semiotica nell’antichità classica*. Milano: Bompiani, 233-58.
- Mangoni, C. (1991). «Nuove letture nei *PHerc.* 1425 e 1538 del V libro della *Poetica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 21, 65-82.
- Mangoni, C. (1992). «Il *PHerc.* 407 della *Poetica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 22, 131-7.
- Mangoni, C. (a cura di) (1993). *Filodemo: il quinto libro della Poetica*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.  
[<http://bibliopolis.it/shop/il-quinto-libro-della-poetica/>]
- Marrone, L. (2000). «La logica degli Epicurei e degli Stoici: Filodemo e Crisippo». *CErc*, 30, 111-8.
- McOsker, M. (2014). *On the Good Poem According to Philodemus*. PhD dissertation, University of Michigan.
- McOsker, M. (2020). “An Epicurean Theory of Anger: A New Edition of Philodemus’ *De Ira* (*PHerc.* 182)”. *BSFI*, 230, 7-20.  
[<https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.23816/98017>]
- Militello, C. (1992). «*Requiem per contritio cordis* (*PHerc.* 1418, col. XVIII) ». *CErc*, 22, 157-61.
- Militello, C. (a cura di) (1997). *Filodemo: Memorie epicuree* (*PHerc.* 1418 e 310). Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Militello, C. (2000). «Filodemo storico della filosofia greca». *CErc*, 30, 103-10.
- Monet, A. (1996). « [Philodème, *Sur les sensations*], *PHerc.* 19/698 ». *CErc*, 26, 27-126.
- Murray, O. (1984). «Rileggendo *Il buon re secondo Omero*». *CErc*, 14, 157-60.
- Nardelli, M.N. (1979). «Papiri *Della poetica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 9, 137-40.
- Neubecker, A.J. (1983). “Beobachtungen zu Argumentationsweise und Stil Philodems in der Schrift *Über die Musik*, Buch IV”. *CErc*, 13, 85-90.  
[<https://docs.google.com/document/d/14XuOwXsPgojvoY-0QWK40HbsZWS43SqLWyhfmX78gTg/edit>]
- Nicolardi, F. (2015). «Elementi per la ricostruzione del I libro del *De rhetorica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 45, 55-66.

- Nicolardi, F. (2016). «Il successo della parola: Eschine ed Eupoli nel I libro del *De rhetorica* di Filodemo (Phld., *Rhet.* I, *PHerc.* 250, fr. 1 Sudhaus)». *CErc*, 46, 83-93.
- Nicolardi, F. (2017). «Riflessioni su alcune pratiche correttive nel I libro *De rhetorica* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 1427)». *CErc*, 47, 101-30.
- Nicolardi, F. (a cura di) (2018). *Filodemo: Il primo libro della Retorica*. Napoli: Bibliopolis. [<https://bibliopolis.it/shop/filodemo-il-primo-libro-della-retorica/>]
- Nijs, W. (2018). “Philodemus van Gadara: Griekse filosofie in een Romeinse wereld”. *Hermeneus. Tijdschrift voor Antieke Cultuur*, 90/2, 50-5.
- Obbink, D. (1994). “A Quotation of the Derveni Papyrus in Philodemus’ *On Piety*”. *CErc*, 24, 111-35.
- Obbink, D. (ed.) (1995). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus, and Horace*. New York-Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Obbink, D. (ed.) (1996). *Philodemus: On Piety. Part I: Critical Text with Commentary*. Oxford: Clarendon Press; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Obbink, D. (2001). « Le livre I du *De natura deorum* de Cicéron et le *De pietate* de Philodème ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d’Ulm, 203-25.
- Olivieri, A. (ed.) (1914). *Philodemi Περὶ παρρησίας libellus*. Leipzig: Teubner.
- Pace, N. (1995). «Problematiche di poetica in Filodemo». *CErc*, 25, 111-90.
- Pace, N. (2000). «La rivoluzione umanistica nella Scuola epicurea: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo, teorici di poesia». *CErc*, 30, 71-9.
- Pace, N. (2009). «La poetica epicurea di Filodemo di Gadara». *RhM*, 152/3-4, neue folge, 235-64. [[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234798?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234798?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Paolucci, M. (1955). «Studi sull’epicureismo romano. I : Note al Περὶ τοῦ καθ’ Ὀμηρον ἀγαθοῦ βασιλέως di Filodemo». *RIL*, 88, 483-511.
- Parisi, A. (2013). «Testimonianze filodemee su Stesicoro di Imera». *CErc*, 43, 117-24.
- Philippson, R. (1920). “Zu Philodems Schrift über die Frömmigkeit”. *Hermes*, 55, 355-410. [[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4473762?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4473762?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Philippson, R. (1929). “Zu Philodems und Horaz”. *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 47, 894-6.
- Philippson, R. (1930). “Neues über Epikur und seine Schule”. *NGG*, 1-23 (reprinted in 1983. *Studien zu Epikur und den Epikureern*. Hildesheim-New York: Olms, 192-214).
- Philippson, R. (1930). “Nochmals zu Philodem”. *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 50, 1341.
- Philippson, R. (1930). “Zu Philodems”. *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 50, 366.
- Philippson, R. (1941). “Der Papyrus Herculaneus 1251”. *Mnemosyne*, 9, 284-92.
- Ponczoch, J.A. (2009). “*PHerc.* 1570: A Treatise on Poverty and Wealth”. *CErc*, 39, 141-59.
- Porter, J.I. (1989). “Philodemus on Material Difference”. *CErc*, 19, 149-78.

- Porter, J.I. (1994). "Stoic Morals and Poetics in Philodemus". *CErc*, 24, 63-88.
- Puglia, E. (1986). «βύβλος e βιβλίον in Filodemo». *CErc*, 16, 119-21.
- Puglia, E. (2015). «Il misterioso titolo del ΠΙΡΟΣ ΤΟΥΣ di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 1005/862, 1585)». *Papyrologica Lupiensia*, 24, 121-4.  
[\[https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/141674207.pdf\]](https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/141674207.pdf)
- Ranocchia, G. (2007). *Aristone Sul modo di liberare dalla superbia nel decimo libro del De vitiis di Filodemo*. Firenze: Olschki.  
[\[https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822256256\]](https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822256256)
- Rispoli, G.M. (2000). «Teoria e storia della musica in Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 89-102.
- Rispoli, G.M. (2003). «Filosofi e filosofia nel *De musica* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 33, 175-88.
- Rispoli, G.M. (2005). «Tragedia e tragici nei papiri ercolanesi». *Vichiana*, 7/1, 195-230.
- Riu, X.; Jufresa, M. (2011). «Filodemo, *De morte* XXV 10-12». *CErc*, 41, 157-9.
- Romeo, C. (1993). «Ancora un contributo alla ricostruzione di un rotolo della *Poetica* filo-demea». *CErc*, 23, 99-105.
- Rostagni, A. (1924). «Filodemo contro l'estetica classica». *RF*, 1-28.
- Salati, O. (2012). «Mitografi e storici in Filodemo (*De pietate, pars altera*)». *CErc*, 42, 209-58.
- Sanders, K.R. (2002). *Natural Passions: Desire and Emotion in Epicurean Ethics*. PhD dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.  
[\[https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/11769\]](https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/11769)
- Sanders, K.R. (2011). "Philodemus and the fear of premature death". Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean tradition*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 211-34.  
[\[https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84926097468&origin=inward&txGid=8340b171bd3e7bb6cd375e054418a57b\]](https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84926097468&origin=inward&txGid=8340b171bd3e7bb6cd375e054418a57b)
- Santoro, M. (2000). «Il pensiero teologico epicureo: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 63-70.
- Schaechter, R. (1927). «Philodemus quid de psychagogia docuerit». *Eos*, 30, 170-3.
- Schaechter, R. (1928). «De Homero in Philodemi Περὶ ποιημάτων L.II laudato». *Eos*, 31, 439-45.
- Schmid, W. (Hrsg.) (1939). *Ethica Epicurea (Pap. Herc. 1251)*. Leipzig: Harrassowitz.
- Schmid, W. (1943). «Nugae Herculenses». *RhM*, 92, 35-55.  
[\[http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/092/Schmid.pdf\]](http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/092/Schmid.pdf)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/41243993.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/41243993.pdf)
- Schmid, W. (1963). «Chi è l'autore del *Pap. Oxy.* 215?». AA.VV. *Miscellanea di studi alessandrini in memoria di A. Rostagni*. Torino: Bottega d'Erasmus, 40-4.
- Schmid, W. (1971). "Philodem als Dichter und als Philosoph . Über eine Athetese Kaibels in AP 9, 570". *Acta Conventus XI Eirene, 21-25 oct. 1968*. Warsaw: Ossolineum, 201-7.
- Schober, A. (1988). «Philodemi De pietate Pars Prior». *CErc*, 18, 67-125.

- Scognamiglio, E. (2007). «Il *PHerc.* 163 (Filodemo, *La ricchezza*, I libro). Alcune osservazioni». *CErc*, 37, 85-92.
- Sedley, D.N. (2003). “Philodemus and the Decentralisation of Philosophy”. *CErc*, 33, 31-41.
- Sedley, D.N. (2010). “The Status of Physics in Lucretius, Philodemus and Cicero”. Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculanensia: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 63-8.
- Shober, A. (1925). *Philodemi Περὶ εὐσεβείας libelli partem priorem restituit*. PhD dissertation, Königsberg.
- Sider, D. (ed) (1997). *The Epigrams of Philodemos*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/the-epigrams-of-philodemos-9780195099829?cc=us&lang=en&>]
- Simeoni, L. (2003). «Platone e le matematiche in Filodemo». *CErc*, 33, 117-24.
- Smith, M.F. (2003). “Herculaneum and Oinoanda, Philodemus and Diogenes: Comparison of Two Epicurean Discoveries and Two Epicurean Teachers”. *CErc*, 33, 267-78.
- Snyder, J.McI. (1973). “The Poetry of Philodemus the Epicurean”. *CJ*, 68, 346-53.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3295958.pdf>]
- Sudhaus, S. (1893). “Aristoteles in der Beurtheilung des Epikur und Philodem”. *RhM*, 48, 552-64
- Sudhaus, S. (1964) (ed.). *Philodemi Volumina Rhetorica*, i-ii, *Supplementum*, Editio Stereotypa, Amsterdam: Hakkert (I ed. 1892-1896).
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1977). «Filodemo sulla gratitudine». *CErc*, 7, 96-113.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1978). «Il primo libro *Sulla ricchezza* di Filodemo». *CErc*, 8, 52-95.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1985). «Il *PHerc.* 1678: Filodemo sull’invidia?». *CErc*, 15, 113-25.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (1994). «L’opera filodemea *Su Epicuro* (*PHerc.* 1232, 1289 β)». *CErc*, 24, 5-53.
- Tepedino Guerra, A. (2008). «Un frammento di Metrodoro di Lampsaco in Filodemo (*PHerc.* 57, col. 3)». *CErc*, 38, 103-7.
- Tsouna, V. (2003). «“Portare davanti agli occhi”: una tecnica retorica nelle opere “moralì” di Filodemo». *CErc*, 33, 243-47.
- Tsouna, V. (2007). *The ethics of Philodemus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199292172.001.0001/acprof-9780199292172>]
- Tsouna, V. (2007). “Philodemus and the Epicurean Tradition”. Ioppolo, A.M.; Sedley, D.N. (eds). *Pyrrhonists, Patricians, Platonizers: Hellenistic Philosophy in the Period 155-86 BC, Tenth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 339-97.
- Tsouna, V. (ed.) (2012). *Philodemus: On Property Management*. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature.  
[<https://quod.lib.umich.edu/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=acls;cc=acls;view=toc;idno=heb32035.0001.001>]
- Vassallo, Ch. (2013). «Il fr. 70 Markovich di Eraclito (= DK 22 B 85) nel *De ira* di Filodemo. *Praesocratica Herculanensia III*». *CErc*, 43, 73-94.

Verhasselt, G. (2013). "A New Reading in Philodemus' *Historia Academicorum* (PHerc. 1021 col. 2) With Observations on Dicaearchus in Col. Y (F 46B Mirhady)". *CErc*, 43, 17-26.

Vogliano, A. (1926). «Nuovi testi epicurei». *RF*, 37-49.

Wilke, K. (1914). *Philodemi Epicurei De ira liber*. Leipzig: Teubner.

Woodward, P.G. (1989). "Star Gods in Philodemus, PHerc. 152/157". *CErc*, 19, 29-47.

Zarmakoupi, M. (ed.) (2010). *The Villa of the Papyri at Herculaneum: Archaeology, reception, and digital reconstruction*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/32558\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/32558)

#### - Siron

Gigante, M. (1990). «I frammenti di Sirone». *Paideia*, 45, 175-98.

Rostagni, A. (1933). «Ancora sulla scuola di Sirone e sull'ambiente epicureo di Napoli». *RFIC*, 61, 445-58.

#### - Lucretius / Roman Epicureanism

Adorno, F. (1990). «Le parole e le cose nell'«epicureismo» lucreziano. Alcune riflessioni sulla posizione storica di Epicuro e di Lucrezio». Traina, A. et al. (a cura di). *Lucrezio. L'atomo e la parola. Colloquio lucreziano, Bologna 26 gennaio 1989*. Bologna: Cooperativa Libreria Universitaria Editrice Bologna (CLUEB), 15-32.

Albrecht, M. von (2006). «*Terror et pavor*: politica e religione in Lucrezio». Urso, G. (a cura di). *Terror et pavor: violenza, intimidazione, clandestinità nel mondo antico. Atti del convegno internazionale: Cividale del Friuli, 22-24 settembre 2005*. Pisa: ETS, 231-45.  
[\[http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von\\_Albrecht.pdf\]](http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von_Albrecht.pdf)

Alfieri, V. (1929) *Lucrezio*. Firenze: Le Monnier.

Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds) (1997). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.

Alter, F. C. (ed.) (1787). *Titi Lucretii Cari De Rerum Natura Libri Sex ad codicem Vindobonensem expressi*. Vienna.

Andreoni Fontecedro, E. (2011). «Sul filo della memoria: il misticismo di Pitagora in Lucrezio e Thomas Gray in onore di Epicuro e Locke». *Paideia*, 66, 405-11.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2479641\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2479641)  
[\[https://vivinina.files.wordpress.com/2012/04/pitagora-lucrezio.pdf\]](https://vivinina.files.wordpress.com/2012/04/pitagora-lucrezio.pdf)

Arata, L. (a cura di) (1930). *Il libro III del De natura rerum*. Torino: Paravia.

Arkins, B.T. (1984). "Epicurus and Lucretius on Sex, Love, and Marriage". *Apeiron*, 18, 141-3.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/18/2/article-p141.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/18/2/article-p141.xml)

Armstrong, D. (1995). "The Impossibility of *Metathesis*: Philodemus and Lucretius on Form and Content in Poetry". Obbink, D. (ed.). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus, and Horace*. New York-Oxford: Oxford University Press, 210-32.

Arnaldi, F. (1926). «Lucrezio». *A&R*, 7, 241-50.

- Arrighetti, G. (1979). «Un'aporia lucreziana (*De rer. nat.* IV, 886-897)». *Studi di poesia latina in onore di Antonio Traglia*. Roma: Edizioni di Storia e Letteratura, 253-8.
- Arrighetti, G. (1998). «Gli Epicurei, la poesia e Lucrezio». *Athenaeum*, 86, 13-33.
- Arrighetti, G. (2003). «L'Épicurisme de Philodème et de Lucrèce face aux théories littéraires hellénistiques. Quelques problèmes». Monet, A. (éd.). *Le Jardin romain. Epicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack, Travaux et recherches*. Lille: Presses de l'Université Charles de Gaulle-Lille 3, 137-46.
- Asmis, E. (1982). "Lucretius' Venus and Stoic Zeus". *Hermes*, 110/4, 458-70 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford, 88-103).
- Asmis, E. (1983). "Rhetoric and Reason in Lucretius". *American Journal of Philosophy*, 104, 36-66.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/293758.pdf>]
- Asmis, E. (1995). "Epicurean Poetics". Obbink, D. (ed.). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus, and Horace*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 15-34.
- Asmis, E. (2008). "Lucretius' New World Order: Making a Pact with Nature". *CQ*, 58/1, 141-57.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564129?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564129?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Asmis, E. (2016). "Lucretius' Reception of Epicurus: *De rerum natura* as a Conversion Narrative". *Hermes*, 144, 439-61.  
[<https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/fsv/hermes/2017/00000144/00000004/art00004>]
- Asmis, E. (2018). "Lucretian pleasure". Harris, W.V. (ed.). *Pain and pleasure in classical times*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 139-55.  
[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000017.xml>]
- Atherton, C. (2005). "Lucretius on What Language Is Not". Frede, D.; Inwood, B. (éds). *Language and Learning: Philosophy of Language in the Hellenistic Age. Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 101-38.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/language-and-learning/lucretius-on-what-language-is-not/AF1306089EE55D06D08961955C639B64>]
- Avotins, I. (1983). "On Some Epicurean and Lucretian Arguments for the Infinity of the Universe". *CQ*, 33, 421-7.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638785?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638785?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Baier, T. (2010). "Das Irrationale bei Lukrez". *WJA*, 34, 97-114.  
[<https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/wja/article/view/32534/26253>]
- Bailey, C. (1909). "Epicurus and Lucretius". *CR*, 23, 62-3.
- Bailey, C. (1931). "Virgil and Lucretius". *PCA*, 28, 21-39.
- Bailey, C. (1940). "The Mind of Lucretius". *TAPhA*, 61, 278-291 (reprinted in Classen, C.J. (Hrsg.) (1986). *Probleme der Lukrezforschung*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Georg Olms Verlag, 3-16).  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/290933?origin=JSTOR-pdf&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/290933?origin=JSTOR-pdf&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Bailey, C. (ed.) (1986). *Titi Lucreti Cari De Rerum Natura Libri Sex*, 3 vols. Oxford: Oxford University Press (1 ed. 1947, Oxford: Clarendon Press).

- Bakker, F.A. (2013). "Aëtius, Achilles, Epicurus and Lucretius on the Phases and Eclipses of the Moon". *Mnemosyne*, 66/4-5, 682-707.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588\\_010.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588_010.xml)]
- Balcells, J. (ed.) (1923). *De la natura*. Barcelona: Editorial Catalana.
- Baran, N. (1965). « Quelques aspects de la Langue Philosophique chez Lucrèce ». *Romanitas*, 6-7, 257-66.
- Barbour, R. (2010). "Anonymous Lucretius". *BLR*, 23/1, 105-11.
- Barigazzi, A. (1974). *Lucrezio: vita e morte nell'universo. Antologia dal De Rerum Natura*. Torino: Paravia.
- Baron, E.P. (1986). *Lucretius and his Reader: A Study of Book II of De Rerum Natura*. PhD dissertation, Ohio State University.
- Barra, G. (1952). *Struttura e composizione del De rerum natura di Lucrezio*. Napoli-Roma: Istituto Editoriale del Mezzogiorno.
- Barra, G. (1954). «La polemica antireligiosa nel V libro di Lucrezio». *RAAN*, 29, 141-70.
- Barra, G. (1984). «Il proemio del *De rerum natura* di Lucrezio». *Vichiana*, 13, 235-48.
- Barrio de la Fuente, C. (2012). «Los términos religiosos en Lucrecio: ¿es el poeta un ateo, un laico, un sindios (un agnóstico, un descreído...)?». Luque, J.; Rincón, M.D.; Velázquez, I. (éds). *Dulces camenae: poética y poesía latinas*. Granada: Ed. Universidad de Granada, 1155-69.
- Bartolini Niccolini O. (1955). «De T. Lucretio Caro». *Latinitas*, 3, 280-6.
- Bayet, J. (1948). « Études lucrétiennes: 1 : L'originalité de Lucrèce dans l'épicurisme, 2 : Lucrèce et le monde organique ». *La profondeur et le rythme* (Cahiers du Collège philosophique). Grenoble-Paris: B. Arthaud, 57-138.
- Bayet, J. (1954). « Lucrèce devant la pensée grecque ». *MH*, 11, 89-100.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24812024?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24812024?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Beer, B. (2009). "Lukrez in Herkulaneum? Beitrag zu einer Edition von *PHerc.* 395". *ZPE*, 168, 71-82.  
[<https://www.zora.uzh.ch/id/eprint/21016/>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20756629?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20756629?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Beer, B. (2009). *Lukrez und Philodem: poetische Argumentation und poetologischer Diskurs*. Basel: Schwabe.
- Beretta, M. (2008). «Gli scienziati e l'edizione del *De rerum natura*». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 117-224.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478272>]
- Beretta, M. (2012). «Il concetto di legge naturale in Lucrezio e Seneca». Beretta, M.; Citti, F.; Pasetti, L. (a cura di). *Seneca e le scienze naturali*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-17.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2918516>]
- Beretta, M. (a cura di) (2016). *Lucrezio. De Rerum Natura, Editio Princeps (1472-73)*. Bologna: Bononia University Press.
- Beretta, M. (2016). *La rivoluzione culturale di Lucrezio*. Roma: Carocci.  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884307945](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884307945)]

- Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di) (2008). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki.  
[\[https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822258120\]](https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822258120)
- Berno, F.R. (2015). «“Naufragar m’è dolce in questo mare”: filosofi e naufraghi, da Lucrezio a Seneca (e Petronio)». *Maia*, 67/2, 282-97.
- Berno, F.R. (2015). «Spettatori e filosofi: nota a *Lucr.* 2, 7-13 (e *Cic. Tusc.* 5, 8-9)». *SIFC*, 13/1, 108-20.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3093374\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3093374)
- Bignone, E. (1940). «Lucrezio come interprete della filosofia di Epicuro». *Italia e Grecia, Saggi sulle due civiltà e i loro rapporti attraverso i secoli*. Firenze: Le Monnier.
- Binder, K.; Greenblatt, S. (Hrsgg) (2014). *Lukrez: Über die Natur der Dinge*. Berlin: Galiani.
- Boerwinkel, E.J. (1956). *Burgerschap en individuele autonomie. Epicurus en epicureïsme in het oordeel van Lucretius en Cicero*. PhD dissertation, Universiteit Utrecht.
- Bollack, M. (1978). *La raison de Lucrèce : Constitution d’une poétique philosophique, Avec un essai d’interprétation de la critique lucrétienne*. Paris: Les Éditions de Minuit.  
[\[http://www.leseditionsdeminuit.fr/livre-Raison\\_de\\_Lucr%C3%A8ce\\_\(La\)-1946-1-1-0-1.html\]](http://www.leseditionsdeminuit.fr/livre-Raison_de_Lucr%C3%A8ce_(La)-1946-1-1-0-1.html)
- Boyancé, P. (1958). « La théorie de l’âme chez Lucrèce ». *Bulletin de l’Association Guillaume Budé*, 17, 30-49.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_1247-6862\\_1958\\_num\\_17\\_4\\_4173\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_1247-6862_1958_num_17_4_4173)
- Boyancé, P. (1960). « L’épicurisme dans la société et la littérature romaines ». *BAGB*, 499-516.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_1247-6862\\_1960\\_num\\_19\\_4\\_4194\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_1247-6862_1960_num_19_4_4194)
- Boyancé, P. (1962). « Épicure, la poésie et la Vénus de Lucrèce ». *REA*, 64, 404-10.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea\\_0035-2004\\_1962\\_num\\_64\\_3\\_3683\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea_0035-2004_1962_num_64_3_3683)
- Boyancé, P. (1963). *Lucrèce et l’épicurisme*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France; Ital. transl. (1985<sup>2</sup>). *Lucrezio e l’epicureismo*. Brescia: Editrice Paideia (I ed. 1970).
- Brieger, A. (ed.) (1899<sup>2</sup>). *T. Lucreti Cari De Rerum Natura Libri Sex*. Leipzig: Teubner (I ed. 1894).
- Bright, D.F. (1971). “The Plague and the Structure of *De rerum natura*”. *Latomus*, 30, 607-32.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41528070?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41528070?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Brown, P.M. (ed.) (1984). *Lucretius: De rerum natura I*. Bristol: Bristol Classical Press.
- Brown, P.M. (1997). *Lucretius: De rerum natura III*. Warminster: Aris and Phillips.
- Brown, R.D. (1982). “Lucretius and Callimachus”. *ICS*, 7, 77-97 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 328-50).  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23061153?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23061153?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Brown, R.D. (1983). “Lucretian Ridicule of Anaxagoras”. *CQ*, 33, 146-60.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretian-ridicule-of-anaxagoras/8D129730A4E2EECD5EC8A7D5DDD03723\]](https://www.cambridge.org/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretian-ridicule-of-anaxagoras/8D129730A4E2EECD5EC8A7D5DDD03723)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638653?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638653?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Brown, R.D. (1987). *Lucretius on Love and Sex – A Commentary on De Rerum Natura 4.1030-1287*. Leiden-New York: Brill.
- Bruno, N. (2017). «Dalla preistoria alla storia. L’analogia in Tucidide e Lucrezio». *eClassica*, 3, 8-29.

[[https://www.lettere.uniroma1.it/sites/default/files/447/DALLA\\_PREISTORIA ALLA STORIA\\_LANALOGIA.pdf](https://www.lettere.uniroma1.it/sites/default/files/447/DALLA_PREISTORIA ALLA STORIA_LANALOGIA.pdf)]

Buchheit, V. (1971). "Epicurus' Triumph of the Mind". *Hermes*, 99, 303-23 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 104-31).

Büchner, K. (Hrsg.) (1966). *T. Lucreti Cari De Rerum Natura*. Wiesbaden: Harvard University Press.

Burnyeat, M.F. (1978). "The Upside-down Back-to-front Sceptic of Lucretius IV 472". *Philologus*, 122, 197-206.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/122/1-2/article-p197.xml>]

Butterfield, D. (2012). "A Lucretian Emendation (I 613)". *Athenaeum*, 100, 475-8.

Butterfield, D. (2013). *The early textual history of Lucretius' De rerum natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/early-textual-history-of-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/9F4ED5970FAEB6485612EF03E11451F0>]

Butterfield, D. (2018). "Lucretius the Madman and the Gods". Bryan, J.; Wardy, R.; Warren, J. (eds). *Authors and Authorities in Ancient Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 222-41.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/authors-and-authorities-in-ancient-philosophy/lucretius-the-madman-on-the-gods/E6045070809C02064AD3BE26C410CFB6>]

Butterfield, D. (2020). "Critical Responses to the Most Difficult Textual Problem in Lucretius". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*". Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 19-40.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/critical-responses-to-the-most-difficult-textual-problem-in-lucretius/C40B26F806A26F4CC41E771DCAB9D6FD>]

Cabisius, G. (1985). "Social Metaphor and the Atomic Cycle in Lucretius". *CJ*, 80/2, 109-20.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3297193.pdf>]

Calboli, G. (2003). «Lucrezio e la retorica». *Paideia*, 58, 186-206.

Camardese, D. (2010). *Il mondo animale nella poesia lucreziana tra topos e osservazione realistica*. Bologna: Pàtron.

Cambiano, G. (2008). «Lucrezio e il greco Epicuro». Alesse, F.; Aronadio, F.; Dalfino, M.C.; Simeoni, L.; Spinelli, E. (a cura di). *Anthropine sophia. Studi di filologia e storiografia filosofica in memoria di Gabriele Giannantoni*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 407-22.

Camerota, M. (2008). «Galileo, Lucrezio e l'atomismo». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 141-75.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478271>]

Campbell, G.L. (ed.) (2003). *Lucretius on Creation and Evolution. A Commentary on De Rerum Natura, Book Five, Lines 772-1104*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://www.oxfordscholarlyeditions.com/view/10.1093/actrade/9780199263967.book.1/acrade-9780199263967-book-1>]

Campbell, G.L. (2007). "Bicycles, Centaurs, and Man-Faced Ox-Creatures: Ontological Instability in Lucretius". Harrison, S.J.; Fowler, P.G.; Heyworth, S.J. (eds). *Classical Constructions: Papers in Memory of Don Fowler, Classicist and Epicurean*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 39-62.

[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199218035.001.0001/acprof-9780199218035-chapter-3>]

- Campbell, G.L. (2008). “«And Bright Was the Flame of Their Friendship» (Empedocles B 130): Humans, Animals, Justice, and Friendship, in Lucretius and Empedocles”. *LICS*, 7/4, 23.  
[<http://mural.maynoothuniversity.ie/1105/>]
- Campbell, G.L. (2011). “Lucretius”. Clayman, D.L. (ed.). *Oxford Bibliographies in Classics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780195389661/obo-9780195389661-0034.xml?rskey=BnZG87&result=2&q=lucretius#firstMatch>]
- Campbell, G.L. (2014). “Lucretius, Empedocles and Cleanthes”. Garani, M.; Konstan, D. (eds). *The Philosophizing Muse: The Influence of Greek Philosophy on Roman Poetry*. Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Pub, 26-60.  
[<http://mural.maynoothuniversity.ie/7614/>]
- Canfora, L. (1993). «Sulla diffusione dell’epicureismo a Roma». Canfora, L. (a cura di). *Studi di storia della storiografia romana*. Bari: Edipuglia, 263-73.
- Canfora, L. (1993). *Vita di Lucrezio*. Palermo: Sellerio.
- Capasso, M. (2012). «Lezioni di papirologia ercolanese: a proposito del miraggio del Lucrezio ercolanese». *SEP*, 9, 35-6.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2526354>]
- Capasso, M. (2012). «Non è Lucrezio». Schubert, P. (a cura di). *Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie : Genève, 16-21 août 2010*. Genève: Droz, 127-34.
- Capasso, M. (2014). «Non era Lucrezio». *PapLup*, 23, 5-7.  
[<http://siba-ese.unisalento.it/index.php/plup/article/view/18300>]
- Capasso, M. (2014). «Il preteso Lucrezio ercolanese». *A&R*, 8/3-4, 145-71.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3092280#>]
- Caranci Alfano, L. (1984). *L’atomo nel lessico di Epicuro e Lucrezio*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- Casini, N. (1949). «Diogene di Enoanda e Lucrezio». *RSF*, 4/4, 279-90.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44018377?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44018377?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Castiglioni, L. (1929). «Appunti lucreziani». *RIL*, 62, 424-48.
- Castner, C.J. (1988). *Prosopography of Roman Epicureans Between the Second Century B.C. and the Second Century A.D.* Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Castner, C.J. (1985-86). “Difficulties in Identifying Roman Epicureans: Orata in Cicero *De Fin.* 2.22.70”. *CJ*, 81, 138-47.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296743?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296743?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Ceccarelli, A. (2020). “Reading Lucretius in Padua: Gian Vincenzo Pinelli and the Sixteenth-Century Recovery of Ancient Atomism”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 219-34.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-012.xml>]
- Cerasuolo, S. (2014). «La definizione di *Venus* e *Amor* nella diatriba del libro IV del *De rerum natura* di Lucrezio». *A&R*, 8/3-4, 172-90.

- Cherchi, G. (2020). “*Simulacra Lucretiana: The Iconographic Tradition of Lucretius’ De Rerum Natura*”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 339-80.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-019.xml>]
- Chiari, A. (1924). «A proposito d’una nuova edizione di Lucrezio». *RF*, 233-246.
- Císař, K. (2001). “Epicurean Epistemology in Lucretius’ *De rerum natura* IV 1-822”. *Listy filologické*, 124/1-2, 1-54.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23468032?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23468032?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Classen, C.J. (1968). “Poetry and Rhetoric in Lucretius”. *TAPhA*. 99, 77-118 (reprinted in Classen, C.J. (Hrsg.) (1986). *Probleme der Lukrezforschung*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Georg Olms Verlag, 331-73).
- Classen, C.J. (Hrsg.) (1986). *Probleme der Lukrezforschung*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Georg Olms Verlag.
- Classen, C.J. (2008). “Cicero and Lucretius on Epicurean Philosophy”. *Eikasmos*, 19, 175-88.
- Clay, D. (1969). “*De rerum natura*. Greek *Physis* and Epicurean *Physiologia* (Lucretius I.1-148)”. *TAPA*, 100, 31-47.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2935899?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2935899?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Clay, D. (1976). “The Sources of Lucretius’ Inspiration”. Bollack, J., Laks, A. (éds). *Etudes sur l’Épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l’Université de Lille III, 205-27 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 18-47).
- Clay, D. (1983). *Lucretius and Epicurus*. Ithaca-New York-London: Cornell University Press.
- Clay, D. (2000). “Recovering originals: *Peri Physeos* and *De Rerum Natura*”. *Apeiron*, 33/3, 259-71  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/33/3/article-p259.xml>]
- Clay, J.S; Mitsis, P.; Schiesaro, A. (a cura di) (1993). *Mega nepios: il destinatario nell’epos didascalico*. Pisa: Giardini.
- Colman, J. (2006). *Science, Politics, and Poetry: A Study of Lucretius’ On the nature of things*. PhD dissertation, Boston College.
- Combeaud, B.; Onfray, M. (éds) (2015). *Lucrèce: La naissance des choses*. Bordeaux: Mollat.
- Commager, H.S. Jr. (1957). “Lucretius’ Interpretation of the Plague”. *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology*, 62, 105-18 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 182-98).  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/310970?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/310970?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Comte-Sponville, A. (2008). *Le miel et l’absinthe : poésie et philosophie chez Lucrèce*. Paris: Hermann.
- Conche, M. (2011). *Lucrèce et l’expérience*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.  
[[https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce\\_et\\_lexp%C3%A9rience](https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce_et_lexp%C3%A9rience)]
- Costa, C.D.N. (ed.) (1984). *Lucretius De Rerum Natura V*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarlyeditions.com/view/10.1093/actrade/9780198144571.book.1/actrade-9780198144571-book-1>]
- Cox, A. (1971). “Lucretius and his Message: A Study in the Prologues of the *De Rerum Natura*”. *G&R*, 18, 1-16.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/lucretius-and-his-message-a-study-in-the-prologues-of-the-de-rerum-natura/2342B3F6DD88007E68B3DD1FB8789056>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/642380?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/642380?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Craca, C. (1989). *Da Epicuro a Lucrezio: Il maestro ed il poeta nei proemi del De rerum natura*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.

Cucchiarelli, A. (1994). «Lucrezio, *de rer. Nat.* IV 984: *voluntas* o *voluptas*? Una difficoltà testuale e l'interpretazione epicureo-lucreziana del fenomeno onirico». *SIFC*, 12, 50-102.

Cumont, F. (1920). «Lucrece et le symbolisme pythagoricien des enfers». *RPh*, 44, 229-40.

[<http://perdrizet.hiscant.univ-lorraine.fr/items/show/2710>]

Dalzell, A. (1974). "Lucretius' Exposition of the Doctrine of Images". *Hermathena*, 118, 22-32.

Dalzell, A. (1987). "Language and Atomic Theory in Lucretius". *Hermathena*, 143, 19-28.

Dalzell, A. (1996). *The Criticism of Didactic Poetry: Essays on Lucretius, Virgil and Ovid*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

[<https://toronto.degruyter.com/view/title/519244>]

Damiani, V. (2016). «Le epitomi di Epicuro: un modello di strategie comunicative per il *De rerum natura*». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Testo e forme del testo: Ricerche di filologia filosofica*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 257-79.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4235848>]

D'Anna, G. (2003). «Una rilettura dell'*Inno a Venere* di Lucrezio». *Paideia*, 58, 163-75.

D'Anna, G. (2008). «La dottrina epicurea del piacere e l'*Inno a Venere* di Lucrezio». *RAL*, 19/4, 663-94.

De Caro, M. (2020). "Machiavelli's Lucretian View of Free Will". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 201-18.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-011.xml>]

Deichgräber, K. (1940) "Similia dissimilia III: Zum Prooemium des Lukrez". *RhM*, 89, 55-62.

[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/089/Deichgraeber.pdf>]

De Lacy, Ph. (1948). "Lucretius and the History of Epicureanism". *TAPhA*, 79, 12-23.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283350?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283350?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

De Lacy, Ph. (1957). "Process and Value, An Epicurean Dilemma". *TAPA*, 88, 114-26.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283897?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283897?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

De Lacy, Ph. (1964). "Distant Views: The Imagery of Lucretius 2". *The Classical Journal*, 60, 49-55 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 146-57).

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3294004.pdf>]

De Lacy, Ph. (1983). "Lucretius and Plato". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 291-307.

Delruelle, É. (2001). «Plaisir, contingence et déviation dans le texte de Lucrece». Delruelle, É.; Pirenne-Delforge, V. (éds). *Κῆποι : de la religion à la philosophie. Mélanges offerts à André Motte*. Liège: Centre International d'Étude de la Religion Grecque Antique, 237-45.

[<https://books.openedition.org/pulg/1106>]

- Denizet, F. (1928). « Lucrèce et les nouvelles connaissances scientifiques ». *Mém. Acad. Marseille*, 163-92.
- Derochette, L. (1927). «*Lucretiana*». *MB*, 173-85.
- Deschamps, L. (2012). « L'expression du vrai et du faux dans le *De rerum natura* de Lucrèce ». Morin, B. (éd.). *Polumathès / πολυμαθής : mélanges offerts à Jean-Pierre Levet*. Limoges: PULIM, 369-79.
- Deufert, M. (1996). *Pseudo-Lukrezisches im Lukrez*. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Deufert, M. (2017). *Prolegomena zur Editio Teubneriana des Lukrez*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/529971>]
- Deufert, M. (2018). *Kritisches Kommentar zu Lukrezens De rerum natura*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/510104?format=G>]
- Deufert, M. (Hrsg.) (2019). *Titus Lucretius Carus: De rerum natura*. Berlin: de Gruyter.  
[<https://db.degruyter.com/view/product/54960>]
- DeWitt, N.W. (1946). "Roman Epicureanism". *Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada*, 39, 31-44.
- Diano, C. (1942-1943). «*Dissentio-διαισθάνομαι* e il problema della memoria». *RAL*, 4, 265-70.
- Diels, H. (Hrsg.) (1923). *De rerum natura*. Berlin: Weidmann.
- Dionigi, I. (1985). «Suono e forma, testo e cosmo: Lucr. 1, 305 s.». *MD*, 15, 125-35.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40235849.pdf>]
- Dionigi, I. (1988). *Lucrezio: Le parole e le cose*. Bologna: Pàtron (III ed. 2005).
- Dionigi, I. (2008). "Lucretius, or the Grammar of the Cosmos". Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 27-34.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478266>]
- Dionigi, I. (a cura di); Canali, L. (transl.) (1990). *Lucrezio: La Natura Delle Cose*. Milano: Rizzoli (II ed. 2000).
- Di Pasquale, G. (2008). «Il concetto di *machina mundi* in Lucrezio». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 35-50.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478267>]
- Di Stefano, S. (2020). «Il *De rerum natura* tra filosofia, retorica e poesia: osservazioni sulla dimostrazione lucreziana del *clinamen* epicureo». *Vichiana*, 57(1), 23-38.
- Dorandi, T. (1997). « Lucrèce et les Épicuriens de Campanie ». Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 35-48.  
[<https://blackwells.co.uk/bookshop/product/Lucretius-and-His-Intellectual-Background-by-Algra-K-A--Koenen-M-H--Schrijvers-P-H/9780444858184>]
- Drabkin, I.E. (1937). "Notes on Lucretius II. 479-82". *CPh*, 32, 258-63.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/264196?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/264196?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Dubuisson, M. (1998). « Les épicuriens Alcée et Philiscos ». *RPh*, 72/1, 55-8.
- Dudley, D.R. (ed.) (1965). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

- Dudley, D.R. (1965). "The Satiric Element in Lucretius". Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 115-30.
- Earnshaw, K.M. (2013). "(First-)Beginnings and (Never )Endings in Lucan and Lucretius". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 261-84.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-11\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-11)
- Eckerman, C. (2013). "Lucretius' Self Positioning in the History of Roman Epicureanism". *CQ*, 63, 785-800.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretius-selfpositioning-in-the-history-of-roman-epicureanism/E58DC6746F34565A83C465D377058978\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretius-selfpositioning-in-the-history-of-roman-epicureanism/E58DC6746F34565A83C465D377058978)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43906007?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43906007?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Edelbluth, R. (1927). "Zu Lukrez". *Philologische Wochenschrift*, 47, 139-44.
- Edelstein, L. (1940). "Primum Graius homo (Lucretius I,66)". *TAPA*, 78-90.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283116?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283116?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Edirisinghe, V. (2010). *The Concept of Divinity in Lucretius' De rerum natura: Venus, Voluptas, Epicurus*. PhD dissertation, .
- Edwards, M. (1993). "Aeternus lepos: Venus, Lucretius, and the fear of death". *G&R*, 40, 68-78.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/aeternus-lepos-venus-lucretius-and-the-fear-of-death/67029901ED39C33565F906E932DFF8F9\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/aeternus-lepos-venus-lucretius-and-the-fear-of-death/67029901ED39C33565F906E932DFF8F9)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/643219?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/643219?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Elder, J.P. (1954). "Lucretius 1.1-49". *TAPhA*, 85, 88-120.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283469.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283469.pdf)
- El Murr, D.; Lavaud, L.; Trinquier, J. (éd.) (2020). *Le De rerum natura de Lucrèce : perspectives philosophiques*. *AITIA*, 10.  
[\[https://journals.openedition.org/aitia/7491\]](https://journals.openedition.org/aitia/7491)
- Erler, M. (2003). "Das Bild vom «Kind im Menschen» bei Platon und der Adressat von Lukrez *De rerum natura*". *CErc*, 33, 107-16.
- Erler, M. (2003). "Exempla amoris. Der epikureische *Epilogismos* als philosophischer Hintergrund der Diatribe gegen die Liebe in Lukrez *De rerum natura*". Monet, A. (éd.). *Le Jardin romain. Epicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack, Travaux et recherches*. Lille: Presses de l'Université Charles de Gaulle-Lille 3, 147-62.
- Erler, M. (2009). "Ursachenanalyse von Krieg und Frieden bei Platon und in der hellenistischen Philosophie". Holzem, A. (Hrsg.). *Krieg und Christentum: religiöse Gewalttheorien in der Kriegserfahrung des Westens*. Paderborn: Schöningh, 169-79.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/title/46569?rskey=wPeN3b&result=27\]](https://brill.com/view/title/46569?rskey=wPeN3b&result=27)
- Erler, M. (2013). "Chain of Proof in Lucretius, Sextus, and Plato: Rhetorical Tradition and Philosophy". Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 25-43.
- Erler, M. (2018). "Beweishäufung bei Lukrez. Zum Verhältnis von Philosophie und Rhetorik in philosophischer Literatur". Müller, G.M.; Mariani, F. (Hrsgg). *Philosophie in Rom - Römische Philosophie*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 175-88.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110493108/10.1515/9783110493108-008.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110493108/10.1515/9783110493108-008.xml)
- Ernout, A. (éd) (1966<sup>10</sup>). *Lucrèce, De La Nature*, 2 vols. Paris: Les belles lettres (1 ed. 1920).

- Ernout, A. (1924). «Lucretiana». BAGB, fasc.4, 20-36.
- Ernout, A.; Robin, L. (éds) (1962<sup>2</sup>). *Lucrece, De rerum natura*, commentaire exégétique et critique précédé d'une traduction sur l'art de Lucrece et d'une traduction des lettres et pensées d'Épicure. Paris: Les Belles Lettres (I ed. 1925-28).
- Evolceanu, D. (1926). «T. Lucretii Cari de rerum natura II, 955». *Orpheus*, 2, 134-6.
- Évrard, É. (1999). «Diogène d'Énoanda et Lucrece ». Poignault, R. (éd.). *Présence de Lucrece, Actes du Colloque de Tours, 3-5 décembre 1998*. Tours: Centre de Recherche A. Piganiol, 51-63.
- Falk, K. (1962). "Lucretius as an Epicurean Poet". *HSPH*, 66, 254-6.
- Fanti, G. (2017). "The Failure of Memmius in Lucretius' *DRN*". *Latomus*, 76, 58-79.
- Farrell, J. (2007). "Lucretian Architecture: The Structure and Argument of the *De rerum natura*". Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 76-91.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretian-architecture-the-structure-and-argument-of-the-de-rerum-natura/9A32934CF17BE24313974609356F8885\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretian-architecture-the-structure-and-argument-of-the-de-rerum-natura/9A32934CF17BE24313974609356F8885)
- Farrell, J. (2008). "The Six Books of Lucretius' *DRN*: Antecedents and Influence". *Dictynna*, 5, 115-39.  
[\[https://journals.openedition.org/dictynna/385?lang=en\]](https://journals.openedition.org/dictynna/385?lang=en)
- Farrell, J. (2016). "Lucretius and the Symptomatology of Modernism". Lezra, J.; Blake, L. (eds). *Lucretius and Modernity. Epicurean Encounters Across Time and Disciplines*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 39-55.  
[\[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7\\_3\]](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7_3)
- Farrington, B. (1952). "The Meanings of *Voluptas* in Lucretius". *Hermathena*, 80, 26-31.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/23039298.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/23039298.pdf)
- Farrington, B. (1953). "Second Thoughts on Epicurus". *Science & Society*, 17, 326-39.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40400216?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40400216?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Farrington, B. (1953). "*Vita Prior* in Lucretius". *Hermathena*, 81, 59-62.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039075?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039075?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Farrington, B. (1954). "Lucretius and Manilius on Friendship". *Hermathena*, 83, 10-6.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Farrington, B. (1958). "Vergil and Lucretius". *AClass*, 1, 45-50.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24589993?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24589993?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Farrington, B. (1965). "Form and Purpose in the *De Rerum Natura*". Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 19-34.
- Favaretti Camposampiero, M. (2020). "Lucretius in Leibniz". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 273-88.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-015.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-015.xml)
- Feeney, D. (1978). "Wild beasts in the *DRN*". *Prudentia*, 10, 15-22.
- Feraco, F. (2008). «Lucrezio 6, 712-737: la piena del Nilo». *BStudLat*, 38/2, 583-608.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2197888\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2197888)

- Fiesoli, Giovanni (2013). «Lettori e lezioni lucreziane: gli inusitati connubi tra scienza, filologia e poesia». *Sileno*, 39/1-2, 183-204.
- Fischer, H. (1924) *De capitulis Lucretianis*. Diss. Giessen. PhD dissertation, Justus Liebig University Giessen.
- Fish, J. (1998). "Is Death Nothing to Horace? A Brief Comparison with Philodemus and Lucretius". *CErc*, 28, 99-104.
- Fitch, J.G. (2018). *The Poetry of Knowledge and the «Two Cultures»*. Cham: Springer.  
[<https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9783319895598>]
- Fitzgerald, W. (1984). "Lucretius' Cure for Love in the *De rerum natura*". *CW*, 78, 73-86.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4349696.pdf>]
- Flammini, G. (2003). «La funzione didascalica di alcune strutture prefatorie del *De rerum natura*: le risorse del poeta-magister». *GIF*, 65, 175-208.  
[<https://core.ac.uk/reader/55280018>]
- Fleury, H. (1927). *En relisant Lucrèce. Le livre de la Nature et la physique moderne*. Paris.
- Flores, E. (2002-10). *Titus Lucretius Carus: De rerum natura*, 3 vols. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Fornaro, P. (1982). «Dione Crisostomo (12,35 ss.), Epicurei e Lucrezio». *Latomus*, 41, 285-304.
- Foster, E. (2011). "The Political Aims of Lucretius Translation of Thucydides". McElduff, S.; Sciarrino, E. (eds). *Complicating the History of Western Translation: The Ancient Mediterranean in Perspective*. Manchester: Routledge.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315760070/chapters/10.4324/9781315760070-15>]
- Fowler, D.P. (1989). "Lucretius and Politics". Griffin, M.; Barnes, J. (eds). *Philosophia Togata: Essays on Philosophy and Roman Society*, I. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 120-50 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 397-431).
- Fowler, D.P. (1995). "The Feminine Principal: Gender in the *De rerum natura*". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol.II, 813-22 (reprinted in Fowler, D.P.; Fowler, P.G. (2002). *Atomic Motion. A Commentary on De rerum natura 2.1-332*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 444-52).
- Fowler, D.P. (1995). "From *Epos* to *Cosmos*: Lucretius, Ovid, and the Poetics of Segmentation". Innes, D.C.; Hine, H.; Pelling, C. (eds). *Ethics and Rhetoric: Essays for Donald Russell on his Seventy-Fifth Birthday*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 3-18.
- Fowler, D.P. (1997). "Lucretian Conclusions". Roberts, D.H.; Dunn, F.M.; Fowler, D.P. (eds). *Classical Closure: Reading the End in Greek and Latin Literature*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 112-38 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 199-233).
- Fowler, D.P. (2000). "Philosophy and Literature in Lucretian Intertextuality". Fowler, D.P. *Roman Constructions: Readings in Postmodern Latin*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 138-55.
- Fowler, D.P.; Fowler, P.G. (2002). *Lucretius on Atomic Motion. A Commentary on De rerum natura 2.1-332*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Franek, J. (2011). "Lucretius and the Modern Interdisciplinary Critique of Religion". *SPFB(klas)*, 16/1, 15-28.

[<https://digilib.phil.muni.cz/handle/11222.digilib/115762>]

Frank, T. (1930). "On the Name of Lucretius Carus". AA.VV. *Studies in Honor of Hermann Collitz*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 63-6.

Fratantuono, L. (2015). *A Reading of Lucretius' De Rerum Natura*. Lanham: Lexington Books.

Friedländer, P. (1939). "The Epicurean Theology in Lucretius' First Proemium (Lucr. I. 44-49)". *TAPhA*, 70, 368-79.

Friedländer, P. (1941). "Pattern of Sound and Atomistic Theory in Lucretius". *AJPh*, 62, 16-34 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 351-70).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/291222?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/291222?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Furley, D.J. (1966). "Lucretius and the Stoics". *BICS*, 13, 13-33 (reprinted in 1989. *Cosmic Problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 183-205; Classen, C.J. (Hrsg.) (1986). *Probleme der Lukrezforschung*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Georg Olms Verlag, 75-95).

[<https://academic.oup.com/bics/article-abstract/13/1/13/5706757?redirectedFrom=PDF>]

[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1966.tb00027.x>]

Furley, D.J. (1970). "Variations on Themes From Empedocles in Lucretius' Proem". *BICS*, 17, 55-64.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646249?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646249?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1970.tb00082.x>]

Furley, D.J. (1978). "Lucretius the Epicurean: On the History of Man". Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrece: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 1-27 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 158-81).

[[http://lib.uhd.edu/iq/files/sample2/9831\\_2.pdf](http://lib.uhd.edu/iq/files/sample2/9831_2.pdf)]

Gale, M.R. (1991). "Man and Beast in Lucretius and the *Georgics*". *CQ*, 41, 414-26.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/man-and-beast-in-lucretius-and-the-georgics/D9769EE25B352131F28DBC5FA74BD61>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638909?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638909?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Gale, M.R. (1994). *Myth and Poetry in Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Gale, M.R. (2001). *Lucretius and the Didactic Epic*. London: Bristol Classical Press.

Gale, M.R. (2005). "Avia Pieridum loca: Tradition and Innovation in Lucretius". Horster, M.; Reitz, C. (Hrsgg). *Wissensvermittlung in dichterischer Gestalt*. Stuttgart: Franz Steiner., 175-91.

Gale, M.R. (2005). "Contemplating Violence: Lucretius' *De rerum natura*". Gale, M.R.; Scourfield, J.H.D. (eds). *Texts and Violence in the Roman World*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 63-86.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/texts-and-violence-in-the-roman-world/contemplating-violence/818E08FF34B403A505D6CF1A02CE9B55/core-reader>]

Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/oxford-readings-in-lucretius-9780199260355?cc=it&lang=en&>]

Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius Reaches the Mainstream*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2009). *Lucretius. De Rerum Natura V*. Oxford: Oxbow Books.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv1198t4x>]

- Gale, M.R. (2020). "Lucretius". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 430-55.  
[\[https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-12\]](https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-12)
- Galmarini, A. (2008). «Lucrezio, Ippocrate e Platone: analisi lessicale di *De rerum natura* 3, 526-547». *Paideia*, 63, 125-55.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444790\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444790)
- Galzerano, M. (2018). «*Machina mundi*: significato e fortuna di una *iunctura* da Lucrezio alla tarda antichità». *BStudLat*, 48/1, 10-34.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4321283\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4321283)
- Galzerano, M. (2019). *La fine del mondo nel De rerum natura di Lucrezio*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/557682\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/557682)
- Garani, M. (2007). "Cosmological Oaths in Empedocles and Lucretius". Sommerstein, A.H.; Fletcher, J. (eds). *Horkos: the Oath in Greek Society*. Bristol: Bristol Phoenix Press, 189-202.  
[\[https://liverpool.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.5949/liverpool/9781904675679.001.0001/upso-9781904675679-chapter-17\]](https://liverpool.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.5949/liverpool/9781904675679.001.0001/upso-9781904675679-chapter-17)
- Garani, M. (2007). *Empedocles Redivivus: Poetry and Analogy in Lucretius*. London-New York: Routledge.  
[\[https://www.routledge.com/Empedocles-Redivivus-Poetry-and-Analogy-in-Lucretius/Garani/p/book/9780415542739\]](https://www.routledge.com/Empedocles-Redivivus-Poetry-and-Analogy-in-Lucretius/Garani/p/book/9780415542739)
- Garani, M. (2018). "Epicurean image making, idolatry and iconoclasm in the age of Lucretius: the anti-example of Cybele (*diuinae Matris imago*, DRN 2.609)". *Latomus*, 77/1, 130-46.  
[\[https://poj.peeters-leuven.be/content.php?url=article&id=3284725&journal\\_code=LAT\]](https://poj.peeters-leuven.be/content.php?url=article&id=3284725&journal_code=LAT)
- Garani, M. (2020). "Seneca as Lucretius' Sublime Reader (*Naturales Quaestiones* 3 *praef.*)". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 105-26.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-007.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-007.xml)
- Gargiulo, T. (1983). «Epicureismo romano». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 635-48.
- Gee, E. (2016). "Dogs, Snakes and Heroes: Hybridism and Polemic in Lucretius' *De rerum natura*". Oakley, S.; Hunter, R.L. (eds). *Latin Literature and its Transmission: Papers in Honour of Michael Reeve*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 108-41.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/latin-literature-and-its-transmission/dogs-snakes-and-heroes/4F244F6B8B36C3CB22687892932CC994/core-reader\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/latin-literature-and-its-transmission/dogs-snakes-and-heroes/4F244F6B8B36C3CB22687892932CC994/core-reader)
- Gee, E. (2020). "The Rising and Setting Soul in Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* 3". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 195-216.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/rising-and-setting-soul-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura-3/9A365434A704C3E8AAD6836616E2C851\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/rising-and-setting-soul-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura-3/9A365434A704C3E8AAD6836616E2C851)
- Gellar-Goad, T.H.M. (2012). *Lucretius' De Rerum Natura and Satire*. PhD dissertation, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- Gellar-Goad, T.H.M. (2020). *Laughing Atoms, Laughing Matter. Lucretius' De Rerum Natura and Satire*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.  
[\[https://www.press.umich.edu/11378546/laughing\\_atoms\\_laughing\\_matter/?s=description\]](https://www.press.umich.edu/11378546/laughing_atoms_laughing_matter/?s=description)

Gemelli, B. (1983). «Il primo epicureismo romano ed il problema della sua diffusione». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 281-90.

Gennaro, S. (1957). «Lucrezio e l'apologetica latina in Claudiano». *Miscellanea di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica*, 7, 5-60.

Gentile, S. (2013). «Ficino, Epicuro e Lucrezio». Hankins, J.; Meroi, F. (eds). *The Rebirth of Platonic Theology: Proceedings of a conference held at The Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies (Villa I Tatti) and the Istituto Nazionale di Studi sul Rinascimento (Florence, 26-27 April 2007)*. For Michael J.B. Allen. Firenze: Olschki, 119-36.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1tqx8b6?turn\\_away=true&Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Considerazioni+sulla+dottrina+teologica+di+Epicuro&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DConsiderazioni%2Bsulla%2Bdottrina%2Bteologica%2Bdi%2BEpicuro%26filter%3D&ab\\_segments=0%2Fbasic\\_SYC-5187\\_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3Aaedce575e4ab84ca86f66240dbd40136](https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1tqx8b6?turn_away=true&Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Considerazioni+sulla+dottrina+teologica+di+Epicuro&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DConsiderazioni%2Bsulla%2Bdottrina%2Bteologica%2Bdi%2BEpicuro%26filter%3D&ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-5187_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3Aaedce575e4ab84ca86f66240dbd40136)]

Giancotti, F. (1960). «La poetica epicurea in Lucrezio, Cicerone ed altri». *Ciceroniana*, 3, 3-31.

[<https://www.ojs.unito.it/index.php/COL/article/view/1462/1293>]

Giancotti, F. (1972). «Postille sui rapporti fra epicureismo e poesia in Epicuro e in Lucrezio». *GIF*, 24, 192-223.

Giancotti, F. (1978). *Il preludio di Lucrezio e altri scritti lucreziani ed epicurei*. Messina-Firenze: D'Anna.

Giancotti, F. (a cura di) (1994). *Tito Lucrezio Caro: La natura*. Milano: Garzanti.

Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di) (1996). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993, 3 voll. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Giesecke, A.L. (2000). *Atoms, Ataraxy, and Allusion: Cross-Generic Imitation of the De Rerum Natura in Early Augustan Poetry*. Hildesheim: Georg Olms.

Gigandet, A. (1998). *Fama Deum. Lucrèce et les raisons du mythe*. Paris: Vrin.

Gigandet, A. (2001). *Lucrèce. Atomes, mouvement, physique et éthique*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.

[[https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce\\_Atomes\\_mouvement](https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce_Atomes_mouvement)]

Gigandet, A. (2006). « Lucrèce lecteur d'Héraclite ». Ciccolini, L.; Guérin, C.; Itic, S. (éds). *Réceptions antiques : lecture, transmission, appropriation intellectuelle*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 11-25.

Gigandet, A. (2017). « Diogène, Lucrèce et la théorie épicurienne de l'imaginaire. Fragment 9 -; *De rerum natura* IV 971-993 ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 207-20.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978831>]

Gigon, O. (ed.) (1971). *T. Lucretius Carus: De Rerum Natura Libri Sex*. Zurich: Orell Füssli.

Gigon, O. (éd.) (1978). *Lucrèce: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt.

Gigon, O. (1978). «Lukrez und Ennius». Gigon, O. (éd.). Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrèce: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 167-91.

Gill, C. (2008). "The Self and Hellenistic-Roman Philosophical Therapy". Arweiler, A.H.; Möller, M. (Hrsgg). *Vom Selbst-Verständnis in Antike und Neuzeit = Notions of the self in Antiquity and beyond*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 359-80.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110214017/10.1515/9783110214017.359.xml>]

Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P. (eds) (2007). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/6C23023E32D1897A98CE079CC27B56AF>]

Giovacchini, J. (2013). « Scepticisme et thérapeutique : le cas de conscience du dogmatisme épicurien ». Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 45-62.

Girolamo, A. di (1953). «Male fisico ed ottimismo nel *De rerum natura*». *GIF*, 6, 51-8.

Giuffrè, V. (1972). «L'agire *sua causa, non civium*. Osservazioni sulla volgarizzazione dell'epicureismo a Roma». *AAP*, 21, 161-204.

Giussani, C. (1896). *Studi lucreziani*. Torino: E. Loescher (reprinted in 1980, New York-London: Garland).

Giussani, C. (a cura di) (1896-98). *De rerum natura libri sex*. Torino: E. Loescher.

Glidden, D. (1979). "Sensus and Sense Perception in the *De rerum natura*". *California Studies in Classical Antiquity*, 12, 155-81.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25010746?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25010746?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Godwin, J. (ed.) (1986). *Lucretius, De Rerum Natura IV*. Warminster: Aris & Phillips Ltd (corrected edition, 1992).

Godwin, J. (ed.) (1991). *De Rerum Natura VI*. Warminster: Aris & Phillips Ltd.

Goldschmidt, N. (2020). "Reading the 'Implied Author' in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading the De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 43-58.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/reading-the-implied-author-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/AE91F37B818E3F19110D4E34825A1D93>]

Gordon, C.A. (1962). *A Bibliography of Lucretius*. London: Hart-Davis.

Gordon, P. (2002). "Some Unseen Monster: Rereading Lucretius on Sex". Fredrick, D. (ed.). *The Roman Gaze. Vision, Power and the Body*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 86-109.

Gottschalk, H.B. (1975). "Lucretius I.983". *CPh*, 70, 42-4.

[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/366137?journalCode=cp>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296296?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296296?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Gottschalk, H.B. (1996). "Philosophical Innovations in Lucretius?". In Algra, K.A.; Van der Horst, P.W.; Runia, D.T. (eds). *Polyhistor: Studies in the History and Historiography of Ancient Philosophy Presented to Jaap Mansfeld on his Sixtieth Birthday*. Leiden: Brill, 231-40.

[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004320970/B9789004320970-s015.xml>]

Graca, C. (1989). *Da Epicuro a Lucrezio: il maestro ed il poeta nei proemi del De rerum natura*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.

Green, W.McA. (1942). "The dying world of Lucretius". *AJPh*, 51-60.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/291080?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/291080?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Grieco, G. (1982). « L'épicurisme lucretien ». *CEA*, XIV: *Mélanges offerts en hommage à Étienne Gareau*. Ottawa: Ed. de l'Université, 125-35.
- Griffin, M.; Barnes, J. (eds) (1989). *Philosophia Togata: Essays on Philosophy and Roman Society*, I. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Grilli, A. (1957). «Sul proemio del II libro di Lucrezio». *SIFC*, 29, 259-63.
- Grilli, A. (1995). «Lucrezio ed Epicuro: la storia dell'uomo». *PP*, 50, 16-45.
- Grimal, P. (1957). « Lucrèce et l'hymne à Venus ». *REL*, 35, 184-95.
- Grimal, P. (1978). « Le poème de Lucrèce en son temps ». Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrèce: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 233-70.
- Gruber, G.M. (2009). *Medium and Message in Lucretius' «Honey» Analogy*. PhD dissertation, University of Iowa.
- Guittard, C. (2010). « La prière philosophique, grecque et latine: une esquisse de définition et de classification ». Briquel, D.; Février, C.; Guittard, C. (éds). *Varietates Fortunae : religion et mythologie à Rome. Hommage à Jacqueline Champeaux*. Paris: Presses de l'Université de Paris-Sorbonne, 195-210.
- Gulley, N. (1990). "Lucretius on Free Will". *SO*, 55, 37-52.  
[\[https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397679008590832?journalCode=sosl20#:~:text=On%20the%20one%20hand%20Lucretius,lies%20in%20one's%20own%20will.\]](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397679008590832?journalCode=sosl20#:~:text=On%20the%20one%20hand%20Lucretius,lies%20in%20one's%20own%20will.)
- Hadas, M. (1929). "Lucretius V 883-885". *CW*, 22, 200.
- Hadzsits, G.D. (1963). *Lucretius and His Influence*. New York: Cooper Square Publishers.
- Halm, E.A. (1927). "Discussion and proposed interpretation of Lucretius II 16-23". *TAPhA*, XXXIII.
- Hankinson, R.J. (2013). "Lucretius, Epicurus, and the Logic of Multiple Explanation". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrok, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 69-97.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-4?rskey=8zkDcK&result=8&q=ethics\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-4?rskey=8zkDcK&result=8&q=ethics)
- Hardie, P. (2008). "Lucretian Multiple Explanations and their Reception in Latin Didactic and Epic". Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 69-96.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478269\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478269)
- Hardie, P. (2009). *Lucretian Receptions: History, the Sublime, Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-literature/lucretian-receptions-history-sublime-knowledge?format=HB&isbn=9780521760416\]](https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-literature/lucretian-receptions-history-sublime-knowledge?format=HB&isbn=9780521760416)
- Hardie, P. (2020). "Lucretius in Late Antique Poetry: Paulinus of Nola, Claudian, Prudentius". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 127-42.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-008.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-008.xml)
- Hardie, P.; Harrison, H.; Norbrook, D. (eds) (2016). *Lucretius and the Early Modern*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198713845.001.0001/acprof-9780198713845\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198713845.001.0001/acprof-9780198713845)

- Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds) (2020). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/568945\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/568945)
- Harrison, S. (2016). “Epicurean Subversion? Lucretius’s First Proem and Contemporary Roman Culture”. Hardie, P.; Harrison, H.; Norbrook, D. (eds). *Lucretius and the Early Modern*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 29-43.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198713845.001.0001/acprof-9780198713845-chapter-2\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198713845.001.0001/acprof-9780198713845-chapter-2)
- Harrison, S. (2020). “Victorian Lucretius: Tennyson and Arnold”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 309-22.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-017.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-017.xml)
- Hearnshaw, L.S. (1928). “Lucretius II 515 f.”. *CR*, 63.
- Heinze, R. (hrsg.) (1926). *De rerum natura*. Leipzig: Teubner.
- Hoevels, F.E. (1975). “Lukrez, ein kritischer oder dogmatischer Denker? Zur Interpretation von *De rerum natura*, I 1052-1082 und I 753-759”. *Hermes*, 103, 333-49.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4475916?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4475916?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Hohler, R.C. (1925-1926). “Lucretius’ Use of the Simile”. *CJ*, 21/4, 281-3.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3289010?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3289010?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Holford-Strevens, L. (2002). “*Horror Vacui* in Lucretian Biography.” *LICS*, 1/1, 1-23.  
[\[https://www.slideshare.net/liontoglou/horror-vacui-in-lucretian-biography\]](https://www.slideshare.net/liontoglou/horror-vacui-in-lucretian-biography)
- Housman, A.E. (1928). “The first editor of Lucretius”. *CR*, 122-23.
- Hutchinson, G.O. (2001). “The date of *De rerum natura*”. *CQ*, 51/1, 150-62.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556337?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556337?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Jackson, G. (2011). «Commento a Lucrezio V 1-54». *Vichiana*, 13/2, 155-93.
- Jackson, G. (2012). «Commento a Lucrezio V 1-54 (seconda parte)». *Vichiana*, 14/2, 146-202.
- Jackson, G. (2013). *Commento a Lucrezio, De rerum natura libro V: vv. 1-280*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore.
- Johnson, M.R. (2013). “Nature, Spontaneity, and Voluntary Action in Lucretius”. Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrok, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 99-130.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-5\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-5)  
[\[http://www.montejohnson.info/PDFs/Johnson2013a.pdf\]](http://www.montejohnson.info/PDFs/Johnson2013a.pdf)
- Johnson, M.R.; Wilson, C. (2007). “Lucretius and the History of Science”. Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 131-48.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-the-history-of-science/82EAD26D3CEB7E78ED6F831DDBE635C0\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-the-history-of-science/82EAD26D3CEB7E78ED6F831DDBE635C0)
- Johnson, W.R. (2000). *Lucretius and the Modern World*. London: Bristol Classical Press.
- Johnston, M. (1930). “Lucretius I, 897-900”. *CW*, 23, 151.

Johnston, M. (1930). "Lucretius I, 311-321". *CW*, 24, 63.

Jope, J. (1983). "Lucretius' Psychoanalytic Insight: His Notion of Unconscious Motivation". *Phoenix*, 37/3, 224-38.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1088950?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1088950?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Jope, J. (1989). "The Didactic Unity and Emotional Import of Book 6 of *De rerum natura*". *Phoenix*, 43, 16-34.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1088538?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1088538?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Jufresa Muñoz, M. (1978). «Los epicúreos romanos y la retórica». *Actas del V Congreso español de estudios clásicos, Madrid 20 al 25 de abril de 1976*. Madrid: Publicaciones de la Sociedad Española de Estudios Clásicos, 377-81.

[<http://www.estudiosclasicos.org/publicaciones/actas-de-congresos-de-la-seec/actas-del-v-congreso-espanol-de-estudios-clasicos/>]

Kayachev, B. (2018). "Disastrous Earthquakes in Lucretius and the «Sibylline oracles»". *CQ*, 68/1, 333-6.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/disastrous-earthquakes-in-lucretius-and-the-sibylline-oracles/91E1BE8D9561A3A1D5ABF31CCDE6A53F>]

Kennedy, D.F. (2000). "Making a Text of the Universe: Perspectives on Discursive Order in the *De rerum natura* of Lucretius". Sharrock, A.; Morales, H. (eds). *Intratextuality: Greek and Roman Textual Relations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 205-25 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 376-96).

Kennedy, D.F. (2002). "The Political Epistemology of Infinity". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds) (2013). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 51-67.

[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-3>]

Kennedy, D.F. (2002). *Rethinking Reality. Lucretius and the Textualization of Nature*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

[<https://babel.hathitrust.org/cgi/pt?id=mdp.39015055862273&view=1up&seq=1>]

Kennedy, D.F. (2015). "Lucretius". *Oxford Bibliographies in Classics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780195396577/obo-9780195396577-0291.xml?rskey=BnZG87&result=1&q=lucretius#firstMatch>]

Kennedy, D.F. (2020). "Plato and Lucretius on the Theoretical Subject". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 259-81.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/plato-and-lucretius-on-the-theoretical-subject/C177B2E40D68EF13FBD539FBE13A0364>]

Kenney, E.J. (1970). "Doctus Lucretius". *Mnemosyne*, 4/23, 366-92 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 300-27).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4429909?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4429909?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Kenney, E.J. (1974). "Vivida vis: polemic and pathos in Lucretius 1.62-101". Woodman, T.; West, D. (eds). *Quality and Pleasure in Latin Poetry*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 18-30.

Kenney, E.J. (ed.) (2014). *Lucretius, De rerum natura: Book III*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/lucretius-de-rerum-naturabook-iii/22A4C671E6D95347379A8DEA5B6A85FB>]

Kerényi, K. (1947). "Urmensch und Mysterium". *Eranos-Jahrbuch*, 15, 41-74.

Keyser, C.J. (1919). "The Role of the Concept of Infinity in the Work of Lucretius". *Classical Weekly*, 24/7, 321-7.

[[https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf\\_1/euclid.bams/1183424500](https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.bams/1183424500)]

Kissel, M. (2014). « L'image du maître spirituel : Lucrèce et son maître Épicure ». *Journée de l'Antiquité et des Temps Anciens 2014-2015*. Saint-Denis: La Réunion, 23-40.

[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01909305/>]

Kleve, K. (1978). "The Philosophical Polemics in Lucretius: a Study in the History of Epicurean Criticism". Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrèce* (Entretiens Hardt sur l'antiquité classique, XXIV). Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 39-75.

Kleve, K. (1979). "What Kind of Work Did Lucretius Write?". *SO*, 54, 81-5.

[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677908590728?journalCode=sosl20>]

Kleve, K. (1988). "Lucretius in Herculaneum". *CErc*, 18, 5-27.

Kleve, K. (2007). "Lucretius' Book II in *PHerc*. 395". Palme, B. (Hrsg.). *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses: Wien, 22-28 Juli 2001*. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 347-54.

Kleve, K. (2010). "*Lucretius Herculaneus*, *PHerc*. 395, and *Disegno Oxoniense* 1615". *CErc*, 40, 95-7.

Kleve, K. (2012). "The Puzzle Picture of Lucretius: A Thriller from Herculaneum". Buchwald, J.Z. (ed). *A Master of Science History: Essays in Honor of Charles Coulston Gillispie*. Dordrecht-Heidelberg-London-New York: Springer, 63-78.

[<https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/the-puzzle-picture-of-lucretius-a-thriller-from-herculaneum/17626468>]

Koenen, M.H. (1997). "Lucretius' Olfactory Theory in *De rerum natura* IV". Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds) (1997). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 163-77.

[<https://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00010723.pdf>]

Koenen, M.H. (2004). "*Loca loquuntur*. Lucretius' Explanation of the Echo and Other Acoustic Phenomena in *DRN* 4.563-614", *Mnemosyne*, 57, 698-724.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/57/6/article-p698\\_3.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/57/6/article-p698_3.xml)]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4433604?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4433604?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Konstan, D. (1988). "Lucretius on Poetry: III.1-13". *ColbyQ*, 24, 65-70.

[<https://digitalcommons.colby.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2705&context=cq>]

Konstan, D. (2013). "Lucretius and the Epicurean Attitude Towards Grief". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 193-210.

[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-8#:~:text=David%20Konstan&text=What%20Epicurean%20ethics%20rejects%20in,the%20fount%20of%20all%20evil.>]

Konstan, D. (2018). "Lucretius the Physicist and Modern Science". Lezra, J.; Blake, L. (eds). *Lucretius and Modernity. Epicurean Encounters Across Time and Disciplines*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 57-68.

[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7\\_4](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7_4)]

Konstan, D. (2018). «Lucrezio e la scienza moderna: alcuni punti di contatto». *Griseldaonline*, 17, 1-12.

[<https://griseldaonline.unibo.it/article/view/9396/9169>]

- Konstan, D. (2018). "On Grief and Pain". Harris, W.V. (ed.). *Pain and Pleasure in Classical Times*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 201-12.  
[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000020.xml>]
- Konstan, D. (2019). "Lucretius and the Conscience of an Epicurean". *Politeia*, 1/2, 68-80.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/politeia/content/politeia\\_2019\\_0001\\_0002\\_0067\\_0079](https://www.pdcnet.org/politeia/content/politeia_2019_0001_0002_0067_0079)]
- Krebs, C.B. (2013). "Caesar, Lucretius and the Dates of *De rerum natura* and the *Commentarii*". *CQ*, 63, 772-9.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/caesar-lucretius-and-the-dates-of-de-rerum-natura-and-the-commentarii/551ABBC5FE6EB7EAA76B89E8BEB7FA56>]
- Kyriakidis, S. (2006). "Lucretius' *DRN* I.926-50 and the Proem to Book". *CQ*, 56, 606-10.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/90231F5FF3DBB20BFDC00CF5DA42A80A/S0009838806000632a.pdf/div-class-title-lucretiusandapos-span-class-italic-drn-span-1-926-50-and-the-proem-to-book-4-div.pdf>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4493448?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4493448?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Lachmann, K. (ed.) (1850). *T. Lucretii Cari De Rerum Natura Libri VI*. Berlin. Index by F. Harder (1882). Berlin.  
[[https://openlibrary.org/books/OL6917960M/Caroli\\_Lachmanni\\_in\\_T.\\_Lucretii\\_Cari\\_De\\_rerum\\_natura\\_libros\\_commentarius.](https://openlibrary.org/books/OL6917960M/Caroli_Lachmanni_in_T._Lucretii_Cari_De_rerum_natura_libros_commentarius.)]
- Laird, A. (2020). "Lucretius in the Spanish American Enlightenment". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 289-308.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-016.xml>]
- Lambinus, D. (ed.) (1570<sup>3</sup>). *T. Lucretii Cari De Rerum Natura Libri VI*. Paris (I ed. 1563-1564).
- Lanaro, G. (1980). «Voltaire e Lucrezio». *Rivista Critica Di Storia Della Filosofia*, 35/4, 357-80.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44021999?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44021999?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Landolfi, L. (2007). «Fisiologia dell'eros e metaforesi in Lucrezio: a proposito di *De rer. nat.* 4, 1030-1057». *PP*, 62/353, 99-119.
- Landolfi, L. (2010). «Semi densi, semi sottili: infertilità e *harmoniae Veneris* (a proposito di *Lucretius* IV 1240-1277)». *Maia*, 62/2-3, 240-60.
- Landolfi, L. (2011). «*Consuetudo concinnat amorem*: unioni stabili e condiscendenza femminile in Lucrezio». *BStudLat*, 41/1, 31-42.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478258>]
- Lapini, W. (2003). Rev. to Sedley, D.N. (1998). *Lucretius and the transformation of Greek wisdom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. *Elenchos*, 24, 166-72.
- Lathière, A.-M. (1972). «Lucrece traducteur d'Épicure: *animus*, *anima* dans le livre 3 et 4 du *De rerum natura*». *Phoenix*, 26, 123-33.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1087712?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1087712?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Le Blay, F. (2010). «Les pores de la peau: une entité physiologique problématique». Langslow, D.R.; Maire, B. (eds). *Body, Disease and Treatment in a Changing World: Latin Texts and Contexts in Ancient and Medieval Medicine. Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference «Ancient Latin Medical Texts» Hulme Hall, University Of Manchester, 5th-8th September 2007*. Lausanne: Éd. BHMS, 25-36.

- Lehoux, D. (2012). *What Did the Romans Know? An Inquiry Into Science and Worldmaking*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.  
[\[https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/W/bo12391506.html\]](https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/W/bo12391506.html)
- Lehoux, D. (2013). “Seeing and Unseeing, Seen and Unseen”. Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 131-51.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-6\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-6)
- Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds) (2013). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408)
- Leone, G. (2017). «Lucrezio tra poesia, filosofia e scienza». *CErc*, 47, 295-304.
- Lévy, C. (2014). “Roman Philosophy under Construction: the Concept of *Spatium* from Lucretius to Cicero”. Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (Hrsgg). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy. Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 125-40.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.125.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.125.xml)
- Lezra, J.; Blake, L. (eds) (2016). *Lucretius and Modernity. Epicurean Encounters Across Time and Disciplines*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.  
[\[https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9781137581990\]](https://www.palgrave.com/gp/book/9781137581990)
- Long, A.A. (2006). “Lucretius On Nature and the Epicurean Self”. Long, A.A. *From Epicurus to Epictetus* Oxford: Oxford University Press, 202-20.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1990). «Retorica da Epicuro a Lucrezio». *CErc*, 20, 177-81.
- Lowenstein, O.E. (1965). “The Pre-Socratics, Lucretius, and the Modern Science”. Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1-17.
- Luciani, S. (2000). *L'éclair immobile dans le plaine, philosophie et poétique du temps chez Lucrèce*. Louvain-Paris: Peeters.
- Luciani, S. (2003). « Présence de Démocrite dans l’astronomie lucretienne ». *Euphrosyne*, 31, 83-98.
- Luciani, S. (2011). « L’art de la parole : pratique et pouvoir du discours. Lucrèce et la voix de la Nature ». *VL*, 183-184, 205-18.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/vita\\_0042-7306\\_2011\\_num\\_183\\_1\\_1722\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/vita_0042-7306_2011_num_183_1_1722)
- Maguinness, W.S. (1965). “The Language of Lucretius”. Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 69-93.
- Marchetta, A. (1989). *I versi teologici nel proemio del De rerum natura di Lucrezio: I 44-49*. L’Aquila-Roma: Japadre.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4432244?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4432244?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Markovič, D. (2008). *The Rhetoric of Explanation in Lucretius’ De rerum natura*. Leiden-Boston: Brill.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/title/15283\]](https://brill.com/view/title/15283)
- Marković, D. (2010-2011). “Lucretius 3.978-1023 and the Hellenistic Philosophical Polemics Against the Grammarians”. *ICS*, 35-6, 143-53.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/iliclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/iliclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata_info_tab_contents)

- Martini, R. (1954). «La religione di Lucrezio». *GIF*, 7, 142-58.
- Masi, F.G. (2018). «Passione e immaginazione in Lucrezio: il caso dell'inganno onirico». *Elenchos*, 39/2, 257-79.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p257.xml?language=en>]
- Masi, F.G. (2020). “Lucretius and the Mind-Body Relation: the Case of Dreams”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 43-60.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-004.xml>]
- Maso, S. (2016), «L'atomo di Lucrezio». *Lexicon Philosophicum*, 4, 173-82.  
[<http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/482>]
- Maso, S. (2016). «Percezione e bellezza: un problema della fisica epicurea». *Quaderni degli argonauti*, 31, 41-56.  
[<https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.17446/83766>]
- Massaro, A. (2010). «Ifigenia, la giovenca e le “guerre improbabili”»: aspetti del rapporto uomo-animale in Lucrezio». *Maia*, 62/2-3, 261-82.
- Massaro, A. (2013). *Gli animali in Lucrezio*. Genova: Compagnia dei Librai.
- Mastandrea, P. (2014-2015). «Il filosofo, il poeta e il filosofo-poeta nel primo libro di Lucrezio». *Incontri di Filologia Classica*, 14, 23-30.  
[<https://www.openstarts.units.it/handle/10077/13189>]
- Mazzoli, G. (2006). «Lucrezio: un'epica per Epicuro». Gasti, F. (a cura di). *Il latino dei filosofi a Roma antica: atti della V giornata ghisleriana di filologia classica (Pavia, 12-13 aprile 2005)*. Como: Ibis, 41-56.
- McConnell, S. (2012). “Lucretius and Civil Strife”. *Phoenix*, 66/1-2, 97-121.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7834/phoenix.66.1-2.0097#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7834/phoenix.66.1-2.0097#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- McConnell, S. (2018). “Lucretius on the Nature of Parental Love”. *Antichthon*, 52, 72-89.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antichthon/article/lucretius-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/DED7D4F459DA0EFD1E535C062AB5CCEE>]
- Mehl, D. (1999). “The Intricate Translation of the Epicurean Doctrine of ψυχή in Book 3 of Lucretius”. *Philologus*, 143/2, 272-87.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/143/2/article-p272.xml>]
- Merrill, W.A. (1926). “The Italian Manuscripts of Lucretius”. *University of California Publications in Classical Philology*, 9, 27-45.
- Merrill, W.A. (1930). “The Italian Manuscripts of Lucretius, Part II”. *BAGB*, Suppl. 2, 141-2.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_1162-5740\\_1930\\_num\\_2\\_1\\_6548\\_t1\\_0141\\_0000\\_3](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_1162-5740_1930_num_2_1_6548_t1_0141_0000_3)]
- Michels, A.K. (1944). “Lucretius and the Sixth Book of the *Aeneid*”. *AJPh*, 65/2, 135-48.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/290995.pdf>]
- Mihaescu, H.; Papu, E. (éds) (1950). *Épicure et Lucrèce, Textes choisis*. Bucarest: Éd. de Stat.
- Milanese, G. (a cura di) (2007). *Lucrezio: De rerum natura*. Introduzione di E. Narducci. Milano: Mondadori.
- Minadeo, R. (1968). “Three Textual Problems in Lucretius”. *CJ*, 63, 241-6.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3295846?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3295846?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Minadeo, R. (1969). *The Lyre of Science: Form and Meaning in Lucretius' De Rerum Natura*. Detroit: Wayne State University Press.
- Minyard, J. D. (1985). *Lucretius and the Late Republic: An Essay in Roman Intellectual History*. Leiden: Brill.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/3129>]
- Mitsis, P. (1993). "Committing Philosophy on the Reader: Didactic Coercion and Reader Autonomy in *De Rerum Natura*". Schiesaro, A.; Mitsis, P.; Clay, J.S. (eds). *Mega nepios: il destinatario nell'epos didascalico*. Pisa: Giardini.
- Monet, A. (éd.) (2003). *Le jardin romain. Épicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Université Charles-de-Gaulle – Lille III.
- Montag, W. (2016). "From *clinamen* to *conatus*: Deleuze, Lucretius, Spinoza". Lezra, J.; Blake, L. (eds). *Lucretius and Modernity. Epicurean Encounters Across Time and Disciplines*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 163-72.  
[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7\\_10](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7_10)]
- Montarese, F. (2012). *Lucretius and his sources: A study of Lucretius, De rerum natura I 635-920*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/19313>]
- Morel, W. (1930). "Zu Lukrez III 41 ss.". *Philologus*, 39, 227-8.
- Morel, P.-M. (2018). « La peur, passion radicale : sur l'économie des passions chez Lucrèce ». *Elenchos*, 39/2, 281-99.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p281.xml>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2019). « Sexe, amour et politique chez Lucrèce ». *PhilosAnt*, 19, 57-84.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3093>]
- Morenval, A. (2017). *Le Tout et l'Infini dans le De rerum natura de Lucrèce*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.  
[<https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01404473/document>]
- Morgan, L.; Taylor, B. (2017). "Memmius the Epicurean". *CQ*, 67, 528-41.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/memmius-the-epicurean/1FC9EBF49C95B569EAAE6BB054058E8B>]
- Morrison, A.D. (2013). "Nil igitur mors est ad nos? Iphianassa, the Athenian Plague, and Epicurean Views of Death". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 211-32.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-9>]
- Müller, G. (1959). *Die Darstellung der Kinetik bei Lukrez*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- Müller, G. (1978). "Die Finalia der sechs Bücher des Lucrez". Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrèce: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 197-221; Eng. transl. (2007). "The Conclusions of the Six Books of Lucretius". Gale, M.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 234-54.
- Müller, G.; Mariani-Zini, F. (Hrsgg) (2018). *Philosophie in Rom – römische Philosophie ? Kultur-, literatur- und philosophiegeschichtliche Perspektiven*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/522704>]

- Munro, H.A.J. (ed.) (1886 ). *T. Lucreti Cari de rerum natura libri sex*. Cambridge: Deighton Bell & Co. (I ed. 1864).
- Münzer, F. (1914). “Ein Romischer Epikureer”. *RhM*, 69, 625-9.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41245210?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41245210?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Murley, C. (1928). “Cicero’s attitude towards Lucretius”. *CPh*, 23/3, 289-91.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/263722?seq=1>]
- Mussehl, J. (1912). *De Lucretiani Libri Primi Condicione ac Retractatione*. PhD dissertation, Universität Greifswald.
- Nervi, M. (2007). «Lucrezio, 3, 487-505: il morbo sacro e l’anima materiale». *MD*, 59, 173-83.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2209910>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236369?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236369?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Nethercut, J.S. (2014). “Ennius and the Revaluation of Traditional Historiography in Lucretius’ *De Rerum Natura*”. Ker, J.; Pieper, C. (eds). *Valuing the Past in the Greco-Roman World*. Leiden-Boston: Brill.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004274952/B9789004274952\\_018.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004274952/B9789004274952_018.xml)]
- Nethercut, J.S. (2017). “Empedocles’ «roots» in Lucretius’ *De rerum natura*”. *AJPh*, 138/1, 85-105.
- Nethercut, J.S. (2018). “The Alexandrian Footnote in Lucretius’ *De Rerum Natura*”. *Mnemosyne*, 71, 75-99.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/71/1/article-p75\\_75.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/71/1/article-p75_75.xml?language=en)]
- Nethercut, J.S. (2019). “Provisional Argumentation and Lucretius’ Honeyed Cup”. *CQ*, 68/2, 523-33.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/provisional-argumentation-and-lucretius-honeyed-cup/C444E3C3896B59A740C33FB95B1560CB>]
- Neumann, H. (1968). “The Unpopularity of Epicurean Materialism. An Interpretation of Lucretius”. *ModSch*, 45/4, 299-311.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/schoolman/content/schoolman\\_1968\\_0045\\_0004\\_0299\\_0311](https://www.pdcnet.org/schoolman/content/schoolman_1968_0045_0004_0299_0311)]
- Nichols, J. (1976). *Epicurean Political Philosophy: The De Rerum Natura of Lucretius*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Nicoli, E. (2020). “Atoms, Elements, Seeds A Renaissance Interpreter of Lucretius’ Atomism”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 235-50.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-013.xml>]
- Noller, E.M. (2012). “World Under Construction: Space and Difference in Lucretius, *De rerum natura* I”. *Hermathena*, 193, 11-31.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24860786?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24860786?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Noller, E.M. (2015). “*Re et sonitu distare*. Überlegungen zu Ordnung und Bedeutung in Lukrez, *De Rerum Natura* I, 814-829”. Haß, C.D.; Noller, E.M. (Hrsgg). *Was bedeutet Ordnung—was ordnet Bedeutung?*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 137-72.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110419665/10.1515/9783110419665-008.xml>]
- Noller, E.M. (2019). *Die Ordnung der Welt: Darstellungsformen von Dynamik, Statik und Emergenz in Lukrez’ De Rerum Natura*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag Winter.  
[[https://www.winter-verlag.de/en/detail/978-3-8253-6842-5/Noller\\_Die\\_Ordnung\\_der\\_Welt/](https://www.winter-verlag.de/en/detail/978-3-8253-6842-5/Noller_Die_Ordnung_der_Welt/)]
- Notaro, E. (2006). «Sui vv. 357-378 del VI libro di Lucrezio». *Paideia*, 61, 345-356.

[[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444719?rows=20&author\\_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&sort=pub\\_date\\_sort+desc&page=0&publisher\\_code=F16863&type=Author&itemnumber=2&rows\\_original=20&uri\\_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444719?rows=20&author_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&sort=pub_date_sort+desc&page=0&publisher_code=F16863&type=Author&itemnumber=2&rows_original=20&uri_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html)]

Notaro, E. (2007). «Il fons Hammonis: de rerum natura 6, 848-878». *Vichiana*, 9/1, 27-40.

[[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203198?rows=20&author\\_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&sort=pub\\_date\\_sort+desc&page=0&publisher\\_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows\\_original=20&uri\\_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203198?rows=20&author_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&sort=pub_date_sort+desc&page=0&publisher_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows_original=20&uri_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html)]

Notaro, E. (2007). «La presenza di Teofrasto ed Epicuro in alcuni passi della meteorologia lucreziana». *Vichiana*, 9/2, 197-212.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203213>]

Novak, M.d.G. (1998). «La Carta a Pítocles y el *De rerum natura*». *Auster*, 3, 75-81.

[<https://search.proquest.com/openview/14fd1654ec8eedcb9459883986f28326/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2045953>]

Nugent, S.G. (1994). “Mater matters: the female in Lucretius’ *De rerum natura*”. *ColbyQ*, 30, 179-205.

[<https://digitalcommons.colby.edu/cq/vol30/iss3/5/>]

Nussbaum, M. (1994). “Mortal Immortals: Lucretius on Death and the Voice of Nature”. Nussbaum, M. *The Therapy of Desire: Theory and Practice in Hellenistic Ethics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 192-238.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2107963.pdf>]

Offermann, H. (1972). “Lukrez V 1028-1090”. *RhM*, 115, 150-6.

[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/115/Offermann.pdf>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41244680?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41244680?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

O’Keefe, T. (1997). “The Ontological Status of Sensible Qualities for Democritus and Epicurus”. *AncPhil*, 17, 119-34.

[[https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134&pdfname=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134.pdf&file\\_type=pdf](https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134&pdfname=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134.pdf&file_type=pdf)]

O’Keefe, T. (2003). “Lucretius on the Cycle of Life and the Fear of Death”. *Apeiron*, 36, 43-65.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/36/1/article-p43.xml>]

O’Keefe, T. (2020). “Lucretius and the Philosophical Use of Literary Persuasion”. O’Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 177-94.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-the-philosophical-use-of-literary-persuasion/8C53BE8F98698DE11A1114B810CC9CB6>]

O’Rourke, D. (ed.) (2020). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-literature/approaches-lucretius-traditions-and-innovations-reading-ide-rerum-naturai?format=HB&isbn=9781108421966>]

O’Rourke, D. (2020). “Infinity, Enclosure and False Closure in Lucretius’ *De Rerum Natura*”. O’Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading the De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 103-23.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/infinity-enclosure-and-false-closure-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/328C5E010AED37B89CAF59B090C75A73>]

Orth, E. (ed.) (1961). *Lukrez: Naturphilosophie (De Rerum Natura)*. Salamanca: Calatrava.

Otón Sobrino, E. (1999). «Epicuro en Lucrecio». Aldama Roy, A.M. (eds). *La filología latina hoy: actualización y perspectivas*. Madrid: Sociedad de Estudios Latinos, 213-6.

Paladini, M. (2011). *Lucrezio e l'Epicureismo tra Riforma e Controriforma*. Napoli: Liguori.  
[<http://www.liguori.it/schedanew.asp?isbn=5362>]

Palmer, A. (2020). “The Persecution of Renaissance Lucretius Readers Revisited”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 167-98.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-010.xml>]

Paolucci, P. (2007). «Il mondo animale nell'opera di Lucrezio: *poikilia* del genere didascalico (alcuni *mirabilia* del VI libro)». Andrisano, A.M. (a cura di). *Animali, animali fantastici, ibridi, mostri*. Ferrara: Eprints Archive, 111-8.  
[<http://annali.unife.it/lettere/article/view/273>]

Paratore, E. (1960). «L'epicureismo e la sua diffusione nel mondo latino». Roma: Ed. dell'Ateneo.

Paratore, E. (1973). «La problematica sull'epicureismo a Roma». *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt*, 1/4, 116-204.  
[<https://www.bu.edu/ict/anrw/pub/I/4/paratore.html>]

Parker, D.S. (1952). *Epicurean Imagery in Lucretius' De rerum natura*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Pascal, C. (1903). *Studii critici sul poema di Lucrezio*. Roma-Milano: Albrighi, Segati & C.

Pascal, C. (1904). *T. Lucreti Cari. De rerum natura. Liber Primus*. Roma-Milano: Società editrice Dante Alighieri di Albrighi, Segati e C.

Pascal, C. (ed.) (1928). *Il primo libro del De rerum natura*. Torino: Paravia.

Pasoli, E. (1970). «Ideologia nella poesia. Lo stile di Lucrezio». *L&S*, 5, 367-85.

Passannante, G. (2011). *The Lucretian Renaissance: Philology and the Afterlife of Tradition*. Chicago-London: University of Chicago Press.  
[<https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/L/bo12046422.html>]

Paulson, J. (1961). *Index Lucretianus*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.

Penwill, J. (1994). “Image, Ideology and Action in Cicero and Lucretius”. *Ramus*, 23/1-2, 68-91.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ramus/article/image-ideology-and-action-in-cicero-and-lucretius/F89C79FCCA59482BF60B1039B29DA0C5>]

Perelli, L. (1969). *Lucrezio poeta dell'angoscia*. Firenze: La nuova Italia.

Piazzini, L. (2005). *Lucrezio e i Presocratici. Un commento a De rerum natura I, 635-920*. Pisa: Edizioni della Normale.  
[<https://edizioni.sns.it/it/lucrezio-e-i-presocratici-un-commento-a-de-rerum-natura-1-635-920.html>]

Piazzini, L. (2008). «Atomismo e polemica filosofica: Lucrezio e i Presocratici». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 11-25.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478265>]

Piazzini, L. (2009). *Lucrezio. Il De rerum natura e la cultura occidentale*. Napoli: Liguori.

Piazzini, L. (ed.) (2011). *Lucrezio: le leggi dell'universo. La natura, Libro I*. Venezia: Marsilio.

Piergiacomi, E. (2019). «Gli Epicurei romani sulla medicina: Senocle, Alessandro, Zopiro e Lucrezio». *Ciceroniana On Line*, 3/1, 191-228.

Pizzani, U. (1959). *Il problema del testo e della composizione del De rerum natura di Lucrezio*. Roma: Edizioni dell'Ateneo.

Pizzani, U. (1983). «Valore documentario della testimonianza lucreziana su Eraclito». Rossetti, L. (a cura di). *Atti Del Symposium Heracliteum 1981*. Roma: Edizioni dell'Ateneo, vol. I, 459-75.

Pizzani, U. (1984). «Il problema della presenza lucreziana in Cicerone». *Ciceroniana*, 5, *Atti del V Colloquium Tullianum (Roma-Arpino, 2-4 ottobre 1982)*, 173-88.  
[<https://www.ojs.unito.it/index.php/COL/article/view/1194>]

Pizzani, U. (1997). «Lucrezio, il panteismo, la zoogonia e... Pietro Gassendi». Sconocchia, S.; Toneatto, L.; Crismani, D.; Faraguna, M.; Pin, I. (a cura di). *Lingue tecniche del greco e del latino. 2, Atti del II seminario internazionale sulla letteratura scientifica e tecnica greca e latina: Trieste, 4-5 ottobre 1993*. Bologna: Pàtron, 105-26.

Pöhlmann, E. (1991). “Lukrez als Quelle griechischer Kulturentstehungslehre: zu Lukrez 5, 1448-1457”. *WJA*, 17, 217-28 (reprinted in 2008. *Gegenwärtige Vergangenheit*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 50-63).  
[<https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/wja/article/view/27631>]  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110210507/10.1515/9783110210507.50.xml>]

Poignault, R. (éd.) (1999). *Présence de Lucrèce, Actes du Colloque de Tours, 3-5 décembre 1998*. Tours: Centre de Recherche A. Piganiol.

Pope, M. (2018). “Seminal Verse: Atomic Orality and Aurality in *De rerum natura*”. *Eugesta*, 8, 108-30.  
[<https://eugesta-revue.univ-lille.fr/en/issues/issue-8-2018/>]

Poplawski, M.S. (1928). « Lucrèce et les Romains ». *Eos*, XXXI, 237-62.

Porter, J.I. (2003). “Lucretius and the Poetics of Void”. Monet, A. (éd.). *Le jardin romain: Épicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack*. Villeneuve d'Ascq: Presses de l'Université Charles-de-Gaulle-Lille 3, 167-84.  
[<http://docplayer.net/64486779-Lucretius-and-the-poetics-of-void.html>]

Porter, J.I. (2007). “Lucretius and the Sublime”. Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-the-sublime/F0141594696E7DD27DBDC0C90F3B03F2>]

Postgate, J.-P. (1926). “New light upon Lucretius”. London: Longmans Green.  
[<https://www.manchesterhive.com/view/journals/bjrl/10/1/article-p134.xml>]

Prosperi, V. (2007). “Lucretius in the Italian Renaissance”. Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 214-26.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-in-the-italian-renaissance/D327ECCD011C0703EADFA4A28D4D6F97>]

Prosperi, V. (2020). “Lost in Translation. The Sixteenth Century Vernacular Lucretius”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 145-66.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-009.xml>]

Reeve, M.D. (2005). “The Italian Tradition of Lucretius Revisited”. *Aevum*, 79, 115-64.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/20861680.pdf>]

Reeve, M.D. (2007). "Lucretius in the Middle Ages and Early Renaissance: Transmission and Scholarship". Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 205-13.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-in-the-middle-ages-and-early-renaissance-transmission-and-scholarship/CCCEFBFE8EB46E31E156C4D45D87F703>]

Regenbogen, O. (1932). *Lukrez: seine Gestalt in seinem Gedicht*. Leipzig-Berlin: Teubner.

Reid, J.S. (1911). "Lucretiana: Notes on Books I and II of the *De Rerum Natura*". *HSPH*, 22, 1-53.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310510.pdf>]

Reinhardt, T. (2002). "The Speech of Nature in Lucretius' *De rerum natura* 3.931-71". *CQ*, 52/1, 291-304.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/speech-of-nature-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura-3931711/4C7C4AEB08135D7572A687077571144A>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556458?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556458?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Reinhardt, T. (2008). "Epicurus and Lucretius on the Origins of Language". *CQ*, 58/1, 127-40.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/epicurus-and-lucretius-on-the-origins-of-language/FCBC49D8FCFA21D1395FA2ED439DF95E>]

Rexine, J.E. (1961). "Theologies of Epicurus and Lucretius". *CB*, 37, 51-4.

[<https://search.proquest.com/openview/8f7eb2538f04e782cc01e117e3d16c2e/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1817913>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564128?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564128?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Rider, Z. (2019). "The failure of sacrifice in the *De rerum natura*". *TAPA*, 149/1, 1-26.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/723701>]

Rodighiero, A. (2009). «Fortuna di una citazione: il lucreziano *Suave, mari magno*». *MD*, 62, 59-75.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236414?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236414?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2209952>]

Romano, E. (2008). «Tempo della storia, tempo della scienza : innovazione e progresso in Lucrezio».

Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 51-67.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478268>]

Rosa, F. (2007). «Lucrezio e il senso della malattia nel *De rerum natura*». *MedSec*, 19/3, 763-81.

Rosenmeyer, T.G. (1996). "Sensation and taste in Lucretius". *SCI*, 15, 135-51.

[<https://scriptaclassica.org/index.php/sci/article/download/4329/3823>]

Rosenmeyer, T.G. (1999). "One Strike Will Do: A Lucretian Puzzle". *SCI*, 18, 25-44.

[<https://scriptaclassica.org/index.php/sci/article/view/4061>]

Rostagni, A. (1931). «Virgilio e Lucrezio». *RFIC*, 289-315.

Rouse, W.H.D. (ed.) (1924). *Lucretius, De Rerum Natura*. London: William Heinemann.

Rouse, W.H.D.; Smith, M. F. (eds) (1992). *Lucretius: De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge-London: Harvard University Press (I ed. 1975).

Rumpf, L. (2003). *Natureerkentnis und Naturerfahrung: zur Reflexion epikureischer Theorie bei Lukrez*. München: Beck.

- Rumpf, L. (2010). "Lukrez". Sorgner, S.L.; Schramm, M. (Hrsg.). *Musik in der antiken Philosophie: eine Einführung*. Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann, 217-31.
- Runia, D.T. (1997). "Lucretius and Doxography". Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 93-103.
- Salem, J. (1990). *Lucrece et l'éthique : La mort n'est rien pour nous*. Paris: Vrin.  
[<http://www.vrin.fr/book.php?code=9782711610310>]
- Salemme, C. (1980). *Strutture semiologiche nel De rerum natura di Lucrezio*. Napoli: Società Editrice Napoletana.
- Salemme, C. (2009). «Animali in guerra (Lucr. 5, 1308-1349)». *InvLuc*, 31, 157-75.
- Salemme, C. (2009). *Le possibilità del reale: Lucrezio, De rerum natura 6, 96-534*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- Salemme, C. (2010). *Lucrezio e la formazione del mondo: De rerum natura 5, 416-508*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- Salemme, C. (2011). *Infinito lucreziano, De rerum natura 1.951-1117*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- Salemme, C. (2014). «Lucrezio 4, 78-83: testo e interpretazione». De Vivo, A.; Perrelli, R. (a cura di). *Il miglior fabbro: studi offerti a Giovanni Polara*. Amsterdam: Hakkert: 17-28.
- Salemme, C. (2017). «Lucrezio e la mortalità del mondo (5, 235-415): tra rappresentazione e ridefinizione». *BStudLat*, 47/1, 29-43.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4135392>]
- Salemme, C. (2018). «Un enigma lucreziano (nota a VI 550)». *RPL*, 41 (n.s. 21), 164-71.
- Sallmann, K. (1993-1994). «Modernità del pensiero scientifico di Lucrezio». *Helikon*, 33-34, 41-72.
- Sanders, K.R. (2007). "Lucretius on Irascibility and Anger: Lucr. 3.294-295". *SO*, 82, 52-54.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397670802028010>]
- Sanders, K.R. (2008). "Mens and emotion: De rerum natura 3.136-46". *CQ*, 58/1, 362-6.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/mens-and-emotion-de-rerum-natura-313646/C02487F61637FED837CA63E663C8C6E0>]
- Santini, C. (2009). «Elogio di Epicuro e trasmissione del sapere: semiologia di Lucr. 3, 3, *te sequor*». *Euphrosyne*, 37, 301-8.  
[<https://go.gale.com/ps/anonymous?id=GALE%7CA382151634&sid=googleScholar&v=2.1&it=r&linkacces=abs&issn=08700133&p=IFME&sw=w>]
- Santini, C. (2010). «Democrito, Lucrezio e la poesia delle cose impercettibili: (*De r.n.* 3, 370-395)». Giombini, S.; Marcacci, F. (a cura di). *Il quinto secolo: studi di filosofia antica in onore di Livio Rossetti*. Passignano sul Trasimeno: Aguaplano, 113-21.
- Sarnelli, M. (2020). "Lucretius in (moderate) Baroque: Meanings and Functions of the Lucretian *Auctoritas* in Giovanni Delfino's Philosophical and Scientific Dialogues in Prose". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 251-72.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-014.xml>]
- Saylor, C.J. (1972). "Man, Animal and the Bestial in Lucretius". *CJ*, 67, 310-4.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296295?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3296295?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Scarcia, R. *et al.* (1964). *Ricerche di biografia lucreziana*. Roma: Edizioni dell'Ateneo.
- Schiesaro, A. (1984). «“Nonne vides” in Lucrezio». *MD*, 13, 143-58.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40235829.pdf>]
- Schiesaro, A. (1987). «Lucrezio, Cicerone, l'Oratoria». *MD*, 19, 29-61.
- Schiesaro, A. (1994). “The Palingenesis of *De rerum natura*”. *PCPhS*, 40, 81-107.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44712047?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44712047?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Schiesaro, A. (1996). «La “palingenesi” del *De Rerum Natura*». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 795-804.
- Schiesaro, A. (2007). “Lucretius and Roman Politics and History”. Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-roman-politics-and-history/E8A2A2D7284DD5ECA5CFC14D098B21A4>]
- Schiesaro, A. (2007). “Didaxis, Rhetoric, and the Law in Lucretius”. Heyworth, S. (ed.). *Classical Constructions: Papers in Memory of Don Fowler, Classicist and Epicurean*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 63-90.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199218035.001.0001/acprof-9780199218035-chapter-4>]
- Schiesaro, A. (2014). “*Materiam Superabat Opus*: Lucretius Metamorphosed”. *JRS*, 104, 73-104.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-roman-studies/article/materiam-superabat-opus-lucretius-metamorphosed/5D4B72CCDC534AA42E151CFE94D7D66B>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43286867?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43286867?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Schiesaro, A.; Raccanelli, R.; Santini, C.; Paolini, G.; Mussat Sartor, P. (a cura di) (2003). *Lucrezio: De rerum natura*. Torino: Einaudi.  
[<https://www.einaudi.it/catalogo-libri/senza-materia/de-rerum-natura-lucrezio-9788806166922/>]
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1970). *Horror ac divina voluptas. Études sur la poétique et la poésie de Lucrèce*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1976). « La pensée d'Épicure et Lucrèce sur le sommeil (*DRN* IV, 907-961, Scolie ad Épicure, *Ep. ad Her.* 66): un chapitre des *Parva Naturalia* épicuriens ». Bollack, J.; Laks, A. (éds) (1976). *Études sur l'Épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l'Université de Lille III, 229-59.
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1983). « Sur quelques aspects de la critique des mythes chez Lucrèce ». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 353-71 (reprinted in 1999. *Lucrèce et les sciences de la vie*. Leiden: Brill, 21-39).  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/2390>]
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1996). “Lucretius and the Origin and Development of Political Life (*De rerum natura* 5.1105-60)”. Algra, K.; van der Horst, P.W.; Runia, D.T. (eds). *Polyhistor: Studies in the History and Historiography of Ancient Philosophy. Presented to Jaap Mansfeld on His Sixtieth Birthday*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 220-30.  
[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004320970/B9789004320970-s014.xml>]
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1999). *Lucrèce et les sciences de la vie*. Leiden: Brill.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/2390>]

- Schrijvers, P.H. (2007). "Propagandistic Strategies in Lucretius' *De rerum natura*". Harder, M.A.; MacDonald, A.A.; Reinink, G.J. (eds). *Calliope's Classroom: Studies in Didactic Poetry From Antiquity to the Renaissance*. Leuven-Dudley: Peeters, 49-69.
- Schrijvers, P.H. (2007). "Seeing the Invisible: A Study of Lucretius' Use of Analogy in *De rerum natura*". Gale, M.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 255-88.
- Schrijvers, P.H. (ed.) (2008). *De natuur van de dingen = De rerum natura*. Groningen: Historische uitgeverij.
- Sedley, D.N. (1997). "How Lucretius Composed the *De rerum natura*". Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds). *Lucretius and his Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 1-19.
- Sedley, D.N. (1998). *Lucretius and the transformation of Greek wisdom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-literature/lucretius-and-transformation-greek-wisdom?format=AR&isbn=9780511038365\]](https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/classical-literature/lucretius-and-transformation-greek-wisdom?format=AR&isbn=9780511038365)
- Sedley, D.N. (2003). "Lucretius and the New Empedocles". *LICS*, 2/4, 1-12.
- Sedley, D.N. (2007). "The Empedoclean Opening". Gale, M.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 48-87.
- Sedley, D.N. (2009). "Epicureanism in the Roman Republic". Warren, J. (ed.), *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 29-45.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicureanism-in-the-roman-republic/227C287C35CEAA34B027BA178F68C1FE\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicureanism-in-the-roman-republic/227C287C35CEAA34B027BA178F68C1FE)
- Sedley, D.N. (2010). "The Status of Physics in Lucretius, Philodemus and Cicero". Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculanensia: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 63-8.
- Sedley, D.N. (2020). "Lucretian Pleasures". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 11-22.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-002.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-002.xml)
- Segal, Ch. (1989). "Poetic Immortality and the Fear of Death: The Second Proem of the *De Rerum Natura*". *HSPH*, 92, 193-212.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/311358?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/311358?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Segal, Ch. (1990). *Lucretius on Death and Anxiety*. Princeton: Princeton University Press; Ital. transl. (1998). *Lucrezio: angoscia e morte nel De rerum natura*. Bologna: Miulino.  
[\[https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691631479/lucretius-on-death-and-anxiety\]](https://press.princeton.edu/books/hardcover/9780691631479/lucretius-on-death-and-anxiety)
- Serres, M. (2000). *The Birth of Physics in the Text of Lucretius*. Trans. J. Hawkes. Manchester: Clinamen Press Ltd.
- Sharrock, A.R. (2008). "The Philosopher and the Mother Cow: Towards a Gendered Reading of Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura*". Zajko, V.; Leonard, M. (eds). *Laughing with Medusa: Classical Myth and Psychoanalysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 254-74.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199237944.001.0001/acprof-9780199237944-chapter-11\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199237944.001.0001/acprof-9780199237944-chapter-11)
- Shearin, W.H. (2007). *Atomic Politics: Speech Acts in Lucretius' De rerum natura*. PhD dissertation, University Of California.

- Shearin, W.H. (2015). *The Language of Atoms: Performativity and Politics in Lucretius' De rerum natura*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190202422.001.0001/acprof-9780190202422\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190202422.001.0001/acprof-9780190202422)
- Shearin, W.H. (2020). "Saussure's cahiers and Lucretius' *elementa*: A Reconsideration of the Letters–Atoms Analogy". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 104-54.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/saussures-cahiers-and-lucretius-elementa-a-reconsideration-of-the-lettersatoms-analogy/A61D048148E97830A83C1B103E159A4B\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/saussures-cahiers-and-lucretius-elementa-a-reconsideration-of-the-lettersatoms-analogy/A61D048148E97830A83C1B103E159A4B)
- Schmidt, E.A. (2007). *Clinamen: eine Studie zum dynamischen Atomismus der Antike*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Schmidt, J. (1990). *Lukrez, der Kepos und die Stoiker*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.  
[\[https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/36953\]](https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/36953)
- Shorey, P. (1901). "Plato, Lucretius, and Epicurus". *HSPH*, 12, 201-10.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310430.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310430.pdf)
- Schulz, P.-R. (1958). "Das Verstandnis des Raumes bei Lukrez". *Tijdschrift voor filosofie*, 20, 17-56.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40880370?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40880370?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Smith, M.F. (1964). "Lucretius, *de rerum natura*, v. 1440-7". *Hermathena*, 98, 45-52.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23040205?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23040205?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Snyder, J.N. (1978). "The Significant Name in Lucretius". *CW*, 72, 227-30 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 371-5).
- Solaro, G. (1993). *Pomponio Leto, Lucrezio*. Palermo: Sellerio Editore Palermo.
- Solaro, G. (2000). *Lucrezio: Biografie umanistiche*. Bari: Dedalo.
- Solaro, G. (2020). "The Story of Lucretius". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 325-38.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-018.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-018.xml)
- Spencer, T.J.B. (1965). "Lucretius and the Scientific Poem in English". Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 131-64.
- Spoerri, W. (1997). « *Crescebant uteri terram radicibus apti* : à propos de la zoogonie de Lucrèce (*De rerum natura* 5, 791 sq.) ». Knoepfler, D.; Boillat, M.; Gendre Loutsch, M.; Jacobi, C.; Châtelain, T.; Tripet, C. (éds). *Nomen Latinum : mélanges de langue, de littérature et de civilisation latines offerts au professeur André Schneider à l'occasion de son départ à la retraite*. Genève: Droz, 55-82.
- Stampini, E. (1896). *Il suicidio di Lucrezio*. Messina: Tipografia D'Amico.
- Stawecka, K. (1994). "Lukrecjuszowy Hymn do Wenery". *RoczHum*, 42/3, 17-32.  
[\[https://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:cBFMbVeM-pcJ:https://ojs.tnkul.pl/index.php/rh/article/download/3800/3832+&cd=1&hl=it&ct=clnk&gl=uk\]](https://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:cBFMbVeM-pcJ:https://ojs.tnkul.pl/index.php/rh/article/download/3800/3832+&cd=1&hl=it&ct=clnk&gl=uk)
- Stearns, J.B. (1936). "Epicurus and Lucretius on Love". *CJ*, 31/6, 343-51.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3290780.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3290780.pdf)
- Stevens, B. (2008). "Symbolic Language and Indexical Cries: A Semiotic Reading of Lucretius 5.1028-90". *AJPh*, 129/4, 529-57.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/27566729.pdf>]

Stoneman, R. (2020). "Can You Believe Your Eyes? Scepticism and the Evidence of the Senses in Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* 4. 237-521". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 61-82.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-005.xml>]

Strodach, G.K. (ed.) (1963). *The Philosophy of Epicurus: Letters, Doctrines, and Parallel Passages from Lucretius*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.

Summers, K. (1995). "Lucretius and the Epicurean Tradition of Piety". *CPh*, 90, 32-57.

[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/367443?journalCode=cp>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/270690?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/270690?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Tatum, W.J. (1984). "The Presocratics in Book 1 of Lucretius' *De rerum natura*". *TAPhA*, 114, 177-89 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 132-45).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/284146?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/284146?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Tatum, W.J. (1998). "Lucretius, *De rerum natura*, 1, 199-204". *AC*, 67, 225-30.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41659710?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41659710?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Taub, L.C. (2012). "Physiological Analogies and Metaphors in Explanations of the Earth and the Cosmos". Horstmannshoff, M.; King, H.; Zittel, C. (eds). *Blood, Sweat and Tears: The Changing Concepts of Physiology from Antiquity into Early Modern Europe*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 41-63.

[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204\\_004.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204_004.xml)]

Taylor, B. (2016). "Rationalism and the Theatre in Lucretius". *CQ*, 66/1, 140-54.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/rationalism-and-the-theatre-in-lucretius/79ACD46917BD3463375E46C3C02A328A>]

Taylor, B. (2020). "Common Ground in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading the De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 59-79.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/common-ground-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/377AE2715B83B9BB04730D5F01CBFA16>]

Taylor, B. (2020). *Lucretius and the Language of Nature*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/lucretius-and-the-language-of-nature-9780198754909?lang=en&cc=us>]

Taylor, C.C.W. (2007). "Democritus and Lucretius on Death and Dying". Brancacci, A.; Morel, P.-M. (eds). *Democritus: Science, the Arts, and the Care of the Soul : Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Democritus, Paris, 18-20 September 2003*. Leiden: Brill, 77-86.

[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352\\_005.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352_005.xml)]

Tescari, O. (1924). «Nota Epicurea; *Isolachia atomica*». *RF*, 52, 175-91.

Tescari, O. (1944-1945). «Nota Epicurea (il desiderio indefinito)». *RFIC*, 72-73, 112-115.

Testard, M. (1976). « Les idées religieuses de Lucrèce ». *BAGB*, 3, 249-72.

[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_0004-5527\\_1976\\_num\\_1\\_3\\_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_0004-5527_1976_num_1_3_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.)]

[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_0004-5527\\_1976\\_num\\_1\\_3\\_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_0004-5527_1976_num_1_3_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.)]

Thury, E. M. (1987). "Lucretius' Poem as a *simulacrum* of the *rerum natura*". *AJPh*, 108, 270-94.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/294817?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/294817?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Timofeev, I.S. (2013). "The Concept of Philosophy in Lucretius' Philosophical Vocabulary". Kazansky, N.N. (ed.). *Indo-European Linguistics and Classical Philology. 17: Proceedings of the 17th Conference in Memory of Professor Joseph M. Tronsky, June 24-26, 2013*. Sankt-Peterburg: Nauka, 870-7.

Timpanaro, S. (1988). «Epicuro, Lucrezio e Leopardi». *CS*, 25, 359-402.

Tohte, T. (1889). *Lucretius I, v. 483-598: Ein Beitrag zur Kritik und Erklärung des Dichters*. Leipzig: Verlag Gustav Fock.

Townend, G. (1965). "Imagery in Lucretius". Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 95-114.

Traina, A. et al. (a cura di) (1990). *Lucrezio. L'atomo e la parola. Colloquio lucreziano, Bologna 26 gennaio 1989*. Bologna: Cooperativa Libreria Universitaria Editrice Bologna (CLUEB).

Trépanier, S. (2007). "The Didactic Plot of Lucretius, *De rerum natura*, and Its Empedoclean Model". Sorabji, R.; Sharples, R.W. (eds). *Greek and Roman Philosophy: 100 BC-200 AD*. London: Institute of Classical Studies, University of London, 243-82.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767830?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767830?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Turolla, E. (1929). *Lucrezio*. Roma: Formiggini.

Tutrone, F. (2012). "Between Atoms and Humours: Lucretius' Didactic Poetry as a Model of Integrated and Bifocal Physiology". Horstmanshoff, M.; King, H.; Zittel, C. (eds). *Blood, Sweat and Tears: The Changing Concepts of Physiology from Antiquity into Early Modern Europe*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 83-102.

[<https://brill.com/view/title/15700>]

Tutrone, F. (2012). *Filosofi e animali in Roma antica: Modelli di animalità e umanità in Lucrezio e Seneca*. Pisa: ETS.

Tutrone, F. (2014). "The Body of the Soul: Lucretian Echoes in the Renaissance Theories on the Psychic Substance and Its Organic Repartition". *Gesnerus*, 71/2, 234-6.

[<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25707096/>]

Tutrone, F. (2017). "Granting Epicurean Wisdom at Rome: Exchange and Reciprocity in Lucretius' Didactic (*DRN* 1.921-950)". *HSPH*, 109, 275-337.

Tutrone, F. (2020). "Coming to Know Epicurus' Truth: Distributed Cognition in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 80-100.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/coming-to-know-epicurus-truth-distributed-cognition-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/B487FA1564AED5FF6308875447FE36F3>]

Untersteiner, M. (1925). *Il sistema di Lucrezio (Passi scelti e tradotti dai libri I-V)*. Precede un'introduzione storica e teorica della dottrina. Torino: Giovanni Chiantore.

Vahlen, J. (1877). "Über das Prooemium des Lucretius". *Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie*, 479-99.

Valachova, C. (2018). *The Political and Philosophical Strategies of Roman Epicureans in the Late Republic*. PhD dissertation, The University of Edinburgh.

[<https://era.ed.ac.uk/handle/1842/35854>]

Van Bremen, R. (2005). "«Plotina to all her Friends»: The Letter(s) of the Empress Plotina to the Epicureans in Athens". *Chiron*, 35, 499-532.

[<https://publications.dainst.org/journals/index.php/chiron/article/view/795/0>]

Verde, F. (2013). *Elachista: La dottrina dei minimi nell'Epicureismo*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdznp>]

Verde, F. (2016). "The Gens Memmia, Lucretius' *Hymn to Venus*, and the Sanctuary of Terracina: A Neglected Hypothesis". *RhM*, 159, 60-70.

Verde, F. (2017). «Accio, Lucrezio e la psicologia di Epicuro: osservazioni su *Trag.* 296 R.<sup>3</sup> (589 Dangel)». *MH*, 74/2, 158-71.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/45154447?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/45154447?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Verde, F. (2018), «Fenomeni fisici e spiegazioni multiple in Lucrezio e nell'*Aetna* pseudovirgiliano». *GCFI*, 99, 523-44.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4581160>]

Verde, F. (2020). "Epicurean Meteorology, Lucretius, and the Aetna". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 83-102.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-006.xml>]

Vidale, N. (2000). *Affermare negando. Gli argomenti ipotetici con conseguente falso nel De rerum natura*. Bologna: Pàtron Editore.

Villa, B. (1996). «Lucrezio, *De rerum natura* III, 288-322: la disposizione naturale al timore». *AAT*, 130, 129-53.

Volk, K. (2010). "Lucretius' Prayer for Peace and the Date of *De rerum natura*". *CQ*, 60, 127-31.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretius-prayer-for-peace-and-the-date-of-de-rerum-natura/6591C57065024143979C73D190189FAF>]

von Jensen, Chr. (hrsg.) (1923). *Ueber die Gredichte*. Berlin: Weidmann.

Wacht, M. (1991) (ed.). *Concordantia in Lucretium*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Olms-Weidmann.

Walker, L.V. (1927). "Laughter in Lucretius". *PhQ*, VI, 410-15.

Wallach, B.P. (ed.) (1976). *Lucretius and the Diatribe against the Fear of Death, De rerum natura III, 830-1094*. Leiden: Brill.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/3157>]

Walters, B. (2013). "Reading Death and the Sense in Lucan and Lucretius". Butler, S.; Purves, A. (eds). *Synaesthesia and the Ancient Senses*. Durham: Acumen, 115-25.

Wardy, R. (1988). "Lucretius on What Atoms Are Not". *CPh*, 83, 112-28.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/269492?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/269492?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Warren, J (2001). "Lucretius, Symmetry Arguments, and Fearing Death". *Phronesis*, 46/4, 466-91.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182682?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182682?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Warren, J. (2007). "Lucretius and Greek Philosophy". Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 19-32.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/6C23023E32D1897A98CE079CC27B56AF>]

West, D. (1969). *Imagery and Poetry of Lucretius*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

West, D. (1969). "Lucretius and Epic". West, D. *The Imagery and Poetry of Lucretius*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 23-34 (Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 289-99).

West, D. (1970). "Virgilian Multiple-Correspondence Similes and their Antecedents". *Philologus*, 114, 262-75.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/114/1-2/article-p262.xml>]

West, D. (1979). "Two Plagues: Virgil, *Georgics* 3.478-566 and Lucretius 6.1090-1286". West, D.; Woodman, T. (eds). *Creative Imitation and Latin Literature*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 71-88.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/creative-imitation-and-latin-literature/two-plagues-virgil-georgics-3478566-and-lucretius-610901286/B9FC58D479AED5E6AC800668F6F18992>]

Whitlatch, L. (2014). "Empiricist dogs and the superiority of philosophy in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". *CW*, 108, 45-66.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/article/562557/pdf>]

Winspear, A.D. (1963). *Lucretius and Scientific Thought*. Montreal: Harvest House; Ital. transl. (1968). *Che cosa ha veramente detto Lucrezio*. Roma: Astrolabio Ubaldini.

Woltjer, J. (1877). *Lucretii philosophia cum fontibus comparata*. Groningae: P. Noordhoff.

[<https://archive.org/details/lucretiiphiloso01woltgoog>]

Woltjer, J. (1896). «Studia Lucretiana». *Mnemosyne*, 24, 62-71.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4425078?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4425078?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Wormell, D.E.W. (1965). "The Personal World of Lucretius". Dudley, D.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 35-67.

Zimmerspitz, E. (1928). «Quomodo Lucretius dogmata exemplis e natura et vita cotidiana petitis comprobaverit». *Eos*, 31, 123-40.

Zinn, P. (2016). "Lucretius On Time and Its Perception". *Kriterion*, 30/2. Special Issue: Fischer, F. (ed.). *New Developments in Philosophy of Time*, 125-51.

[<http://www.kriterion-journal-of-philosophy.org/kriterion/issues/Permanent/Kriterion-zinn-01.pdf>]

Zinn, P. (2018). "Lucretius on Sound". Butler, S.; Nooter, S. (eds). *Sound and the Ancient Senses*. London-New York: Routledge, 130-49.

Zinn, P. (2021). "Lucretius and the Salty Taste of Sea Air". *C&M*, 69, 173-217.

[<https://tidsskrift.dk/classicaetmediaevalia/article/view/124703>]

Zucca, D. (2020). "Lucretius and the Epicurean View That «All Perceptions are True»". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 23-42.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-003.xml>]

## - Diogenes of Oinoanda

Bachmann, M. (2017). "Oinoanda. Research in the City of Diogenes". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oinoanda. Épicurisme et controverses*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 1-28.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978822/pdf>]

- Bakker, F.A. (2018). Rev. to Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds) (2017). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press. *Syzetesis*, 5/1, 113-22.  
[<http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2018/1/9%20RECENSIONI%20BAKKER.pdf>]
- Capone Braga, G. (1940). «Aristotele, Epicuro e Diogene di Enoanda». *Atene e Roma*, 42, 35-47 (reprinted in 1951. *Studi su Epicuro*. Milano: Marzorati).
- Casanova, A. (a cura di) (1984). *I frammenti di Diogene d'Énoanda*. Firenze: Università degli studi di Firenze, Dipartimento di scienze dell'antichità "Giorgio Pasquali", Distribuzione LICOSA.
- Casini, N. (1949). «Diogene di Enoanda e Lucrezio». *RSF*, 4/4, 279-90.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44018377?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44018377?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Chilton, C.W. (1962). "The Epicurean Theory of the Origin of Language. A Study of Diogenes of Oenoanda, Fragments X and XI William". *AJPh*, 83, 159-67.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/292213.pdf>]
- Chilton, C.W. (1962). "An Epicurean View of Protagoras. A Note on Diogenes of Oenoanda fragment XII (W)". *Phronesis*, 7, 105-9.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181703?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181703?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Clay, D. (1973). "Sailing to Lampsacus: Diogenes of Oinoanda, New Fragment 7". *GRBS*, 14, 49-59.
- Clay, D. (1984). "The Means to Epicurus' Salvation. The Crux at Diogenes of Oenoanda, NF 7 II 12, I". *Sileno, X: Studi in onore di Adelmo Barigazzi*, 169-75.
- Corsi, F.G. (2017). «Il metodo delle molteplici spiegazioni in Diogene di Enoanda». *Syzetesis*, 4/2, 253-84.  
[[http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2017/2/6\\_ARTICOLI\\_CORSI.pdf](http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2017/2/6_ARTICOLI_CORSI.pdf)]
- Delattre, J. (2012). « Visite de deux épicuriens français à Énoanda ». *CErc*, 42, 319-22.
- Erlor, M. (2009). "Ursachenanalyse von Krieg und Frieden bei Platon und in der hellenistischen Philosophie". Holzem, A. (Hrsg.). *Krieg und Christentum: religiöse Gewalttheorien in der Kriegserfahrung des Westens*. Paderborn: Schöningh, 169-79.  
[<https://brill.com/view/title/46569?rskkey=wPeN3b&result=27>]
- Erlor, M. (2017). "Diogenes against Plato. Diogenes' Critique and the Tradition of Epicurean Antiplatonism". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 51-6.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978824>]
- Évrard, É. (1999). « Diogène d'Énoanda et Lucrèce ». Poignault, R. (éd.). *Présence de Lucrèce, Actes du Colloque de Tours, 3-5 décembre 1998*. Tours: Centre de Recherche A. Piganiol, 51-63.
- Flores, E. (1987-1988). «Due problemi riguardo agli atomi in Democrito e Epicuro e il fr. 40 Casan. di Diogene di Enoanda». *Annali dell'Università degli Studi di Napoli "L'Orientale" / Dipartimento di Studi del Mondo Classico e del Mediterraneo Antico. Sezione Filologico-Letteraria*, 9-10, 3-17.
- Gallavotti, C. (1975-1977). «La critica di Empedocle in Diogene di Enoanda». *MCr*, 22, 243-9.
- Gigandet, A. (2017). « Diogène, Lucrèce et la théorie épicurienne de l'imaginaire. Fragment 9 -; *De rerum natura* IV 971-993 ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 207-20.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978831>]

Gordon, P. (1996). *Epicurus in Lycia: The Second-Century World of Diogenes of Oenoanda*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

[[https://www.press.umich.edu/14312/epicurus\\_in\\_lycia](https://www.press.umich.edu/14312/epicurus_in_lycia)]

Gordon, P. (2017). “Epicureanism writ large: Diogenes of Oenoanda”. Richter, D.S.; Johnson, W.A. (eds). *The Oxford handbook of the Second Sophistic*. New York: Oxford University Press, 539-50.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199837472.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199837472-e-39>]

Gordon, P. (2020). “Diogenes of Oenoanda”. Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 531-48.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-14>]

Gourinat, G.-B. (2017). « La critique des stoïciens dans l’inscription d’Oenoanda ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 165-86.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978829>]

Güremen, R. (2017). “Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Epicurean Epistemology of Dreams”. Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 187-206.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978830>]

Hall, A.S. (1979). “Who Was Diogenes of Oenoanda?”. *JHS*, 99, 160-3.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-hellenic-studies/article/who-was-diogenes-of-oenoanda/B2B95F82E314C7DF5867E8873740E95D>]

Hammerstaedt, J. (2016). «Considerazioni epicuree sul tema della vecchiaia». De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (eds). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 199-212.

Hammerstaedt, J. (2017). “The Philosophical Inscription of Diogenes in the Epigraphic Context of Oinoanda: New Finds, New Research, and New Challenges”. Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 29-50.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978823>]

Hammerstaedt, J. (2018). “Keine Furcht mit Diogenes! Die Beherrschung von Empfindungen in der philosophischen Inschrift von Oinoanda”. *Elenchos*, 39, 301-22.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p301.xml>]

Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2011). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: The Discoveries of 2011 (NF 191-205, and Additions to NF 127 and 130)”. *EA*, 44, 79-114.

Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2014). *The Epicurean Inscription of Diogenes of Oinoanda: Ten Years of New Discoveries and Research*. Bonn: Rudolf Habelt.

[<http://www.martinfergusonsmith.com/images/pdf/Diogenes.pdf>]

Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2015). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes IX (2014)”. *CErc*, 45, 189-98.

Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2016). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes X (2015)”. *CErc*, 46, 161-7.

- Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2016). “New Research at Oinoanda and a New Fragment of the Epicurean Diogenes (NF 213)”. *EA*, 49, 109-25.  
[[https://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/sites/ifa/EpiAna\\_pdfs/109\\_hammerstaedt-smith.pdf](https://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/sites/ifa/EpiAna_pdfs/109_hammerstaedt-smith.pdf)]
- Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds) (2017). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.  
[<https://lup.be/products/124281>]
- Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2017). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes XI (2016)”. *CErc*, 47, 305-15.
- Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2018). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes XII (2017)”. *CErc*, 48, 199-206.  
[<http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf>]
- Hammerstaedt, J.; Smith, M.F. (2018). “Diogenes of Oinoanda: The New and Unexpected Discoveries of 2017 (NF 214-219), With a Re-edition of Fr. 70-72”. *EA*, 51, 43-79.
- Inwood, B. (2009). “Empedocles and *metempsychôsis*: the critique of Diogenes of Oenoanda”. Frede, D.; Reis, B. (eds). *Body and Soul in Ancient Philosophy*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 71-86.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110216523/10.1515/9783110216523.1.71.xml>]
- Leone, G. (2017). « Diogène d’Énoanda et la polémique sur les *meteora* ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 89-110.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978826>]
- Masi, F. (2017). “Virtue, Pleasure, and Cause. A case of Multi-Target Polemic? Diogenes of Oenoanda, fr. 32-33 Smith”. Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 111-42.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978827>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2010). « Diogène d’Énoanda, Fragments, Traduction, introduction et notes ». Delattre, D.; Pigeaud, J. (éds). *Les Épicuriens*. Paris: Gallimard, 1027-72.
- Morel, P.-M. (2017). « La Terre entière, une seule patrie. Diogène d’Énoanda et la politique ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 221-40.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978832>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2019). «Naturalismo e cosmopolitismo nell’Antichità. Epicureismo vs stoicismo». Bagnati, G.; Cassan, M.; Morelli, A. (a cura di) (2019). *Le varietà del naturalismo. Incontri Ca’ Foscari-Paris I*. Venezia: Edizioni Ca’ Foscari, 63-76.  
[<https://edizionicafoscari.unive.it/media/pdf/books/978-88-6969-325-0/978-88-6969-325-0-ch-04.pdf>]
- Nijs, W. (2019). “«Then truly the life of the gods will pass to men»: Contemplating Diogenes of Oenoanda’s Golden Age”. *GRBS*, 59/2, 242-61.  
[<https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/16217>]
- Pace, N. (2005). «Religione ed etica nel NF 126 Smith di Diogene di Enoanda». *CErc*, 35, 201-9.
- Pick, L. (2009). «Un ἄπαξ evergetico: Diogene di Enoanda e la sua iscrizione filosofica». Zambianchi, M.T. (a cura di). *Ricordo di Delfino Ambaglio*. Como: New Press, 109-29.  
[<https://www.aseq.it/letteratura/31673-ricordo-di-delfino-ambaglio.html>]

Pisano, G. (1942). «Colote, Epicuro e Diogene di Enoanda». *Atene e Roma*, 10, 67-75.

Roskam, G. (2015). “Epicurean philosophy in open access: the intended reader and the authorial approach of Diogenes of Oenoanda”. *EA*, 48, 151-74.

[[https://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/sites/IfA/EpiAna\\_pdfs/151-174\\_roskam.pdf](https://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/sites/IfA/EpiAna_pdfs/151-174_roskam.pdf)]

Roskam, G. (2017). “Diogenes’ Polemical Approach, or How to Refute a Philosophical Opponent in an Epigraphic Context”. Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 241-70.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978833>]

Roskam, G. (2017). “One, Two, Three, Four, Five, Six. Counting with Demosthenes and Diogenes of Oenoanda”. *QUCC*, 115, 119-26.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4133691>]

Smith, M.F. (1970). “Observations on the Text of Diogenes of Oenoanda”. *Hermathena*, 110, 52-78.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23040010?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23040010?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Smith, M.F. (1972). “New Readings in the Text of Diogenes of Oenoanda”. *CQ*, 22/1, 159-62.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/637902?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/637902?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Smith, M.F. (1974). *Thirteen New Fragments of Diogenes of Oenoanda*. Ergänzungsbände zu den Tituli Asiae Minoris 6. Wien: Austrian Academy of Sciences Press.

Smith, M.F. (ed.) (1993). *Diogenes of Oinoanda: The Epicurean Inscription*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

[<http://bibliopolis.it/shop/the-epicurean-inscription/>]

[<http://www.martinfergusonsmith.com/>]

Smith, M.F. (1996). “A ‘Herculaneum’ in the Mountains of Turkey: Oinoanda as a Source of Epicurean Texts”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 951-68.

Smith, M.F. (1996). *The Philosophical Inscription of Diogenes of Oinoanda*. Ergänzungsbände zu den Tituli Asiae Minoris, 20. Wien: Austrian Academy of Sciences Press.

Smith, M.F. (1998). “Epicurus’ *Kyria Doxa* 26 and a New Fragment of Diogenes of Oinoanda”. *Hyperboreus*, 4/1, 193-5.

[<http://www.bibliotheca-classica.org/en/node/425>]

Smith, M.F. (2000). “The Introduction to Diogenes of Oinoanda’s Physics”. *CQ*, 50/1, 238-46.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1558948?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1558948?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/the-introduction-to-diogenes-of-oinoandas-physics/F040F540D881FF40F416E5D5ABB50F20>]

Smith, M.F. (2000). “Quotations of Epicurus Common to Diogenes of Oinoanda and Diogenes Laertius”. *Hyperboreus*, 6/1, 188-97.

[<https://pdfslide.net/documents/quotations-of-epicurus-common-to-diogenes-of-oinoanda-and-diogenes-laertius.html>]

Smith, M.F. (2003). “Herculaneum and Oinoanda, Philodemus and Diogenes: Comparison of Two Epicurean Discoveries and Two Epicurean Teachers”. *CErc*, 33, 267-78.

Smith, M.F. (ed.) (2003). *Supplements to Diogenes of Oinoanda: The Epicurean Inscription*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

[<http://bibliopolis.it/shop/supplement-to-diogenes-of-oinoanda-the-epicurean-inscription/>]

[<http://www.martinfergusonsmith.com/>]

Smith, M.F. (2006). "Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes, 2005". *CErc*, 36, 233-45.

Smith, M.F. (2008). "Diogenes of Oinoanda. News and Notes II (2007)". *CErc*, 38, 309-17.

Smith, M.F. (2009). "Diogenes of Oinoanda. News and Notes III (2008)". *CErc*, 39, 301-12.

Smith, M.F. (2010). "Diogenes of Oinoanda. News and Notes IV (2009)". *CErc*, 40, 223-38.

Smith, M.F. (2011). "Diogenes of Oinoanda. News and Notes V (2010)". *CErc*, 41, 235-50.

Smith, M.F. (2012). "Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes VI (2011)". *CErc*, 42, 303-18.

Smith, M.F. (2013). "Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes VII (2012)". *CErc*, 43, 161-76.

Smith, M.F. (2014). "Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes VIII (2013)". *CErc*, 44, 179-91.

Smith, M.F. (2017). "Foreword: The Importance of Diogenes of Oinoanda". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda: Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, XI-XVII.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978820>]

Smith, M.F. (2019). "Diogenes of Oinoanda: News and Notes XIII (2018)". *CErc*, 49, 301-13.

Smith, M.F. (2019). "A New Look at Diogenes of Oinoanda, Fr. 157 Smith". *Hyperboreus*, 25/2, 351-62.

[<http://www.martinfergusonsmith.com/pdf/Hyperboreus.pdf>]

Smith, M.F. (2020). "Tribute to Diskin Clay and His Work on Diogenes of Oinoanda". Burian, P.; Strauss, Clay, J.; Davis, G. (eds). *Euphrosyne: Studies in Ancient Philosophy, History, and Literature in Memory of Diskin Clay*. Berlin: de Gruyter, 109-11.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110605938/10.1515/9783110605938-008.xml>]

Stöcklein, A. (1978). "Oenoanda. The Epicurean Inscription". Akurgal, E. (ed.). *Proceedings of the Xth International Congress of Classical Archaeology, Ankara-Izmir 23-30.IX.1973*. Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 841-7.

Taylor, B. (2014). "Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Meaning of 'Pleasure' (NF 192)". *ZPE*, 191, 84-9.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43909587?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43909587?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Tsouna, V. (2017). "Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Cyrenaics". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 143-64.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978828>]

Verde, F. (2017). "Plato's Demiurge (NF 155 = YF 200) and Aristotle's Flux (fr. 5 Smith). Diogenes of Oinoanda on the History of Philosophy". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 67-88.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978825>]

Verde, F. (2020). "The Partition of the Soul: Epicurus, Demetrius Lacon, and Diogenes of Oinoanda". Inwood, B.; Warren, J. (eds). *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 89-112.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/body-and-soul-in-hellenistic-philosophy/partition-of-the-soul/4850A0F3B1BF46AE2C6CA370733DB>]

## - Diogenianus

Hammerstaedt, J. (1993). "Das Kriterium der Prolepsis beim Epikureer Diogenian". *JbAC*, 36, 24-32.

Isnardi Parente, M. (1990). «Diogeniano, gli Epicurei e la τύχη». *Aufstieg und Niedergang der Römischen Welt*, 36/4, 2424-45.

## 4.1

### - Theory of Knowledge

Alberti, A. (1988). *Sensazione e realtà. Epicuro e Gassendi*. Firenze: Olschki.

Allen, J. (1998). "Epicurean Inferences. The Evidence of Philodemus *De signis*". Gentzler, J. (ed.). *Method in Ancient Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 307-49.

Allen, J. (2001). *Inference from Signs. Ancient Debates about the Nature of Evidence*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Allen, J. (2004). "Experience as a Source and Ground of Theory in Epicureanism". *Apeiron*, 37/4, 89-106.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/37/4/article-p89.xml?language=de>]

Angeli, A.; Dorandi, T. (2008). «Gli Epicurei e la geometria: un progetto di geometria antieucledea nel Giardino di Epicuro?». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-9.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478264>]

Arrighetti, G. (1952). «Sul valore di ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΟΜΑΙ ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ nel sistema epicureo». *PP*, 7, 119-44.

Asmis, E. (1984). *Epicurus' Scientific Method*. Ithaca-London: Cornell University Press.

Asmis, E. (1999). "Epicurean Epistemology". Algra, K.; Barnes, J.; Mansfeld, J.; Schofield, M. (eds). *The Cambridge History of Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 260-94.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-hellenistic-philosophy/73E597AACD9FF9CCE077C41A3E9548C7>]

Asmis, E. (2009). "Epicurean Empiricism". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 84-104.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicurean-empiricism/60A00F9BB8314B267FDA1554FB56506E>]

Asmis, E. (2020). "Epicurus' Divided Line: Proceeding from the Visible to the Invisible". G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Vedere l'invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 25-46.

Barigazzi, A. (1959). «Il concetto del tempo nella fisica atomistica». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 29-59.

Barnes, J. (1988). "Epicurean Signs". *OSAPh. Supp. Vol.*, 91-134.

- Barnes, J. (1996). "Epicurus: Meaning and Thinking". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 197-220.
- Bénatouil, T. (2010). « Les critiques épicuriennes de la géométrie ». Bour, P.E.; Rebuschi, M.; Rollet, L. (Hrsgg). *Construction: Festschrift for Gerhard Heinzmann*. London: College Publications, 151-62.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00563545/document#:~:text=Dans%20son%20Commentaire%20sur%20le,en%20montrer%20les%20parties%20infond%C3%A9es.>]
- Besnier, B. (1994). « Épicure et la définition ». Jerphagnon, L.; Lagrée, J.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Ainsi parlaient les Anciens : in honorem Jean-Paul Dumont*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 117-30.
- Björck, G. (1946). « Ὀναρ ἰδεῖν. De la perception de rêve chez les anciens ». *Eranos*, 44, 306-14.
- Bown, A. (2016). "Epicurus on Bivalence and the Excluded Middle". *AGPh*, 98/3, 239-71.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/agph/98/3/article-p239.xml>]
- Bown, A. (2016). "Epicurus on Truth and Falsehood". *Phronesis*, 61/4, 463-503.  
[[https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:36ab3a4c-0234-41a7-b06f-b3c238e72b1e/download\\_file?file\\_format=pdf&safe\\_filename=Epicurus%20on%20Truth%20and%20Falsehood%20%2528final%20draft%2529.pdf&type\\_of\\_work=Journal+article](https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:36ab3a4c-0234-41a7-b06f-b3c238e72b1e/download_file?file_format=pdf&safe_filename=Epicurus%20on%20Truth%20and%20Falsehood%20%2528final%20draft%2529.pdf&type_of_work=Journal+article)]
- Bozonis, G. (1971). « La théorie de la connaissance chez Épicure et l'argument ontologique ». *Αθηνᾶ*, 72, 87-102.
- Calvo Martínez, T.M. (1987). «Ὁὐκ ἀντιμαρτύρησιςσυμφωνία. La inversión de la lógica platónica de la validación por Epicuro». Bádenas de la Peña, P.; Martínez Díez, A.; Rodríguez Monescillo, E.; Martínez-Fresneda y Barrera, M.E. (eds). Athlon. *Satura grammatica in honorem Francisci Rodríguez Adrados*. Madrid: Ed. Gredos, 149-61.
- Caujolle-Zaslowsky, F. (1980). « Le temps épicurien est-il atomique? ». *Les Études philosophiques* 3, 285-306.
- Cavalli, R. (2012). «Il processo di ἐπαίσθησις presso gli Epicurei». *WJA*, 36, 157-67.  
[<http://www.klassphil.uni-wuerzburg.de/wuerzburger-jahrbuecher/inhalt-der-baende/wja-band-36-2012/riccardo-c-a-v-a-l-i-i-i-processo-di-epaisthisis-presso-gli-epicurei/>]
- Císař, K. (2001). "Epicurean Epistemology in Lucretius' *De rerum natura* IV 1-822". *Listy filologické*, 124/1-2, 1-54.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23468032?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23468032?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Cornea, A. (2011-2012). « La prénotion d'Épicure est-elle d'inspiration platonicienne ? ». *Χώρα*, 9-10, 203-16.  
[<https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=131232>]
- Currie, H.MacL. (1961). "Epicurean *Prolepsis*". *Phronesis*, 6, 82.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/6/1-2/article-p82\\_9.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/6/1-2/article-p82_9.xml?language=en)]
- De Lacy, Ph. (1958) "Epicurean ἐπιλογισμός". *American Journal of Philosophy*, 79, 179-83.
- De Lacy Ph.; De Lacy, E.A. (eds) (1978). *Philodemus. On Method of Inference*. Revised edition with the collaboration of M. Gigante, F. Longo Auricchio, A. Tepedino Guerra. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

- Deschamps, L. (2012). « L'expression du vrai et du faux dans le *De rerum natura* de Lucrèce ». Morin, B. (éd.). *Polumathès / πολυμαθής : mélanges offerts à Jean-Pierre Levet*. Limoges: PULIM, 369-79.
- Detel, W. (1975). "Αἴσθησις und λογισμός. Zwei Probleme der epikureischen Methodologie". *AGPh*, 57, 21-35.
- DeWitt, N.W. (1939). "Epicurus, Περὶ φαντασίας". *TAPA*, 70, 414-27.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283099?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283099?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- DeWitt, N.W. (1942). "The Gods of Epicurus and the Canon". *Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada*, 36, 33-49.
- DeWitt, N.W. (1943). "Epicurus. All Sensations Are True". *TAPA*, 74, 19-32.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283586?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283586?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Diano, C. (1942-1943). «Dissentio-διαισθάνομαι e il problema della memoria». *RAL*, 4, 265-70.
- Dumont, J.-P. (1982). "Confirmation et disconfirmation". Barnes, J.; Brunschwig, J.; Burnyeat, M.; Schofield, M. (eds). *Science and Speculation: Studies in Hellenistic Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 273-303.
- Erler, M. (2003). "Exempla amoris. Der epikureische *Epilogismos* als philosophischer Hintergrund der Diatribe gegen die Liebe in Lukrez *De rerum natura*". Monet, A. (éd.) (2003). *Le Jardin romain. Epicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack, Travaux et recherches*. Lille: Presses de l'Université Charles de Gaulle-Lille 3, 147-62.
- Erler, M. (2010). «Νήφων λογισμός: A proposito del contesto letterario e filosofico di una categoria fondamentale del pensiero epicureo». *CErc*, 40, 23-9.
- Everson, S. (1990). "Epicurus on the truth of the senses". Everson, S. (ed.). *Epistemology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 161-83.
- Fallot, J. (1980). «Materialismo etico e materialismo scientifico in Democrito e Epicuro». *SicGymn*, 33, 353-5.
- Farrington, B. (1954). "Epicureanism and Science". *Scientia*, 48/89, 69-72.
- Fausti, D.; Manetti, G. (2011). «La sezione di Bromio del *De signis* di Filodemo: il dibattito sulla vaghezza del concetto di similarità». *CErc*, 41, 161-88.
- Furley, D.J. (1967). "Knowledge of Atoms and Void in Epicureanism". *The Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy Newsletter*, 81, 1-20.  
[<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6f4d/043ed5d5e97251943e0a14c93f9d02a1d7ea.pdf>]
- Gentilucci, P. (1997-2000). «La percezione delle grandezze nell'atomismo epicureo». *AFLPer(class)*, 19, 65-91.
- Gigandet, A. (2007). « La connaissance: principe et méthode ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 73-98.
- Giorgiantonio, M. (1958). «Il fondamento della logica di Epicuro». *Sophia*, 26, 111-4.
- Giovacchini, J. (2003). « Le refus épicurien de la définition ». *Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15, 71-89.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01267445>]

- Giovacchini, J. (2012). *L'empirisme d'Épicure*. Paris: Classiques Garnier.  
[<https://classiques-garnier.com/l-empirisme-d-epicure-en.html>]
- Glidden, D.K. (1971). *The Epicurean Theory of Knowledge*. PhD dissertation, Princeton University.
- Glidden, D.K. (1979). "Epicurus on Self-Perception". *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 16, 297-306.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20009771?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20009771?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Glidden, D.K. (1979). "Sensus and Sense Perception in the *De rerum natura*". *California Studies in Classical Antiquity*, 12, 155-81.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25010746?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25010746?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Glidden, D.K. (1983). "Epicurean Semantics". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 185-226.
- Glidden, D.K. (1984). "Prolepsis in *Peri physeos* XXVIII fr. 12, III, 3-14". AA.VV. *Atti del xvii Congresso internazionale di papirologia (Napoli, 19-26 maggio 1983)*. Napoli: Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri di Ercolano, 399-404.
- Glidden, D.K. (1985). "Epicurean Prolepsis". *OSAPh*, 3, 175-217.  
[<https://orb.binghamton.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1108&context=sagp>]
- Glidden, D.K. (1992). "Epicurean Thought, VI". Cleary, J.J.; Shartin, D.C. (éds). *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy, VI*. Lanham: University Press of America, 413-54.
- Gœury, M. (2012). « L'absence de préconception du temps chez Épicure. Lecture de la *Lettre à Hérodote*, 72-73 ». *PhilosAnt*, 12, 89-113.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/934>]
- Goggins, R. (2007). "Preconceptions and epistemic priority". *AncPhil*, 27/2, 371-90.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil\\_2007\\_0027\\_0002\\_0371\\_0390](https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil_2007_0027_0002_0371_0390)]
- Goldschmidt, V. (1978). « Remarques sur l'origine épicurienne de la "prénotion" ». Brunschwig, J. (éd.). *Les Stoïciens et leur logique, Actes du Colloque de Chantilly, 18-22 septembre 1976*. Paris: Vrin (II ed. revised and expanded edition, 2006, Paris: Vrin), 155-69.
- Gourinat, J.-B. (2005). « L'origine des pensées : un bien commun des épicuriens et des stoïciens ». Végléris, E. (éd.). *Cosmos et psychè. Mélanges offerts à Jean Frère*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Olms, 271-91.
- Güremen, R. (2017). "Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Epicurean Epistemology of Dreams". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogene d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 187-206.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978830>]
- Hahmann, A. (2015). "Epicurus on Truth and *Phantasia*". *AncPhil*, 35, 155-82.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil\\_2015\\_0035\\_0001\\_0155\\_0182](https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil_2015_0035_0001_0155_0182)]
- Hahmann, A. (2015). "Epikur über den Gegenstand der Wahrnehmung". *AGPh*, 97, 271-307.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/agph/97/3/article-p271.xml>]
- Hammerstaedt, J. (1993). "Das Kriterium der Prolepsis beim Epikureer Diogenian". *JbAC*, 36, 24-32.
- Hammerstaedt, J. (1996). «Il ruolo della ΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ epicurea nell'interpretazione di Epicuro, *Epistula ad Herodotum* 37 sg.». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 221-37.

- Harry, B.E. (1970). "Epicurus. Some Problems in Physics and Perception". *G&R*, 17, 58-63.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/642328?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/642328?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/epicurus-some-problems-in-physics-and-perception/097DAB8649AA277415F3E5B14F68047C\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/epicurus-some-problems-in-physics-and-perception/097DAB8649AA277415F3E5B14F68047C)
- Huby, P.M. (1970). "An Epicurean Argument in Cicero, *De fato* XVII, 40". *Phronesis*, 15, 83-5.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/15/1-2/article-p83\\_5.xml?language=en\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/15/1-2/article-p83_5.xml?language=en)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181841?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181841?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Ierodiakonou, K. (2012). "The Notion of *Enargeia* in Hellenistic Philosophy". Morison, B.; Ierodiakonou, K. (eds). *Episteme, etc. Essays in Honour of Jonathan Barnes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 60-73.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199696482.001.0001/acprof-9780199696482-chapter-3\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199696482.001.0001/acprof-9780199696482-chapter-3)
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1976). «XPONOΣ EΠINOYΜENOS e XPONOΣ OY NOOYΜENOS in Epicuro, *PHerc.* 1413». *PP*, 31, 168-75.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1985). «Epicuro. L'atomo fra apriorismo ed empirismo». AA.VV. *L'atomo fra scienza e letteratura*. Genova: Genova Università, 31-54.
- Jürss, Fritz (1977). "Epikur und das Problem des Begriffes (Prolepse)". *Philologus*, 121, 211-25.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/121/1/article-p211.xml?language=en\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/121/1/article-p211.xml?language=en)
- Jürss, F. (1991). *Die epikureische Erkenntnistheorie*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- Keen, R. (1979). "Notes on Epicurean Terminology and Lucretius". *Apeiron*, 13/2, 63-9.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/13/2/article-p63.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/13/2/article-p63.xml)
- Keen, R. (1981). "Lexical Notes to the Epicurean Doctrine of Perception". *Apeiron*, 15/1, 59-69.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/15/1/article-p59.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/15/1/article-p59.xml)
- Koenen, M.H. (1997). "Lucretius' Olfactory Theory in *De rerum natura* IV". Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds) (1997). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 163-77.  
[\[https://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00010723.pdf\]](https://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00010723.pdf)
- Konstan, D. (1993). "Περὶληψις in Epicurean Epistemology". *AncPhil*, 13, 125-37.
- Konstan, D. (2016). "Where in the Psyche Are Mental Pleasures Experienced?". De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (eds). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademia Verlag, 151-8.
- Konstan, D. (forthcoming). "Epicurean *phantasia*". Malaspina, E; Wildberger, J. (eds). *Axiological Confusion and its Causes*. Πηγη/Fons. 5: *Revista de Estudios sobre la Civilización Clásica y su Recepción*.
- Lautner, P. (1989). "Das Verhältnis von Pathos und Aisthesis bei Epikur". *ACD*, 25, 23-7.
- Lee, E.N. (1978). "The Sense of an Object. Epicurus on Seeing and Hearing". Machamer, P.K.; Turnbull, R.G. (eds). *Studies in Perception. Interrelations in the History of Philosophy and Science*. Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 25-59.
- Lefebvre, R. (2004). « Règle et critère chez Épicure ». *REG*, 117, 82-103.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44260591?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44260591?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Lembo, D. (1981-1982). «τύπος e συμπάθεια in Epicuro». *AFLN*, 24, 17-67.

- Leone, G. (2020). «Epicuro e ‘le voci delle cose’». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *‘Vedere’ l’invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 71-84.
- Levi, A. (1950). «Il problema dell’errore nell’epicureismo». *Rivista critica di storia della filosofia*, 5, 50-4.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44019614?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44019614?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Long, A.A. (1971). “Aisthesis, Prolepsis and Linguistic Theory in Epicurus”. *BICS*, 18, 114-33.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646282?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646282?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1971.tb00115.x>]
- Long, A.A. (1988). “Reply to Jonathan Barnes ‘Epicurean Signs’”. *OSAPh*, Supp. Vol., 135-44.
- Manetti, G. (2010). «Un trattato sui segni». *Paradigmi: Rivista di critica filosofica*, 28, 165-97.  
[[https://www.francoangeli.it/riviste/Scheda\\_rivista.aspx?IDArticolo=39774](https://www.francoangeli.it/riviste/Scheda_rivista.aspx?IDArticolo=39774)]
- Manetti, G. (2012). “La semiotica salvatasi dal Vesuvio: il dibattito tra Epicurei e Stoici (?) sull’inferenza da segni nel *De signis* di Filodemo”. *Blityri*, 1, 135-76.  
[<https://blityri.it/index.php/blityri/article/view/20>]
- Manetti, G. (2013). «L’inferenza semiotica epicurea nel *De signis* di Filodemo». Manetti, G. (ed.). *In principio era il segno: Momenti di storia della semiotica nell’antichità classica*. Milano: Bompiani, 233-58.
- Manuwald, A. (1972). *Die Prolepsislehre Epikurs*. Bonn: Rudolf Habelt Verlag GMBH.
- Marquand, A. (1883). “The Logic of the Epicureans”. Peirce, C.S. (ed.). *Studies on Logic by the Members of the Johns Hopkins University*, 1-11 (reprinted in 1983, Amsterdam-Philadelphia: John Benjamins).  
[<https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2008-07994-001>]
- Maso, S. (2015). “Images and Truth”. Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 67-82.  
[<https://philarchive.org/archive/MASIAT>]
- Mau, J. (1952-1953). “Studien zur erkenntnistheoretischen Grundlage der Atomlehre im Altertum”. *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin*, 2/3, 1-20.
- Mau, J. (1973). “Was There a Special Epicurean Mathematics?”. Lee, E.N.; Mourelatos, A.P.D.; Rorty, R.M. (eds). *Exegesis and Argument: Studies in Greek Philosophy presented to Gregory Vlastos*. Assen: Van Gorcum & Co, 421-30.
- Merbach, K. F. (1909). *De Epicuri canonica*. Weida: Thomas et Hubert.
- Miloš, A.G. (2012). “Epicurus on the Origin and Formation of Preconceptions”. *Croatian Journal of Philosophy*, 35, 239-56.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/croatjphil/content/croatjphil\\_2012\\_0012\\_0002\\_0239\\_0256](https://www.pdcnet.org/croatjphil/content/croatjphil_2012_0012_0002_0239_0256)]
- Miloš, A.G. (2015). “Epicurean Perceptual Content”. *Prolegomena*, 14/2, 167-91.  
[[http://prolegomena.upf.hr/download/vol-14-2015/no-2/prolegomena\\_14\\_2\\_2015\\_gavran\\_milos.pdf](http://prolegomena.upf.hr/download/vol-14-2015/no-2/prolegomena_14_2_2015_gavran_milos.pdf)]
- Monet, A. (2007). « La représentation du temps chez Épícure : lectures du *PHerc. 1413* ». Palme, B. (Hrsg.). *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses*, Wien, 22-28, Juli 2001. Wien: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 455-60.
- Morel, P.-M. (2002). « Les ambiguïtés de la conception épicurienne du temps ». *Revue philosophique de la France et de l’étranger*, 192/2, 195-211.  
[<https://www.cairn.info/revue-philosophique-2002-2-page-195.htm>]

- Morel, P.-M. (2008). "Method and Evidence: On the Epicurean Preconception". *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy*, 23, 25-48.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/bapj/23/1/article-p25\\_3.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/bapj/23/1/article-p25_3.xml)]
- Muñoz Morcillo, J. (2018). «El Kavón de Epicuro en la *Epístola a Heródoto*». *CFC(G)*, 28, 141-57.  
[<https://revistas.ucm.es/index.php/CFCG/article/view/59390>]
- Natorp, P. (1989). *Forschungen zur Geschichte des Erkenntnisproblems im Altertum: Protagoras, Demokrit, Epikur und die Skepsis*. Hildesheim: Olms.
- Neck, G. (1964). *Das Problem der Zeit im Epicureismus*. PhD dissertation, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg.
- Netz, R. (2015). "Were There Epicurean Mathematicians?". *OSAPh*, 49, 283-319.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198749516.001.0001/acprof-9780198749516-chapter-8#:~:text=This%20chapter%20resolves%20the%20puzzle,for%20their%20existence%20is%20spurious.&text=The%20evidence%20for%20other%20purported,should%20on%20balance%20be%20rejected.>]
- Obi, A.C. (1993). *Epicurus' Foundation of Knowledge*. PhD dissertation, Duquesne University.
- O'Keefe, T. (2014). "Epicurus' Garden: Physics and Epistemology". Warren, J.; Sheffield, F.C.C. (eds). *The Routledge companion to ancient philosophy*. London-New York: Routledge, 455-68.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315871363/chapters/10.4324/9781315871363-43>]
- Oppermann, H. (1929). "Epikurs Erkenntnistheorie". *Verhandlungen der Versammlung Deutscher Philologen*, 51, 193-200.
- Parisi, A. (2016). «Errori e sensazioni: per una nuova lettura di *PHerc.* 831 (Demetrio Lacone, *Opus incertum*)». *CErc*, 46, 39-53.
- Pigeaud, J. (1983). « Voir, imaginer, rêver, être fou. Quelques remarques sur l'hallucination et l'illusion dans la philosophie stoïcienne, épicurienne, sceptique, et la médecine antique ». *LMS*, 5, 23-53.
- Pozzo, G.M. (1956). «Intorno alla dottrina del canone e alla fisica di Epicuro». *GM*, 11, 248-54.
- Puliga, D. (1983). «Χρόνος e θάνατος in Epicuro». *Elenchos*, 4, 235-60.
- Prost, F. (2012). « La mesure des affections dans l'épicurisme ». *Pallas*, 88, 71-81.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/pallas/2463#:~:text=Les%20affections%20fondamentales%20de%20plaisir,que%20l%27%20A9picurisme%20les%20con%27%20oit.&text=En%20ce%20qui%20concerne%20les,atomique%20constitutif%20de%20la%20douleur.>]
- Repici, L. (2011). «La sensazione in Lucrezio». *AntPhilos*, 5, 51-82.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2497076>]
- Repici, L. (2020). «Vista e udito in Lucrezio». *AntPhilos*, 14, 85-106.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4795209>]
- Rispoli, G.M. (1983). «La "sensazione scientifica"». *CErc*, 13, 91-101.
- Rosenmeyer, T.G. (1996). "Sensation and taste in Lucretius". *SCI*, 15, 135-51.  
[<https://scriptaclassica.org/index.php/sci/article/download/4329/3823>]
- Rosenmeyer, T.G. (1999). "One Strike Will Do: A Lucretian Puzzle". *SCI*, 18, 25-44.

[<https://scriptaclassica.org/index.php/sci/article/view/4061>]

Schofield, M. (1996). “Ἐπιλογισμός: An Appraisal”. Frede, M.; Striker, G. (eds). *Rationality in Greek Thought*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 221-37.

Schrijvers, P.H. (2007). “Seeing the Invisible: A Study of Lucretius’ Use of Analogy in *De rerum natura*”. Gale, M.R. (ed.). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 255-88.

Scott, D. (1989). “Epicurean Illusions”. *CQ*, 39, 360-74.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/639379?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/639379?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Sedley, D.N. (1982). “On Signs”. Barnes, J. et al. (eds). *Science and Speculation. Studies in Hellenistic Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 239-72.

Sedley, D.N. (1989). “Epicurus on the Common Sensibles”. Huby, P.M.; Neal, G. (eds). *The Criterion of Truth. Essays Written in Honour of George Kerferd, Together With a Text and Translation (With Annotations) of Ptolemy’s On the Kriterion and Hegemonikon*. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 123-36.

Sedley, D.N. (1992). “Sextus Empiricus and the Atomist Criteria of Truth”. *Elenchos*, 13, 19-56.

Sedley, D.N. (2018). “Epicurean Theories of Knowledge: From Hermarchus to Lucretius and Philodemus”. *LPh*. Special Issue: Verde, F.; Catapano, M. (eds). *Hellenistic Theories of Knowledge*, 105-21.

[<http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/563>]

Sedley, D.N. (2018). “Epicurus on Dialectic”. Bénatouïl, T.; Ierodiakonou, K. (eds). *Dialectic after Plato and Aristotle*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 82-113.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/dialectic-after-plato-and-aristotle/epicurus-on-dialectic/98AF610835AAFAAAF5EDA427ACA61DF5>]

Solmsen, F. (1968). “Αἰσθησις in Aristotelian and Epicurean Thought”. Solmsen, F. *Kleine Schriften*. Hildesheim: G. Olms, 612-33.

Stoneman, R. (2020). “Can You Believe your Eyes? Scepticism and the Evidence of the Senses in Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* 4. 237-521”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 61-82.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-005.xml>]

Striker, G. (1974). “Κριτήριο τῆς ἀληθείας”. *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, 2, 48-110 (reprinted in 1996. *Essays on Hellenistic Epistemology and Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 22-76).

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essays-on-hellenistic-epistemology-and-ethics/k/F76ECEC2CE0FCA03CD6F8BA977711360>]

Striker, G. (1977). “Epicurus on the truth of all sense-impressions”. *AGPh*, 59, 125-42 (reprinted in 1996. *Essays on Hellenistic Epistemology and Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 77-91).

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essays-on-hellenistic-epistemology-and-ethics/C1D113EBFEBCE35C28E12EEBFE7EFC6A>]

Striker, G. (1990). “The Problem of the Criterion”. Everson, S. (ed.). *Epistemology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 143-60 (reprinted in 1996. *Essays on Hellenistic Epistemology and Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 150-69).

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essays-on-hellenistic-epistemology-and-ethics/problem-of-the-criterion/90A7C1CD8AFE8FAE18D4E002D084A101>]

Striker, G. (2020). "Epistemology". Mitsis, Ph. (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 43-58.

Taylor, B. (2016). "Rationalism and the Theatre in Lucretius". *CQ*, 66/1, 140-54.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/rationalism-and-the-theatre-in-lucretius/79ACD46917BD3463375E46C3C02A328A>]

Taylor, C.C.W. (1980). *All Perceptions are True*. Schofield, M.; Barnes, J.; Burnyeat, N. (eds). *Doubt and Dogmatism: Studies in Hellenistic Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 105-24 (reprinted in 2008. *Pleasure, Mind, and Soul: Selected Papers in Ancient Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 23-41).  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199226399.001.0001/acprof-9780199226399-chapter-2>]

Tsouna, V. (2016). "Epicurean Preconceptions". *Phronesis*, 61, 160-221.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/61/2/article-p160\\_3.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/61/2/article-p160_3.xml)]

Tutrone, F. (2020). "Coming to Know Epicurus' Truth: Distributed Cognition in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 80-100.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/coming-to-know-epicurus-truth-distributed-cognition-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/B487FA1564AED5FF6308875447FE36F3>]

Vant-Stef, F. (1960). « Sur quelques problèmes de linguistique et de gnoséologie chez les philosophes épicuriens ». *StudClas*, 2, 171-87.

Verde, F. (2008). «*Rebus ab ipsis consequitur sensus*: il tempo in Epicuro». *Elenchos*, 29/1, 91-118.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/29/1/article-p91.xml>]  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2402835>]

Verde, F. (2013). "Epicurean Attitude Toward Geometry: The Sceptical Account". Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 131-50.

Verde, F. (2016). «Ancora sulla matematica epicurea». *CErc*, 46, 21-37.

Verde, F. (2016). «Epicuro nella testimonianza di Cicerone: la dottrina del criterio». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Testo e forme del testo: Ricerche di filologia filosofica*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 335-68.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4235848>]

Verde, F. (2016). «Percezione, errore e residuo percettivo in Aristotele, Epicuro e Alessandro di Afrodisia». *GCFI*, 97/1, 44-62.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4577831>]

Verde, F. (2018). «Ancora sullo statuto veritativo della sensazione in Epicuro». *LPh*. Special Issue: Verde, F.; Catapano, M. (eds). *Hellenistic Theories of Knowledge*, 79-104.  
[<http://lexicon.cnr.it/index.php/LP/article/view/562>]

Verde, F. (2018). «I *pathe* di Epicuro tra epistemologia ed etica». *Elenchos*, 39/2, Special Section: Masi, F.G.; Maso, S.; Verde, F. (eds). *Materialistic Pathe*, 205-30.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p205.xml>]

Vidale, N. (2000). *Affermare negando. Gli argomenti ipotetici con conseguente falso nel De rerum natura*. Bologna: Patron Editore.

Vlastos, G. (1965). "Minimal Parts in Epicurean Atomism". *Isis*, 56, 121-47 (reprinted in 1995. *Studies in Greek Philosophy*, Vol. II: *Socrates, Plato, and their Tradition*, ed. by D. W. Graham. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 285-314).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/227909?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/227909?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Vuillemin, Jules (1985). « Intuitionisme et critères épicuriens ». Brunschwig, J.; Imbert, C.; Roger, A. (éds). *Histoire et structure. A la mémoire de Victor Goldschmidt*. Paris: Vrin, 201-211.

Warren, J. (2006). "Epicureans and the Present Past". *Phronesis*, 51/4, 362-87.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182815?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182815?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Warren, J. (2019). "Epicurus on the False Belief That Sense-Impressions Conflict". *PhilosAnt*, 19, 7-28.

[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3019?lang=en>]

White, M.J. (1989). "What to Say to a Geometer". *GRBS*, 30, 297-311.

[<https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4311>]

Wiśniewski, B. (1963). « La théorie de la connaissance d'Épicure ». *RPh*, 37, 68-75.

Wiśniewski, B. (1973). « Le problème du dualisme chez Démocrite et Épicure ». *Thêta-Pi*, 2, 114-25.

Wolfsdorf, D. (2009). "Epicurus on Εὐφοροσύνη and Ἐνέργεια (DL 10.136)". *Apeiron*, 42, 221-58.

Zinn, P. (2016). "Lucretius On Time and Its Perception". *Kriterion*, 30/2. Special Issue: Fischer, F. (ed.). *New Developments in Philosophy of Time*, 125-51.

[<http://www.kriterion-journal-of-philosophy.org/kriterion/issues/Permanent/Kriterion-zinn-01.pdf>]

Zinn, P. (2018). "Lucretius on Sound". Butler, S.; Nooter, S. (eds). *Sound and the Ancient Senses*. London-New York: Routledge, 130-49.

Zucca, D. (2020). "Lucretius and the Epicurean View That «All Perceptions are True»". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 23-42.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-003.xml>]

## - Method of Scientific Research

Angeli, A. (1985). «L'esattezza scientifica in Epicuro e Filodemo». *BICS*, 15, 63-84.

Asmis, E. (1984). *Epicurus' Scientific Method*. Ithaca-London: Cornell University Press.

Asmis, E. (1996). "Epicurean Semiotics". Manetti, G. (ed.). *Knowledge through Signs. Ancient Semiotic Theories and Practices*. Turnhout: Brepols, 155-85.

Barnes, J. (1988). "Epicurean Signs". *OSAPh*. Supp. Vol., 91-134.

Besnier, B. (1994). « Épicure et la définition ». Jerphagnon, L.; Lagrée, J.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Ainsi parlaient les Anciens : in honorem Jean-Paul Dumont*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 117-30.

Conche, M. (1978). «Comparaison entre la méthode de Démocrite et celle d'Épicure». *Raison présente*, 47, 17-40.

[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/raipr\\_0033-9075\\_1978\\_num\\_47\\_1\\_1946](https://www.persee.fr/doc/raipr_0033-9075_1978_num_47_1_1946)]

Detel, W. (1975). "Αἴσθησις und λογισμός. Zwei Probleme der epikureischen Methodologie". *AGPh*, 57, 21-35.

Farrington, B. (1954). "Epicureanism and Science". *Scientia*, 48/89, 69-72.

Giovacchini, J. (2003). « Le refus épicurien de la définition ». *Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15, 71-89.

[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01267445>]

Giovacchini, J. (2007). *La méthode épicurienne et son modèle médical*. PhD dissertation, Université Paris X Nanterre.

[<http://www.theses.fr/2007PA100016>]

Jürss, F. (1994). "Wissenschaft und Erklärungspluralismus im Epikureismus". *Philologus*, 138/2, 235-51.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/138/2/article-p235.xml>]

[<https://depositonce.tu-berlin.de/handle/11303/8466>]

Morel, P.-M. (2015). «Esperienza e dimostrazione in Epicuro». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 132-47.

Tasch, P. (1947-1948). "Quantitative Measurements and the Greek Atomists". *Isis*, 38, 185-9.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/226112?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/226112?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Wasserstein, A. (1978). "Epicurean Science". *Hermes*, 106, 484-94.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4476074.pdf>]

## - Linguistic Theory

Arrighetti, G. (2010). «Epicuro, la KYPIA ΛΕΞΙΣ e i ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΑ». *CErc*, 40, 17-22.

Atherton, C. (2005). "Lucretius on What Language Is Not". Frede, D.; Inwood, B. (éds). *Language and Learning: Philosophy of Language in the Hellenistic Age. Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 101-38.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/language-and-learning/lucretius-on-what-language-is-not/AF1306089EE55D06D08961955C639B64>]

Atherton, C. (2009). "Epicurean Philosophy of Language". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 197-215.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicurean-philosophy-of-language/32441559F89F6700FA3337BE49CFE560>]

Brunschwig, J. (1977). « Epicure et le problème du 'langage privé' ». *Revue des Sciences Humaines*, 163, 157-77.

[<https://www.cairn.info/etudes-sur-les-philosophies-hellenistiques--9782130467922-page-43.htm>]

Capasso, M. (1984). «Prassifane, Epicuro e Filodemo. A proposito di Diog. Laert. X 13 e Philod. Poem. V IX 10-X 1». *Elenchos*, 5, 391-415.

Chilton, C.W. (1962). "The Epicurean Theory of the Origin of Language. A Study of Diogenes of Oenoanda, Fragments X and XI William". *AJPh*, 83, 159-67.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/292213.pdf>]

Danek, Z. (1986). «De origine linguae quid ab Epicuro et Lucretio iudicatum sit». *Meander*, 41, 225-34.

De Lacy, Ph. (1939). "The Epicurean Analysis of Language". *AJPh*, 60, 85-92.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/290884.pdf>]

Everson, S. (1994). "Epicurus on Mind and Language". Everson, S. (ed.). *Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 74-108.

Giannantoni, G. (1994). «Epicurei e stoici sul linguaggio». AA.VV. *Storia, poesia e pensiero nel mondo antico. Studi in onore di Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.

Glidden, D.K. (1979). "Epicurus on Self-Perception". *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 16, 297-306.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20009771?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20009771?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Glidden, D.K. (1983). "Epicurean Semantics". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 185-226.

Hossenfelder, M. (1991). "Epikureer". Schmitter, P. (Hrsg.). *Geschichte der Sprachtheorie, II: Sprachtheorien der abendländischen Antike*. Tübingen: Narr, 217-37.

Isnardi Parente, M. (1976). «Χρόνος ἐπινοούμενος e χρόνος οὐ νοούμενος in Epicuro, *PHerc.* 1413». *PP*, 31, 168-75.

Jaekel, S. (1988). "Philosophisch orientierte Ansätze einer Sprachtheorie bei Gorgias, Isokrates und Epikur". *Arctos*, 22, 43-57.

Long, A.A. (1971). "Aisthesis, Prolepsis and Linguistic Theory in Epicurus". *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies*, 18, 114-33.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646282?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646282?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1971.tb00115.x>]

Ludger, A. (1948). *Das Wahrheits-und Hypothesenproblem bei Demokrit, Epikur und Zenon dem Epikureer*. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Mackey, J.L. (2015). "New Evidence for the Epicurean Theory of the Origin of Language: Philodemus, *On Poems V (PHerc.* 403, fr. 5, col. I)". *CErc*, 45, 67-84.

Milanese, G. (1989). *Lucida carmina: comunicazione e scrittura da Epicuro a Lucrezio*. Milano: Vita e Pensiero.

Milanese, G. (1996). «Aspetti del rapporto tra denominazione e referenzialità in Epicuro e nella tradizione epicurea». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 269-86.

Reinhardt, T. (2008). "Epicurus and Lucretius on the Origins of Language". *CQ*, 58/1, 127-40.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/epicurus-and-lucretius-on-the-origins-of-language/FCBC49D8FCFA21D1395FA2ED439DF95E>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564128?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564128?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Rispoli, G.M. (1981). «Suono ed articolazione nella teoria epicurea del linguaggio». Bagnall, R.S. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XVIIth International Congress of Papyrology*. Chico: Scholars Press, 173-81.

Stevens, B. (2008). "Symbolic Language and Indexical Cries: A Semiotic Reading of Lucretius 5.1028-90". *AJPh*, 129/4, 529-57.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/27566729.pdf>]

Tepedino Guerra, A. (1990). «Il contributo di Metrodoro di Lampsaco alla formazione della teoria epicurea del linguaggio». *CErc*, 20, 17-25.

Vant-Stef, F. (1960). « Sur quelques problèmes de linguistique et de gnoséologie chez les philosophes épicuriens ». *StudClas*, 2, 171-87.

Verlinskij, A.L. (1994-1995). “Οὐ συνωρόμενα πράγματα (Epicurus, *Epistula ad Herodotum*, 76): On Epicurus’ Theory of the Emergence of Language”. *Hyperboreus*, 1/2, 46-86.

Verlinskij, A.L. (1998). “The Epicureans Against the ‘First Inventors’: Lucr. V, 1041-1055; Diog. Oen. fr. 12 Smith; Sext. Emp. *Adv. math.* IX, 30-33”. *Hyperboreus*, 4/2, 302-39.

Verlinskij, A.L. (2005). “Epicurus and His Predecessors on the Origin of Language”. Frede, D.; Inwood, B. (éds). *Language and Learning: Philosophy of Language in the Hellenistic Age. Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 56-100.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/language-and-learning/epicurus-and-his-predecessors-on-the-origin-of-language/9E772940985B7BD6C4C464BBCF9EA90D>]

### - Rhetoric, Poetic and Style:

Arrighetti, G. (1998). «Gli Epicurei, la poesia e Lucrezio». *Athenaeum*, 86, 13-33.

Arrighetti, G. (2013). «Forme della comunicazione in Epicuro». Erler, M.; Heßler, J.E.; Blumenfelder, B. (Hrsgg). *Argument und literarische Form in antiker Philosophie. Akten des 3. Kongresses der Gesellschaft für antike Philosophie 2010*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 315-337.

Asmis, E. (1983). “Rhetoric and Reason in Lucretius”. *American Journal of Philosophy*, 104, 36-66.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/293758.pdf>]

Asmis, E. (1995). “Epicurean Poetics”. Obbink, D. (ed.). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus and Horace*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 15-34.

Beer, B. (2009). *Lukrez und Philodem: Poetische Argumentation und poetologischer Diskurs*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag.  
[<https://www.zora.uzh.ch/id/eprint/31866/>]

Blank, D.L. (2001). « La philologie comme arme philosophique : la connaissance technique de la rhétorique dans l'épicurisme ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 241-57.

Blank, D.L. (2007). “Aristotle’s «Academic course on Rhetoric» and the end of Philodemus, *On Rhetoric VIII*”. *CErc* 37, 5-47.

Bollack, J. (1996). « Le langage philosophique d'Épicure ». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 169-95.

Boyancé, P. (1962). « Épicure, la poésie et la Vénus de Lucrèce ». *REA*, 64, 404-10.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea\\_0035-2004\\_1962\\_num\\_64\\_3\\_3683](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea_0035-2004_1962_num_64_3_3683)]

Brescia, C. (1949). «Contributo allo studio linguistico dei testi epicurei». *Athenaeum*, 281-98.

Brescia, C. (1955). *Ricerche sulla lingua e sullo stile di Epicuro*. Napoli: Libreria Scientifica Editrice.

Classen, J. (1968). “Poetry and Rhetoric in Lucretius”. *TTAPA*, 99, 77-118.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/2935834.pdf>]

Damiani, V. (2015). “Die kommunikativen Merkmale von Epikurs Kompendien und ihr Verhältnis zum Traktat Περὶ φύσεως”. *WJA*, 39, 197-236.  
[<http://wuerzburger-jahrbuecher.de/abstract-damiani-392015/>]

Damiani, V. (2019). «Considerazioni su forme e funzioni dell'autocitazione negli scritti di Epicuro». *Parole Rubate. Rivista internazionale di studi sulla citazione*, 19, 11-31.

[[http://www.parolerubate.unipr.it/fascicolo19\\_pdf/F19\\_2\\_damiani\\_epicuro.pdf](http://www.parolerubate.unipr.it/fascicolo19_pdf/F19_2_damiani_epicuro.pdf)]

Damiani, V. (2020). "Das Verhältnis zwischen Adressat und Adressant in der Wissensvermittlung: Kommunikationsstrategien in Briefproömien und Widmungsbriefen". Müller, G.; Retsch, S.; Schenk, J. (Hrsgg). *Adressat und Adressant. Kommunikationsstrategien im antiken Brief*. Berlin-New York: De Gruyter, 49-68.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110676303/10.1515/9783110676303-003.xml>]

Delattre, D. (2004). « Un modèle magistral d'écriture didactique : la *Lettre à Hérodote* d'Epicure ». Cerasuolo, S. (a cura di). *Mathesis e Mneme. Studi in memoria di Marcello Gigante*, vol. I. Napoli: Pubblicazioni del Dipartimento di Filologia Classica "Francesco Araldi" dell'Università di Napoli Federico II, vol. I, 149-69.

Delattre, D.; Delattre, J. (2009). « Sens et puissance de l'abrégé dans l'enseignements d'Épicure ». Toulze-Morrisset, F. (éd.). *Formes de l'écriture de la pensée dans la culture gréco-romaine*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Université Charles-de-Gaulle-Lille 3, 359-81.

De Sanctis, D. (2011). «Ὡ φίλτατε: il destinatario nelle opere del Giardino». *CErc*, 41, 217-30.

De Sanctis, D. (2016). «Questioni di stile: osservazioni sul linguaggio e sulla comunicazione del sapere nelle lettere maggiori di Epicuro». De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (eds). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 55-73.

Di Matteo, T. (2000). «La retorica da Epicuro a Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 81-8.

Dorandi, T. (1994). «Epicuro contro Aristotele sulla retorica». Fortenbaugh, W.W.; Mirhady, D.C. (eds). *Peripatetic Rhetoric after Aristotle*. New Brunswick, London: Transaction Publishers, 111-20.

Dorandi, T. (2004). «Aspetti della tradizione 'gnomologica' di Epicuro e degli epicurei». Funghi, M.S. (a cura di). *Aspetti di letteratura gnomica nel mondo antico II*. Firenze: Olschki, 271-88.

[<https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822254009>]

Else, G.F. (1930). "Lucretius and the Aesthetic Attitude". *HSPH*, 41, 149-82.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310627.pdf>]

Erbì, M. (2009). «Il retore e la città nella polemica di Filodemo verso Diogene di Babilonia *PHerc.* 1004, coll. 64-70». *CErc*, 39, 119-38.

Erbì, M. (2011). «La retorica nell'Epicureismo: una riflessione». *CErc*, 41, 187-205.

Erbì, M. (2012). «Il sapiente e il retore in Filodemo, *Retorica VII (PHerc. 1004, col. 50)*». *CErc*, 42, 189-92.

Erbì, M. (2015). «Epicuro, Filodemo e la Retorica di Aristotele». Centrone, B. (a cura di). *La Retorica di Aristotele e la dottrina delle passioni*. Pisa: Pisa University Press, 33-61.

[<https://www.pisauniversitypress.it/scheda-libro/bruno-centrone/la-retorica-di-aristotele-e-la-dottrina-delle-passioni-9788867414512-233581.html>]

Erbì, M. (2016). «Lettere dal *Kepos*: l'impegno di Epicuro per i *philoï*». De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (eds). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 75-94.

Erler, M. (2009). "Parrhesie und Ironie. Platons Sokrates und die epikureische Tradition". Gleis, R.F. (Hg.). *Ironie. Griechische und lateinische Fallstudien*. Trier: Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 59-75. Engl. transl. (2011). "Parrhesy and irony: Plato's Sokrates and the Epicurean tradition". King, R.A.H.; Schilling, D. (eds).

*How Should One Live? Comparing Ethics in Ancient China and Greco-Roman Antiquity*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 155-69.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110252897/10.1515/9783110252897.155.xml>]

Erlor, M. (2013). "Chain of Proof in Lucretius, Sextus, and Plato: Rhetorical Tradition and Philosophy". Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 25-43.

Erlor, M. (2016). "Aphormen labein. Rhetoric and Epicurean Exegesis of Plato". De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 113-28.

Essler, H. (2016). "Zusammenhang bei Einzelsätzen. Zum assoziativen Aufbau der epikureischen κύρια δόξα". Männlein-Robert, I.; Rother, W.; Schorn, S.; Tornau, C. (Hrsgg). *Philosophus Orator. Rhetorische Strategien und Strukturen in philosophischer Literatur*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 145-60.

Essler, H. (2019). "Copy-Paste in der Antike". *ZPE*, 212, 1-24.

[<https://iris.unive.it/handle/10278/3721415#XyKGOi1aZQI>]

Falk, K. (1962). "Lucretius as an Epicurean Poet". *HSPH*, 66, 254-6.

Ferrario, M. (1981). «La concezione della retorica da Epicuro a Filodemo». Bagnall, R.S. (ed.). *Proceedings of the XVIth International Congress of Papyrology*. Chico: Scholars Press, 145-52.

Giancotti, F. (1960). «La poetica epicurea in Lucrezio, Cicerone ed altri». *Ciceroniana*, 3, 3-31.

[<https://www.ojs.unito.it/index.php/COL/article/view/1462/1293>]

Giancotti, F. (1972). «Postille sui rapporti fra epicureismo e poesia in Epicuro e in Lucrezio». *GIF*, 24, 192-223.

Heidsieck, F. (1985). «Épicure : un langage pour la sagesse ». *Pratiques de langage dans l'Antiquité*. Grenoble: Univ. des Sc. Sociales, 77-97.

Heßler, J.E. (2016). "τὸν σοφὸν οὐ δοκεῖ ῥητορεύσειν καλῶς? Rhetorik in Texten Epikurs". I. Männlein-Robert, I.; Rother, W.; Schorn, S.; Tornau, C. (Hrsgg). *Philosophus orator. Rhetorische Strategien und Strukturen in philosophischer Literatur*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 138-58.

Heßler, J.E. (2016). "Das Gedenken an Verstorbene in der Schule Epikurs in der Tradition der ἐπιτάφιοι λόγοι". De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 95-172.

Heßler, J.E. (2018). "Protrepic and Epistolography: Epicurus". Alieva, O.; Kotzé, A.; van der Meeren, S. (eds). *When Wisdom Calls: Philosophical Protrepic in Antiquity*. Turnhout: Brepols Publishers, 155-75.

[[http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod\\_id=IS-9782503568553-1](http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod_id=IS-9782503568553-1)]

Heßler, J.E. (2020). "ἄφθαρτός μοι περιπάτει καὶ ἡμᾶς ἀφάρτους διανοοῦ. Korrespondenz unter gottgleichen Freunden und Lehrbriefe in der Schule Epikurs". Müller, G.M.; Retsch, S.; Schenk, J. (Hrsgg). *Adressat und Adressant: Kommunikationsstrategien im antiken Brief*. Boston-Berlin: de Gruyter, 27-48.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110676303/10.1515/9783110676303-002.xml>]

Indelli, G. (2014). «Lingua e stile nell'opera *Sull'ira* di Filodemo (*PHerc.* 182)». *CErc*, 44, 109-15.

Indelli, G. (2010). «Il lessico di Filodemo in alcune opere morali: gli ἅπαξ λεγόμενα». *CErc*, 40, 87-93.

Jufresa Muñoz, M. (1978). «Los epicúreos romanos y la retórica». *Actas del V Congreso español de estudios clásicos, Madrid 20 al 25 de abril de 1976*. Madrid: Publicaciones de la Sociedad Española de Estudios Clásicos, 377-81.

[<http://www.estudiosclasicos.org/publicaciones/actas-de-congresos-de-la-seec/actas-del-v-congreso-espanol-de-estudios-clasicos/>]

Kleve, K. (1963). "Zur epikureischen Terminologie". *SO*, 38, 25-31.

[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397676308590548>]

Kleve, K.; Longo Auricchio, F. (1992). "Honey From the Garden of Epicurus". Capasso, M. (a cura di). *Papiri letterari greci e latini*. Galatina: Congedo, 211-26.

Leone, G. (1996). «Questioni di terminologia filosofica: una chiave di lettura delle polemiche di Epicuro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 239-59.

Leone, G. (2014). «Εἶδωλα e nuvole: su alcune metafore e similitudini in Epicuro». *CErc*, 44, 5-18.

Longo Auricchio, F. (1984). «Epicureismo e Scetticismo sulla retorica». *Atti del XVII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia*, Napoli: Centro internazionale per lo studio dei papiri ercolanesi, 453-72.

Milanese, G. (1989). *Lucida carmina. Comunicazione e scrittura da Epicuro a Lucrezio*. Milano: Vita e Pensiero.

Obbink, D. (1995). "How to Read Poetry About Gods". Obbink, D. (ed.). *Philodemus and Poetry: Poetic Theory and Practice in Lucretius, Philodemus and Horace*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 189-209.

Pace, N. (2009). «La poetica epicurea di Filodemo di Gadara». *RhM*, 152, 235-64.

Pasoli, E. (1970). «Ideologia nella poesia. Lo stile di Lucrezio». *L&S*, 5, 367-85.

Porter, J.I. (1996). "In Search of an Epicurean Aesthetics". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 611-28.

Rispoli, G.M. (2005). «Tragedia e tragici nei papiri ercolanesi». *Vichiana*, 7/1, 195-230.

Romaschko, S.A. (1996). "The Communicative Patterns and the Language of the Epicurean Texts". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 262-7.

Ronconi, A. (1963). «Appunti di estetica epicurea». AA.VV. *Miscellanea di studi alessandrini in memoria di A. Rostagni*. Torino: Bottega d'Erasmus, 7-24.

Schrijvers, P.H. (2007). "Propagandistic Strategies in Lucretius' *De rerum natura*". Harder, M.A.; MacDonald, A.A.; Reinink, G.J. (eds). *Calliope's Classroom: Studies in Didactic Poetry From Antiquity to the Renaissance*. Leuven-Dudley: Peeters, 49-69.

Snyder, J.McI. (1973). "The Poetry of Philodemus the Epicurean". *CJ*, 68, 346-53.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/3295958.pdf>]

Spinelli, E. (2010). «Breviari di salvezza: comunicazione e scienza in Epicuro». Introduction to Verde, F. (a cura di). *Epicuro: Epistola a Erodoto*. Roma: Carocci, 9-24.

Tescari, O. (1937). «Per la interpretazione di un passo di Massimo Tiro. Epicuro e la poesia». *Convivium*, 212-214.

Tulli, M. (2000). «Le epitomi di Epicuro e la trasmissione del sapere nel Medioplatonismo». Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30. September-3. Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 109-21.

Tulli, M. (2014). «Epicuro a Pitocle: la forma didattica del testo». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Philia: dieci contributi per Gabriele Burzacchini*. Bologna: Pàtron, 67-78.

Widmann, H. (1935). *Beiträge zur Syntax Epikurs*. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer.

## - Philosophy of Mind

Annas, J.E. (1992). *Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind*. Berkeley-Los Angeles-London: University of California Press.

[<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/273d/b606fecd7493c135b0bf77c57e51ff126752.pdf>]

Annas, J.E. (1993). "Epicurus on Agency". Brunschwig, J.; Nussbaum, M.C. (eds). *Passions and Perceptions: Studies in Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind. Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 53-71.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/passions-and-perceptions/epicurus-on-agency/B51E9EB5815BF5F4E0F1D9B5E79D401A>]

Asmis, E. (1970). *The Epicurean Theory of Free Will and its Origins in Aristotle*. PhD dissertation, Yale University.

Asmis, E. (1990). "Free Action and the Swerve". *OSAPh*, 8, 275-90.

Baltzly, D.C.; Wendlandt, L. (2004). "Knowing Freedom: Epicurean Philosophy Beyond Atomism and the Swerve". *Phronesis*, 49/1, 41-71.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/49/1/article-p41\\_3.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/49/1/article-p41_3.xml?language=en)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182743?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182743?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Björck, G. (1946). « Όναρ ιδεῖν. De la perception de rêve chez les anciens ». *Eranos*, 44, 306-14.

Bobzien, S. (2000). "Did Epicurus Discover the Free-Will Problem?". *OSAPh*, 19, 287-337.

Clay, D. (1980). "An Epicurean Interpretation of Dreams". *AJPh*, 101, 342-65.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/294276?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/294276?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Cucchiarelli, A. (1994). «Lucrezio, *de rer. Nat.* IV 984: *voluntas* o *voluptas*? Una difficoltà testuale e l'interpretazione epicureo-lucreziana del fenomeno onirico». *SIFC*, 12, 50-102.

De Caro, M. (2020). "Machiavelli's Lucretian View of Free Will". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 201-18.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-011.xml>]

Droz-Vincent, G. (1993). « La théorie épicurienne du rêve ». Besnier, B. (éd.). *Scepticisme et exégèse : hommage à Camille Perrot*. Fontenay-aux-Roses: École Normale Supérieure de Fontenay/Saint-Cloud, 165-84.

Englert, W.G. (1981). *Aristotle and Epicurus on Voluntary Action*. PhD dissertation, Stanford University of Palo Alto.

Englert, W.G. (1987). *Epicurus on the Swerve and Voluntary Action*. Atlanta: Scholars Press.

Everson, S. (1994). "Epicurus on Mind and Language". Everson, S. (ed.). *Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 74-108.

Fasce, S. (2015). «L'età della vita racchiusa in una lacrima: *rara lacrima* (Hor. *carm.* IV 1): con elementi della teoria epicurea del sogno». Isetta, S. (ed.). *FuturAntico*. 10, «... Neanche una corona dura d'età in età» (*Prv* 27, 24): pagine sulle età della vita. Genova: Erredi Grafiche Ed., 67-84.

Gigandet, A. (2015). «L'âme défaite : la théorie du sommeil dans l'épicurisme ». Leroux, V.; Palmieri, N.; Pigné, C. (éds). *Le sommeil : approches philosophiques et médicales de l'Antiquité à la Renaissance*. Paris: Champion, 85-96.

Gigandet, A. (2017). « Diogène, Lucrèce et la théorie épicurienne de l'imaginaire. Fragment 9 -; *De rerum natura* IV 971-993 ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 207-20.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978831>]

Gulley, N. (1990). "Lucretius on Free Will". *SO*, 55, 37-52.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397679008590832?journalCode=sosl20#:~:text=On%20the%20one%20hand%20Lucretius,lies%20in%20one's%20own%20will.>]

Güremen, R. (2017). "Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Epicurean Epistemology of Dreams". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 187-206.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978830>]

Hammerstaedt, J. (2003). «Atomismo e libertà nel XXV libro Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *CErc*, 33, 151-8.

Huby, P.M. (1969). "The Epicureans, Animals, and Freewill". *Apeiron*, 3/1, 17-9.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/3/1/article-p17.xml>]

Hunter, H. (2014). "Lucretius on Swerves and Freedom". *Dionysius*, 32, 71-81.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-on-freedom/lucretius-on-the-swerve-and-voluntas/7C55EED9EB466C59D74C9849573A2EBF>]

Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «Stoici, Epicurei e il *motus sine causa*». *RSF*, 35, 23-31.

Johnson, M.R. (2013). "Nature, Spontaneity, and Voluntary Action in Lucretius". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrok, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 99-130.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-5>]  
[<http://www.montejohnson.info/PDFs/Johnson2013a.pdf>]

Kleve, Knut (1980). «Id facit exiguum clinamen». *SO*, 55, 27-31.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397678008590736?journalCode=sosl20>]

Konstan, D. (2015). "Freedom of the Will in Epicurus". *BSFI*, 215, 3-14.  
[<https://www.sfi.it/files/download/Bollettini/BOLLETTINO%20215.pdf>]

Masi, F.G. (2005). «La nozione epicurea di ἀπογεγεννημένα». *CErc*, 35, 27-51.

Masi, F.G. (2006). *Epicuro e la filosofia della mente. Il XXV libro dell'opera Sulla natura*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag.

Masi, F.G. (2013). «Indeterminismo e autodeterminazione: Aristotele ed Epicuro». *Lexis*, 31, 213-37.  
[[http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20\\_Masi\\_Lexis-31-2013\\_estratto.pdf](http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20_Masi_Lexis-31-2013_estratto.pdf)]

- Masi, F.G. (2014). «Gli atomi ricordano? Fisicalismo e memoria nella psicologia di Epicuro». *AntPhilos*, 8, 121-41.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/3015043>]
- Masi, F.G. (2015). «Dagli occhi alla mente: il cammino tortuoso degli *eidola*». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 107-34.
- Masi, F.G. (2015). “Memory, Self and Self-Determination. The Mind-Body Relation in Epicurus’ Psychology”. De Brasi, D.; Föllinger, S. (Hrsgg). *Anthropologie in Antike und Gegenwart. Biologische und philosophische Entwürfe vom Menschen*. Freiburg: Verlag Karl Alber, 203-30.
- Masi, F.G. (2017). «Sognare oggetti nascosti: la teoria onirica epicurea». Alesse, F.; Fermani, A.; Maso, S. (a cura di). *Studi su ellenismo e filosofia romana*. Roma: Ed. di Storia e Letteratura, 65-94.
- Masi, F.G. (2018). «Passione e immaginazione in Lucrezio: il caso dell’inganno onirico». *Elenchos*, 39, 257-79.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p257.xml>]
- Masi, F.G. (2020). “Lucretius and the Mind-Body Relation: the Case of Dreams”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 43-60.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-004.xml>]
- Masi, F.G. (2020). «L’origine dell’errore e del turbamento emotivo nei sogni». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *‘Vedere’ l’invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 59-70.
- Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (2018). “Mind in an Atomistic World. Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition”. Sisko, J. (ed.). *Philosophy of Mind in Antiquity, The History of the Philosophy of Mind*, Vol. 1. London-New York: Routledge, 236-57.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780429508219/chapters/10.4324/9780429508219-13>]
- Maso, S. (2014). “*Motus animi voluntarius*. The Ciceronian Epicurus from Libertarian Free Will to Free Choice”. Destrée, P.; Salles, R.; Zingano, M. (eds). *What is Up to Us? Studies on Agency and Responsibility in Ancient Philosophy*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 235-50.
- O’Keefe, T. (2002). “The Reductionist and Compatibilist Argument of Epicurus’ *On Nature*, Book 25”. *Phronesis*, 47/2, 153-86.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182694?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182694?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Purinton, J.S. (1996). “Epicurus on the Degrees of Responsibility of ‘Things Begotten’ for Their Actions: a New Reading of *On nature* XXV”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 155-68.
- Purinton, J.S. (1999). “Epicurus on ‘Free Volition’ and the Atomic Swerve”. *Phronesis*, 44/4, 253-99.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182625?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182625?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Russell, D.C. (2000). “Epicurus and Lucretius on Saving Agency”. *Phoenix*, 54/3-4, 226-43.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1089057#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1089057#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Schrijvers, P.H. (1976). « La pensée d’Épicure et Lucrèce sur le sommeil (*DRN* IV, 907-961, Scolie ad Épicure, *Ep. ad Her.* 66): un chapitre des *Parva Naturalia* épicuriens ». Bollack, J.; Laks, A. (éds). *Études sur l’Épicurisme antique*. Lille: Publications de l’Université de Lille III, 229-59.

Sedley, D.N. (1983). "Epicurus' Refutation of Determinism". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ: *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli., vol. I, 11-51.

Sedley, D.N. (1988). "Epicurean Anti-Reductionism". Barnes, J.; Mignucci, M. (eds). *Matter and Metaphysics: Fourth symposium Hellenisticum*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 295-327.

Sieroń, J. (1983). «Epicurus de hominum libertate quid docuerit». *Meander*, 38, 187-97.

Tsouna, V. (2018). "Epicurean dreams". *Elenchos*, 39/2, 231-56.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p231.xml>]

Verlinskij, A.L. (1996). "Do Animals Have Freewill? Epicurus, *On Nature*, book XXV, 20 B and 20 j Long-Sedley". *Hyperboreus*, 2/1, 125-38.

Wada, T. (2005). "Epicurus on the Atomic Swerve and the Voluntariness of Action". *JCS*, 53, 114-24.

### - Psychology

Boyancé, P. (1958). « La théorie de l'âme chez Lucrèce ». *Bulletin de l'Association Guillaume Budé*, 17, 30-49.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_1247-6862\\_1958\\_num\\_17\\_4\\_4173](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_1247-6862_1958_num_17_4_4173)]

Diano, C. (1974). «La psicologia di Epicuro e la teoria delle passioni». Diano, C. *Scritti epicurei*. Firenze: Olschki, 129-280.

Drozdek, A. (2010). "The Problem of the Immortality of the Soul in Epicurus". *Myrtia*, 25, 43-52.  
[<https://revistas.um.es/myrtia/article/view/131581>]

Fournier, M. (2018). "Epicurus' Panpsychism". *Dionysius*, n.s., 36, 25-37.  
[<https://ojs.library.dal.ca/dionysius/article/view/8804>]

Gee, E. (2020). "The Rising and Setting Soul in Lucretius, *De Rerum Natura* 3". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 195-216.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/rising-and-setting-soul-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura-3/9A365434A704C3E8AAD6836616E2C851>]

Gigon, O. (1985). "Zur Psychologie Epikurs". Flashar, H.; Gigon, O. (éds). *Aspects de la philosophie hellénistique: Entretiens sur l'Antiquité classique XXXII, Vandœuvres-Genève 26-31 août 1985*. Vandœuvres-Genève: Fondation Hardt, 67-98.

Gill, C. (2009). "Psychology". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 125-41.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/B8F667743847BCF44E146EBEC60202E7>]

Grilli, A. (1983). «Διάρθρις in Epicuro». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 93-109.

Kerferd, G.B. (1971). "Epicurus' Doctrine of the Soul". *Phronesis*, 16, 80-96; French transl. (2003). « La doctrine de l'âme chez Épicure ». Bénatouil, T.; Laurant, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses Universitaires de Strasbourg, 255-81.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181858?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181858?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181858?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181858?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Kleve, K. (1961). "Wie kann man an das nicht existierende denken? Ein Problem der epikureischen Psychologie". *SO*, 37, 45-57.  
[\[https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397676108590533\]](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397676108590533)
- Konstan, D. (1973). *Some Aspects of Epicurean Psychology*. Leiden: Brill.
- Konstan, D. (2007). « L'âme ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 99-116.
- Konstan, D. (2007). *Lucrezio e la psicologia epicurea*. Milano: Vita e Pensiero; Engl. transl. (2008). *A Life Worthy of the Gods: The Materialist Psychology of Epicurus*. Las Vegas: Parmenides Publishing.  
[\[https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-libro/david-konstan/lucrezio-e-la-psicologia-epicurea-9788834314005-141668.html\]](https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-libro/david-konstan/lucrezio-e-la-psicologia-epicurea-9788834314005-141668.html)
- Konstan, D. (2016). "Where in the Psyche Are Mental Pleasures Experienced?". De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademia Verlag, 151-8.
- Lathière, A.-M. (1972). « Lucrèce traducteur d'Épicure : *animus, anima* dans le livre 3 et 4 du *De rerum natura* ». *Phoenix*, 26, 123-33.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1087712?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1087712?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (2018). "Mind in an Atomistic World. Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition". Sisko, J. (ed.). *Philosophy of Mind in Antiquity, The History of the Philosophy of Mind*, Vol. 1. London-New York: Routledge, 236-57.  
[\[https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780429508219/chapters/10.4324/9780429508219-13\]](https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780429508219/chapters/10.4324/9780429508219-13)
- Mehl, D. (1999). "The Intricate Translation of the Epicurean Doctrine of ψυχή in Book 3 of Lucretius". *Philologus*, 143/2, 272-87.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/143/2/article-p272.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/143/2/article-p272.xml)
- Mewaldt, J. (1927). *Die geistige Einheit Epikurs*. Halle: Max Niemeyer Verlag.
- Moreau, P.-F. (2002). *Lucrece : L'âme*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.  
[\[https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce\\_L%C3%A2me\]](https://www.puf.com/content/Lucr%C3%A8ce_L%C3%A2me)
- Morel, P.-M. (2009). *Épicure : La nature et la raison*. Paris: Vrin.
- Németh, A. (2017). *Epicurus on the Self*. London-New York: Routledge.  
[\[https://www.routledge.com/Epicurus-on-the-Self/Nemeth/p/book/9780367496890\]](https://www.routledge.com/Epicurus-on-the-Self/Nemeth/p/book/9780367496890)
- Nervi, M. (2007). «Lucrezio, 3, 487-505: il morbo sacro e l'anima materiale». *MD*, 59, 173-83.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2209910\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2209910)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236369?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236369?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Novak, M.d.G. (1988). «Epicuro e a natureza da alma». *Classica*, 1, 69-77.  
[\[https://revista.classica.org.br/classica/article/view/644\]](https://revista.classica.org.br/classica/article/view/644)
- Repici, L. (2008). «Il pensiero dell'anima in Epicuro e Lucrezio». Alesse, F.; Aronadio, F.; Dalfino, M.C.; Simeoni, L.; Spinelli, E. (a cura di). *Anthropine sophia. Studi di filologia e storiografia filosofica in memoria di Gabriele Giannantoni*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 379-406.
- Sanders, K.R. (2008). "Mens and emotion: *De rerum natura* 3.136-46". *CQ*, 58/1, 362-6.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/mens-and-emotion-de-rerum-natura-313646/C02487F61637FED837CA63E663C8C6E0\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/mens-and-emotion-de-rerum-natura-313646/C02487F61637FED837CA63E663C8C6E0)

Scalas, G. (2019). *L'âme avec le corps : la théorie épicurienne du vivant en dialogue avec Aristote*. PhD dissertation, Université Charles de Gaulle - Lille III.  
[<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/265195965.pdf>]

Spinelli, E. (2015). «Sesto Empirico: contro il corpo, contro l'anima. L'uomo non può essere criterio». *Syzetesis*, 2, 7-14.  
[[http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/1/4%20articolo%20Spinelli\\_2015\\_1.pdf](http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/1/4%20articolo%20Spinelli_2015_1.pdf)]

Spinelli, E. (2015). «La traccia dell'anima: spunti critici di psicologia pirroniana». Canone, E. (a cura di). *Anima-corpo alla luce dell'etica. Antichi e moderni*. Firenze: Olschki, 97-116.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3163037>]  
[<http://www.iliesi.cnr.it/pandemia/testi/Spinelli%202015.pdf>]

Tutrone, F. (2014). "The Body of the Soul: Lucretian Echoes in the Renaissance Theories on the Psychic Substance and Its Organic Repartition". *Gesnerus*, 71/2, 234-6.  
[<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25707096/>]

Verde, F. (2015). «Monismo psicologico e dottrina dell'anima in Lucrezio ed Epicuro». Canone, E. (ed.). *Anima-corpo alla luce dell'etica. Antichi e moderni*. Firenze: Olschki, 49-64.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/3163031>]

Verde, F. (2017). «Accio, Lucrezio e la psicologia di Epicuro: osservazioni su *Trag.* 296 R.<sup>3</sup> (589 Dangel)». *MH*, 74/2, 158-71.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/45154447?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/45154447?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Verde, F. (2017). «Gli Epicurei e la psicologia di Epicuro». Alesse, F.; Fermani, A.; Maso, S. (a cura di). *Studi di filosofia ellenistica e romana*. Roma: Ed. di Storia e Letteratura, 33-64.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4143293>]

Verde, F. (2020). "The Partition of the Soul: Epicurus, Demetrius Lacon, and Diogenes of Oinoanda". Inwood, B.; Warren, J. (eds). *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 89-112.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/body-and-soul-in-hellenistic-philosophy/partition-of-the-soul/4850A0F3B1BF4EAF46AE2C6CA370733DB>]

Villa, B. (1996). «Lucrezio, *De rerum natura* III, 288-322: la disposizione naturale al timore». *AAT*, 130, 129-53.

## 4.2

### - Epicurean Physical Theory

Adorno, F. (1980). «Epicuro *Epistola a Erodoto* 39,7-40,3. Vuoto, spazio-*chora*, intattilità, luogo, atomi e corpi nel vocabolario di Epicuro. Un'interpretazione di *Timeo* 52 A-D. di Platone e di *Fisica* 209B9-16 di Aristotele ». *Elenchos*, 1, 245-75.

Adorno, F. (1983). «Fisica epicurea, fisica platonica e fisica aristotelica». *Elenchos*, 4, 207-33.

Adorno, F. (1996). «Epicuro nel suo momento storico: la 'fisica' come scienza: Epicuro da Platone ad Aristotele». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 65-86.

Alfieri Vittorio, E. (1959). «Il problema dell'atomo come principio intelligibile». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 61-8.

- Avotins, I. (1980). "Alexander of Aphrodisias on Vision in the Atomists". *CQ*, 30, 429-54.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/alexander-of-aphrodisias-on-vision-in-the-atomists/2F7B7FF261EFD157BB1894564C4BE4FF\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/alexander-of-aphrodisias-on-vision-in-the-atomists/2F7B7FF261EFD157BB1894564C4BE4FF)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638514?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638514?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Barigazzi, A. (1958). «Cinetica degli ΕΙΔΩΛΑ nel ΠΕΡΙ ΦΥΣΕΩΣ di Epicuro». *PP*, 13, 249-76.
- Barigazzi, A. (1959). «Il concetto del tempo nella fisica atomistica». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 29-59.
- Barrio, J. (1961). «El clinamen epicureo». *Er*, 20, 319-36.
- Betegh, G. (2006). "Epicurus' argument for atomism". *OSAPh*, 30, 261-84.  
[\[https://www.reddit.com/r/Epicureanism/comments/3yw11b/epicurus\\_argument\\_for\\_atomism\\_g%C3%A1bo\\_r\\_betegh/\]](https://www.reddit.com/r/Epicureanism/comments/3yw11b/epicurus_argument_for_atomism_g%C3%A1bo_r_betegh/)
- Bicknell, P.J. (1983). "Atomic *Isotacheia* in Epicurus". *Apeiron*, 17, 57-62.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/17/1/article-p57.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/17/1/article-p57.xml)
- Bicknell, P.J. (1990). "Why Atoms Had to Swerve: An Exploration in Epicurean Physics". *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy*, 6, 241-76.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/6/1/article-p241\\_15.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/6/1/article-p241_15.xml)
- Bignone, E. (1919-20) «Ancora delle ομοιότητες nella filosofia di Epicuro». *Bollettino di Filologia Classica*, 26, 60-3.
- Bignone, E. (1940). «La dottrina Epicurea del *clinamen*. Sua formazione e sua cronologia in rapporto con la polemica con le scuole avversarie. Nuove luci sulla storia dell'atomismo greco». *Atene e Roma*, s. III, 8/3, 159-98 (also in Firenze: Le Monnier).
- Boussoulas, N.I. (1973). «Μελέτες πάνω στην αρχαία φιλοσοφία». *Epistimoniki Epetirida tis Filosofikis Scholis tou Panepistimiou Thessalonikis*, 12, 227-99.  
[\[https://zitros.gr/product/meletes-stin-archaia-elliniki-koinonia-oi-protai-filosofoi/\]](https://zitros.gr/product/meletes-stin-archaia-elliniki-koinonia-oi-protai-filosofoi/)
- Brieger, A. (1888). "De atomorum Epicurearum motu principali". *Philologische Abhandlungen: Martin Hertz zum siebzigsten Geburtstag von ehemaligen Schülern dargebracht*. Berlin: W. Hertz, 215-28.
- Brien, P. (1968). « La génération des êtres vivants dans la philosophie épicurienne ». *RS*, 89, 307-21.
- Calvo Martínez, J.L. (1987). «La deflexión de los átomos en Epicuro». Bádenas de la Peña, P.; Martínez Díez, A.; Rodríguez Monescillo, E.; Martínez-Fresneda y Barrera, M.E. (eds). *Athlon. Saturata grammatica in honorem Francisci Rodríguez Adrados*. Madrid: Ed. Gredos, 135-47.
- Cambiano, G. (1997). «L'atomismo antico». *Quaderni di Storia*, 23/45, 5-17.
- Capone Braga G. (1955). «Intorno al *clinamen* di Epicuro». *Sophia*, 23, 109.
- Casadei, E. (1997). «La dottrina corpuscolare di Asclepiade e i suoi rapporti con la tradizione atomistica». *Elenchos*, 18, 77-106.
- Casini, P. (1963). «Zoogonia e trasformismo nella fisica epicurea». *GCFI*, 42, 178-207.
- Caujolle-Zaslavsky, F. (1980). « Le temps épicurien est-il atomique? ». *EPh*, 3, 285-306.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/20847710.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/20847710.pdf)

- Clay, D. (1969). “*De rerum natura*. Greek *Physis* and Epicurean *Physiologia* (Lucretius I.1-148)”. *TAPA*, 100, 31-47.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2935899?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2935899?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Corti, A. (2015). «Ὅμοιοσχίμων ε ὁμοιόμορφος. Alcune riflessioni sulle proprietà degli εἶδωλα nella dottrina di Epicuro». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 83-107.
- De Lacy, E.A. (1964). “The Empirical Metaphysics of Epicurus”. Reese, W.L.; Freeman, E. (eds). *The Hartshorne Festschrift: Process and Divinity. Philosophical Essays presented to C. Hartshorne*. LaSalle-Illinois: Open court.
- Delruelle, É. (2001). « Plaisir, contingence et déviation dans le texte de Lucrèce ». Delruelle, É.; Pirenne-Delforge, V. (éds). *Κῆποι : de la religion à la philosophie. Mélanges offerts à André Motte*. Liège: Centre International d’Étude de la Religion Grecque Antique, 237-45.  
[\[https://books.openedition.org/pulg/1106\]](https://books.openedition.org/pulg/1106)
- DeWitt, N.W. (1948). “Epicurus. His Perpendicular Universe”. *CJ*, 44, 58-9.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3292979?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3292979?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Drabkin, I.E. (1938). “Notes on Epicurean Kinetics”. *TAPA*, 69, 364-74.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283185.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283185.pdf)
- Droz-Vincent, G. (1995). « L’atomisme dans le monisme épicurien ». *RRPhilos*, 185/1, 3-17.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097396?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097396?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Essler, H. (2014). “Space and Movement in Philodemus’ *De dis* 3: An Anti-Aristotelian Account”. Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (eds). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy: Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 101-23.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.101.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.101.xml)
- Fallot, J. (1980). «Materialismo etico e materialismo scientifico in Democrito e Epicuro». *SicGymn*, 33, 353-5.
- Flores, E. (1987-1988). «Due problemi riguardo agli atomi in Democrito e Epicuro e il fr. 40 Casan. di Diogene di Enoanda». *Annali dell’Università degli Studi di Napoli “L’Orientale” / Dipartimento di Studi del Mondo Classico e del Mediterraneo Antico. Sezione Filologico-Letteraria*, 9-10, 3-17.
- Freymuth, G. (1954). “Eine Anwendung von Epikurs Isonomiegesetz (Cicero, De nat. deor. I, 50)”. *Philologus*, 98, 101-15.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p101.xml?language=en\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p101.xml?language=en)
- Furley, D.J. (1958). “Epicurus and the Parts of Atoms”. *PCA*, 55, 21.
- Furley, D.J. (1967). *Two Studies in the Greek Atomists, Study I: Indivisible Magnitudes*. Princeton : Princeton University Press.
- Furley, D.J. (1989). “Knowledge of Atoms and Void in Epicureanism”. Furley, D. J. *Cosmic Problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 161-71.  
[\[https://orb.binghamton.edu/sagp/81/\]](https://orb.binghamton.edu/sagp/81/)
- Gabaude, J.-M. (1976). « Sur Épicure et l’épicurisme (suite) : Critique épicuriste du téléologisme ». *Revue de l’enseignement philosophique*, 16/3, 3-10.
- Gemelli, B. (1979). «Il concetto di causa in Epicuro». *Sandalion* , 2, 81-97.

- Giannantoni, G. (1980). «Aristotele, Diodoro Crono e il moto degli atomi». *SicGymn*, 33, 125-33.
- Giannantoni, G. (1989). «L'infinito nella fisica epicurea». AA.VV. *L'infinito dei Greci e dei Romani*. Genova: Facoltà di Lettere, Dipartimento di Archeologia, Filologia Classica e loro Tradizioni, 9-26.
- Gigandet, A. (2003). « Providence & causes finales: une polémique épicurienne ». Bénatouïl, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses Universitaires de Strasbourg, 49-69.
- Gigante, M. (1998). «Physis: la natura nell'epicureismo». Uglione, R. (a cura di). *L'uomo antico e la natura*. Atti del Convegno Nazionale di Studi, Associazione Italiana di Cultura Classica, Torino 28-29-30 aprile 1997. Torino: Celid, 39-91.  
[[http://www.aicc-to.it/atti\\_natura.html](http://www.aicc-to.it/atti_natura.html)]
- Gigante, M. (2001). «Philodemus ethicus necnon physicus». Andorlini, I. (a cura di). *Atti del XXII congresso internazionale di papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*. Firenze: Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli, 549-58.
- Giovacchini, Julie (2010). « L'angle et l'atome dans la physique épicurienne. Réflexions sur un témoignage de Sextus Empiricus ». *PhilosAnt*, 10, 139-66.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/2177>]
- Grilli, A. (1983). «Διάθεσις in Epicuro». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 93-109.
- Hammerstaedt, J. (2003). «Atomismo e libertà nel XXV libro Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *CErc*, 33, 151-8.
- Harry, B.E. (1970). "Epicurus. Some Problems in Physics and Perception". *G&R*, 17, 58-63.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/642328?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/642328?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/epicurus-some-problems-in-physics-and-perception/097DAB8649AA277415F3E5B14F68047C>]
- Herter, H. (1940). «De physiologia Epicurea». *RhM*, 89, 80.
- Inwood, B. (1981). "The Origin of Epicurus' Concept of Void". *CPh*, 76, 273-85.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/270296?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/270296?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1977). «La isonomia epicurea». *SCO*, 26, 287-98.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24181126?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24181126?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «L'atomismo di Epicuro fra Democrito e Senocrate». *SicGymn*, 33, 367-91.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «Stoici, Epicurei e il motus sine causa». *RSF*, 35, 23-31.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1985). «Epicuro. L'atomo fra apriorismo ed empirismo». AA.VV. *L'atomo fra scienza e letteratura*. Genova: Genova Università, 31-54.
- Kleve, K. (1959). "Die Urbewegung der epikureischen Atome und die Ewigkeit der Götter". *SO*, 35, 55-62.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397675908590507>]
- Kleve, K. (1979). "The Epicurean *Isonomia* and Its Sceptical Refutation". *SO*, 54, 27-35.
- Kleve, K. (1980). «Id facit exiguum clinamen». *SO*, 55, 27-31.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397678008590736?journalCode=sos120>]

- Koenen, M.H. (1997). "Lucretius' Olfactory Theory in *De rerum natura* IV". Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P.H. (eds) (1997). *Lucretius and His Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 163-77.  
[<https://www.dwc.knaw.nl/DL/publications/PU00010723.pdf>]
- Koenen, M.H. (2004). "Loca loquuntur. Lucretius' Explanation of the Echo and Other Acoustic Phenomena in *DRN* 4.563-614", *Mnemosyne*, 57, 698-724.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/57/6/article-p698\\_3.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/57/6/article-p698_3.xml)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4433604?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4433604?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Konstan, D. (1979). "Problems in Epicurean Physics". *Isis*, 70, 394-418 (reprinted in Anton, J.P.; Preus, A. (eds) (1983). *Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy*. Albany: SUNY Press, vol. II, 431-64).
- Konstan, D. (1982). "Ancient Atomism and Its Heritage: Minimal Parts". *AncPhil*, 2, 60-75.
- Konstan, D. (1982). "Stoics and Epicureans on the Nature of Man". *ISPh*, 14/1, 27-32.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/intstudphil/content/intstudphil\\_1982\\_0014\\_0001\\_0027\\_0033](https://www.pdcnet.org/intstudphil/content/intstudphil_1982_0014_0001_0027_0033)]
- Konstan, D. (2014). "Epicurus on the Void". Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (eds). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy: Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 83-99.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110365856/10.1515/9783110365856.83.xml>]
- Konstan, D. (2015). "Minima and the Speed of Images in Epicurus". Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 135-50.
- Kronka, I. (1976). "Atome und Menschen. Die Anthropologie in der Physik Epikurs". Biemel W. (Hrsg.). *Die Welt des Menschen - Die Welt der Philosophie*. Dordrecht: Springer, 159-76.  
[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-017-4926-8\\_9](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-017-4926-8_9)]
- Laks, A. (1991). « Épicure et la doctrine aristotélicienne du continu ». Gandt, F. de; Souffrin, P. (éds). *La Physique d'Aristote et les conditions d'une science de la nature. Actes du colloque organisé par le Séminaire d'Épistémologie et d'Histoire des Sciences de Nice*. Paris: Vrin, 181-94.
- Landolfi, L. (2010). «Semi densi, semi sottili: infertilità e *harmoniae Veneris* (a proposito di *Lucretius* IV 1240-1277)». *Maia*, 62/2-3, 240-60.
- Le Blay, F. (2010). « Les pores de la peau: une entité physiologique problématique ». Langslow, D.R.; Maire, B. (eds). *Body, Disease and Treatment in a Changing World: Latin Texts and Contexts in Ancient and Medieval Medicine. Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference «Ancient Latin Medical Texts» Hulme Hall, University Of Manchester, 5th-8th September 2007*. Lausanne: Éd. BHMS, 25-36.
- Lembo, D. (1981-1982). «τύπος e συμπάθεια in Epicuro». *AFLN*, 24, 17-67.
- Leone, G. (2015). «Nuovi spunti di riflessione sulla dottrina epicurea degli *eidola* dalla rilettura del II libro *Sulla natura*». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 35-53.
- Leone, G. (2016). «Epicuro e la forza dei venti». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni epicuree*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 159-77.
- Long, A.A. (1977). "Chance and Natural Law in Epicureanism". *Phronesis*, 22, 63-88 (reprinted in 2006. *From Epicurus to Epictetus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 157-77).  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/22/1/article-p63\\_6.xml?language=en](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/22/1/article-p63_6.xml?language=en)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182005?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182005?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128-chapter-8>]

- Longo, O. (1987-1988). «Onde e/o corpuscoli: la luce fra Aristotele ed Epicuro». *AAPat*, 100, 55-68.
- Macé, Arnaud (2003). « La vitesse de la pensée: sur la pensée épicurienne de la co-affection ». Bénatouïl, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses Universitaires de Strasbourg, 119-65.
- Manolidis, G. (1987). *Die Rolle der Physiologie in der Philosophie Epikurs*. Frankfurt: Athenäum.
- Martin, T.W. (2001). "Effecting Change in the Translation of Epicurus' argument for the Immutability of the All (*Her.* 39. 4-8)". *Hermes*, 129/3, 353-61.
- Marzo, C. de (1972). «Il moto degli atomi in Democrito e in Epicuro». *AFLB*, 15, 169-76.
- Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds) (2015). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.
- Maso, S. (2016). «La causa di ciò che è e di ciò che diviene secondo Epicuro». Viano, C. (a cura di). *Materia e causa materiale in Aristotele e oltre*. Roma: Ed. di Storia e Letteratura, 99-122.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3172379>]
- Maso, S. (2016). «Percezione e bellezza: un problema della fisica epicurea». *Quaderni degli argonauti*, 31, 41-56.  
[<https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.17446/83766>]
- Milton, J.R. (2002). "The Limitations of Ancient Atomism". Tuplin, C.J.; Rihll, T.E. (eds). *Science and Mathematics in Ancient Greek Culture*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 178-95.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198152484.001.0001/acprof-9780198152484-chapter-10>]
- Molina Cantó, E.; Oyarzún Robles, P. (2005). «Sobre el *clinamen*». *Méthexis*, 18, 67-87.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43738746?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43738746?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Montanari, E. (1979). «Una polemica fisica in Epicuro». *Prometheus*, 5, 124-36.  
[<https://oaj.fupress.net/index.php/prometheus/article/view/109>]
- Moreau, J. (1951). « Le naturalisme d'Épicure ». *GM*, 6, 301-17.
- Moreau, J. (1975). « Le mécanisme épicurien et l'ordre de la nature ». *EPh*, 4, 467-486.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883518?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883518?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Morel, P.-M. (2000). *Atome et nécessité : Démocrite, Épicure, Lucrèce*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Morel, P.-M. (2003). « Corps et cosmologie dans la physique d'Épicure. *Lettre à Hérodote*, § 45 ». *RMM*, 1, 33-49.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Morel, P.-M. (2003). « Épicure et la "fin de la nature" ». Bénatouïl, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses Universitaires de Strasbourg, 167-96.
- Morel, P.-M. (2009). *Epicurean Atomism*. Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 65-83.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicurean-atomism/DFFB9718A0CD502248369ADB02D884F8>]

- Mugler, Ch. (1956). « L'isonomie des atomistes ». *RPh*, 30, 231-50.
- Müller, G. (1959). *Die Darstellung der Kinetik bei Lukrez*. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag.
- Müller, R. (1980). « Le rapport entre la philosophie de la nature et la doctrine morale chez Démocrite et Épicure ». *SicGymn*, 33, 325-51.
- Nemeth, A. (2020). "Atoms and Universals in Epicurus". Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 93-112..
- Neumann, H. (1968). "The Unpopularity of Epicurean Materialism. An Interpretation of Lucretius". *ModSch*, 45/4, 299-311.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/schoolman/content/schoolman\\_1968\\_0045\\_0004\\_0299\\_0311](https://www.pdcnet.org/schoolman/content/schoolman_1968_0045_0004_0299_0311)]
- Nicoli, E. (2020). "Atoms, Elements, Seeds A Renaissance Interpreter of Lucretius' Atomism". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 235-50.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-013.xml>]
- Nishikawa, A. (1986). «Ἄτομος φύσις and ἀναψις φύσις». *Hiroshima Univ. Stud.*, 45, 21-80.
- O'Brien, D. (1982). « La taille et la forme des atomes dans les systèmes de Démocrite et d'Épicure. Préjugé et présumé en histoire de la philosophie ». *RPhilos*, 172/2, 187-203.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093322?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093322?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- O'Brien, D. (2007). « Démocrite à l'Académie? ». Brancacci, A.; Morel, P.-M. (eds). *Democritus: Science, the Arts, and the Care of the Soul*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 239-63.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352\\_012.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352_012.xml)]
- O'Keefe, T. (1996). "Does Epicurus Need the Swerve as an *Archê* of Collisions?". *Phronesis*, 41/3, 305-17.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/41/3/article-p305\\_4.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/41/3/article-p305_4.xml)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182537?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182537?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- O'Keefe, T. (1997). "The Ontological Status of Sensible Qualities for Democritus and Epicurus". *AncPhil*, 17, 119-34.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134&pdfname=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134.pdf&file\\_type=pdf](https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134&pdfname=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134.pdf&file_type=pdf)]
- O'Keefe, T. (2005). *Epicurus on Freedom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-on-freedom/F5058A8C45DB77CE70CA0BF56A3116F9>]
- O'Keefe, T. (2014). "Epicurus' Garden: Physics and Epistemology". Warren, J.; Sheffield, F.C.C. (eds). *The Routledge Companion to Ancient Philosophy*. London-New York: Routledge, 455-68.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315871363/chapters/10.4324/9781315871363-43>]
- Pancheri, L.U. (1978). "Indivisibility and Epicurus: In reply to Professor Baldes". *Apeiron*, 13, 49-52.
- Pope, M. (1986). "Epicureanism and the Atomic Swerve". *SO*, 51, 77-97.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397678608590799?journalCode=sos120>]
- Pozzo, G.M. (1956). «Intorno alla dottrina del canone e alla fisica di Epicuro». *GM*, 11, 248-54.
- Purinton, J.S. (1994). "Magnifying Epicurean Minima". *AncPhil*, 14, 115-46.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil\\_1994\\_0014\\_0001\\_0115\\_0146](https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil_1994_0014_0001_0115_0146)]

- Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (eds) (2014). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy: Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/496938>]
- Salem, J. (1997). *L'atomisme antique: Démocrite, Épicure, Lucrece*. Paris: Librairie Générale Française.
- Schmidt, E.A. (2005). "Spontane Bewegungsimpulse des Atoms in der epikureischen Naturphilosophie". *JHAW*, 79-81.
- Schmidt, E.A. (2007). *Clinamen: eine Studie zum dynamischen Atomismus der Antike*. Heidelberg: Winter.  
[<https://www.bu.uni.wroc.pl/de/neuigkeitenservice/publikationen/clinamen-eine-studie-zum-dynamischen-atomismus-der-antike>]
- Schulz, P.-R. (1958). "Das Verständnis des Raumes bei Lukrez". *Tijdschrift voor filosofie*, 20, 17-56.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40880370?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40880370?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Sedley, D.N. (1982). "Two Conceptions of Vacuum". *Phronesis*, 27, 175-93.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182149?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182149?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Sedley, D.N. (1988). "Epicurean Anti-Reductionism". Barnes, J.; Mignucci, M. (eds). *Matter and Metaphysics: Fourth symposium Hellenisticum*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 295-327.
- Sedley, D.N. (1999). "Epicurean Physics", Algra, K.; Barnes, J.; Mansfeld, J.; Schofield, M. (eds). *The Cambridge History of Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 362-82.
- Sedley, D.N. (2010). "The Status of Physics in Lucretius, Philodemus and Cicero". Antoni, A.; Arrighetti, G.; Bertagna, M.I.; Delattre, D. (a cura di). *Miscellanea Papyrologica Herculaneis: Volumen I*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 63-8.
- Sedley, D.N. (2020). "Why Aren't Atoms Coloured?". Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 61-75.
- Solmsen, F. (1977). "Epicurus on Void, Matter and Genesis". *Phronesis*, 22, 263-81 (reprinted in 1982. *Kleine Schriften*. Hildesheim-Zurich-New York: Olms, vol. III, 333-51).
- Spinelli, E. (2012). "Physics as Philosophy of Happiness: The Transmission of Scientific Tenets in Epicurus". Sgarbi, M. (ed.). *Translatio Studiorum: Ancient, Medieval and Modern Bearers of Intellectual History*. Leiden: Brill, 25-36.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004236813/B9789004236813\\_003.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004236813/B9789004236813_003.xml)]
- Tescari, O. (1924). «Nota Epicurea; *Isolachia atomica*». *RF*, 52, 175-91.
- Tescari, O. (1937). «Nota epicurea (*partes minimae*)». Estratto dalla *RF*, 15, 141-53.
- Thyresson, I.L. (1977). *The Particles in Epicurus*. Lund-Malmö: Gotab.
- Todd, R.B. (1982). "Infinite Body and Infinite Void. Epicurean Physics and Peripatetic Polemic". *LCM*, 7, 82-4.
- Untersteiner, M. (1972). «La crisi del concetto di sostanza fino a Epicuro». Maroni, R.; Untersteiner Candia, L. (a cura di). *Saggi sul mondo greco*. Trento: VDTT, 73-11.
- Verde, F. (2009). «Minimi temporali nell'Epistola a Erodoto di Epicuro?». *PP*, 64, 205-25.
- Verde, F. (2013). *Elachista: La dottrina dei minimi nell'Epicureismo*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdznp>]

Verde, F. (2015). «Testimonianze tardoantiche sulla fisica di Epicuro». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 179-95.

Verde, F. (2018). «Alexis' *The Achaean Woman* (PCG II, 31 K.-A.) and Epicurus' Science of Nature». *Historia Philosophica*, 16, 9-17.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4425607>]

Verde, F. (2020). «Atoms and Minimal 'Parts': The Originality of Epicurean Atomism». Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 76-92.

von Arnim, H. (1907). «Epikur's Lehre vom Minimum». *Almanach der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften*, 57, 383-402.

Wada, T. (2005). «Epicurus on the Atomic Swerve and the Voluntariness of Action». *JCS*, 53, 114-24.

Wigodsky, M. (2007). «Ὅμοιότητες, στοιχεῖα and ὁμοιομέρεια in Epicurus». *CQ*, 57, 521-42.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564093?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/27564093?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Zilioli, U. (ed.) (2020). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. London-New York: Bloomsbury Academic.

## - Cosmology

Avotins, I. (1983). «On Some Epicurean and Lucretian Arguments for the Infinity of the Universe». *CQ*, 33, 421-7.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638785?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638785?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Bakker, F.A. (2010). «Three Studies in Epicurean Cosmology». Diss. Utrecht University. Zutphen.

[<https://dspace.library.uu.nl/handle/1874/188108>]

Bakker, F.A. (2018). «The End of Epicurean Infinity: Critical Reflections on the Epicurean Infinite Universe». Bakker, F.A.; Bellis, D.J.; Palmerino, C.R. (eds). *Space, Imagination and the Cosmos from Antiquity to the Early Modern Period*. Cham: Springer, 41-67.

[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-02765-0\\_3](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-02765-0_3)]

Blume, M.E. (1965). «Infinity in Epicurean Philosophy». *CJ*, 60, 174-6.

Conroy, D.P. (1976). *Epicurean Cosmology and Hellenistic Astronomical Arguments*. PhD dissertation, Princeton University.

DeWitt, N.W. (1948). «Epicurus. His Perpendicular Universe». *CJ*, 44, 58-9.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3292979?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3292979?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Freymuth, G. (1954). «Eine Anwendung von Epikurs Isonomiegesetz (Cicero, *De nat. deor.* I, 50)». *Philologus*, 98, 101-15.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p101.xml?language=en>]

Furley, D.J. (1981). «The Greek theory of the Infinite Universe». *JHI*, 42, 571-85.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2709119?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2709119?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Furley, D.J. (1989). *Cosmic Problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Galzerano, M. (2019). *La fine del mondo nel De rerum natura di Lucrezio*. Berlin: de Gruyter.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/557682\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/557682)
- Garani, M. (2007). “Cosmological Oaths in Empedocles and Lucretius”. Sommerstein, A.H.; Fletcher, J. (eds). *Horkos: the Oath in Greek Society*. Bristol: Bristol Phoenix Press, 189-202.  
[\[https://liverpool.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.5949/liverpool/9781904675679.001.0001/upso-9781904675679-chapter-17\]](https://liverpool.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.5949/liverpool/9781904675679.001.0001/upso-9781904675679-chapter-17)
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1977). «La isonomia epicurea». *SCO*, 26, 287-98.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24181126?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24181126?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Keller, C. (1953). *Quid Epicurei et Stoici de interitu mundi docuerint. Quaestiones selectae*. PhD dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn.
- Keyser, C.J. (1918). “The Role of the Concept of Infinity in the Work of Lucretius”. *Classical Weekly*, 24/7, 321-7.  
[\[https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf\\_1/euclid.bams/1183424500\]](https://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.bams/1183424500)
- Keyser, C.J. (1937). “The Role of Infinity in the Cosmology of Epicurus”. *Scripta mathematica*, 4, 221-40.
- Kleve, K. (1979). The Epicurean *Isonomia* and Its Sceptical Refutation”. *SO*, 54, 27-35.
- Luciani, S. (2003). « Présence de Démocrite dans l’astronomie lucretienne ». *Euphrosyne*, 31, 83-98.
- Merlan, P. (1969). « L’univers discontinu d’Épicure ». *Association Guillaume Budé, Actes du VIIIe Congrès, Paris 5-10 avril 1968*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 258-63.
- Mette, H.J. (1983). “Zwei Fahrten über die Grenzen des sichtbaren Kosmos hinaus”. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull’epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 111-20.
- Morel, P.-M. (2003). « Corps et cosmologie dans la physique d’Épicure. Lettre à Hérodote, § 45 ». *RMM*, 1, 33-49.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40903913?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Morenval, A. (2017). *Le Tout et l’Infini dans le De rerum natura de Lucrèce*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.  
[\[https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01404473/document\]](https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01404473/document)
- Mugler, Ch. (1953). « Deux thèmes de la cosmologie grecque. Sur quelques particularités de l’atomisme ancien ». *RPh*, 27, 141-74.
- Mugler, Ch. (1956). « L’isonomie des atomistes ». *RPh*, 30, 231-50.
- Nemeth, A. (2020). “Atoms and Universals in Epicurus”. Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 93-112.
- Noller, E.M. (2019). *Die Ordnung der Welt: Darstellungsformen von Dynamik, Statik und Emergenz in Lukrez’ De Rerum Natura*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag Winter.  
[\[https://www.winter-verlag.de/en/detail/978-3-8253-6842-5/Noller\\_Die\\_Ordnung\\_der\\_Welt/\]](https://www.winter-verlag.de/en/detail/978-3-8253-6842-5/Noller_Die_Ordnung_der_Welt/)
- Novak, M.d.G. (1998). «La Carta a Pítocles y el De rerum natura». *Auster*, 3, 75-81.  
[\[https://search.proquest.com/openview/14fd1654ec8eedcb9459883986f28326/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2045953\]](https://search.proquest.com/openview/14fd1654ec8eedcb9459883986f28326/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2045953)

O'Rourke, D. (2020). "Infinity, Enclosure and False Closure in Lucretius' *De Rerum Natura*". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading the De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 103-23.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/infinity-enclosure-and-false-closure-in-lucretius-de-rerum-natura/328C5E010AED37B89CAF59B090C75A73>]

Paratore, E. (1947). «Il fondamento religioso della "metafisica" epicurea». *ASNP*, 16/3-4, 125-48.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299220?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299220?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Peters, E. (1984). "What Was God doing Before He Created the Heavens and the Earth". *Augustiniana*, 34, 53-74.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44992397?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44992397?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Salemme, C. (2010). *Lucrezio e la formazione del mondo: De rerum natura 5, 416-508*. Napoli: Loffredo.

Solmsen, F. (1951). "Epicurus and Cosmological Heresies". *AJPh*, 72, 1-23 (reprinted in 1968. *Kleine Schriften*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Olms, vol. I, 461-83).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/291959?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/291959?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Solmsen, F. (1953). "Epicurus on the Growth and Decline of the Cosmos". *AJPh*, 74, 34-51 (reprinted in 1968. *Kleine Schriften*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Olms, vol. I, 484-501).

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/292585?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/292585?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Taub, L.C. (2009). "Cosmology and Meteorology". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 105-24.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/cosmology-and-meteorology/CB05638CBAC63EEFD65751212F3DB9D2>]

Taub, L.C. (2012). "Physiological Analogies and Metaphors in Explanations of the Earth and the Cosmos". Horstmannshoff, M.; King, H.; Zittel, C. (eds). *Blood, Sweat and Tears: The Changing Concepts of Physiology from Antiquity into Early Modern Europe*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 41-63.

[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204\\_004.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204_004.xml)]

## - Meteorology

Bakker, F.A. (2013). "Aëtius, Achilles, Epicurus and Lucretius on the Phases and Eclipses of the Moon". *Mnemosyne*, 66/4-5, 682-707.

[[https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588\\_010.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588_010.xml)]

Bakker, F.A. (2016). *Epicurean Meteorology: Sources, Method, Scope and Organization*. Leiden: Brill.

[<https://brill.com/view/title/33418>]

Barbieri, A. (1959). «Epicuro e le conquiste matematico-astronomiche: significato di una polemica». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 73-88.

Barigazzi, A. (1952). «Epicuro e gli ὄργανα astronomici». *Prolegomena*, 1, 61-70.

Barnes, J. (1989). "The Size of the Sun in Antiquity". *ACD*, 25, 29-41.

Bénatouil, T. (2003). « La méthode épicurienne des explications multiples ». Bénatouil, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15, 15-47.

Corsi, F.G. (2017). «Il metodo delle molteplici spiegazioni in Diogene di Enoanda». *Syzetesis*, 4/2, 253-84.

[[http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2017/2/6\\_ARTICOLI\\_CORSI.pdf](http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2017/2/6_ARTICOLI_CORSI.pdf)]

- Feraco, F. (2008). «Lucrezio 6, 712-737: la piena del Nilo». *BStudLat*, 38/2, 583-608.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2197888\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2197888)
- Furley, D.J. (1996). “The Earth in Epicureanism and Contemporary Astronomy”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 119-25.
- Hankinson, R.J. (2013). “Lucretius, Epicurus, and the Logic of Multiple Explanation”. Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrok, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 69-97.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-4?rskey=8zkDcK&result=8&q=ethics\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-4?rskey=8zkDcK&result=8&q=ethics)
- Hardie, P. (2008). “Lucretian Multiple Explanations and their Reception in Latin Didactic and Epic”. Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 69-96.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478269\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478269)
- Kayachev, B. (2018). “Disastrous Earthquakes in Lucretius and the «Sibylline oracles»”. *CQ*, 68/1, 333-6.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/disastrous-earthquakes-in-lucretius-and-the-sibylline-oracles/91E1BE8D9561A3A1D5ABF31CCDE6A53F\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/disastrous-earthquakes-in-lucretius-and-the-sibylline-oracles/91E1BE8D9561A3A1D5ABF31CCDE6A53F)
- Leone, G. (2017). «Diogène d’Oenoanda et la polémique sur les *meteora*». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda, Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates/Diogène d’Oenoanda, Epicurisme et Controversies*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 89-110.
- Masi, F.G. (2014). “The Method of Multiple Explanations. Epicurus and the Notion of Causal Possibility”. Natali, C.; Viano, C. (éds). *Aitia II, Avec ou sans Aristote*. Louvain: La Neuve Peeters, 37-63.
- Notaro, E. (2006). «Sui vv. 357-378 del VI libro di Lucrezio». *Paideia*, 61, 345-356.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444719?rows=20&author\\_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub\\_date\\_sort+desc&page=0&publisher\\_code=F16863&type=Author&itemnumber=2&rows\\_original=20&uri\\_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444719?rows=20&author_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub_date_sort+desc&page=0&publisher_code=F16863&type=Author&itemnumber=2&rows_original=20&uri_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html)
- Notaro, E. (2007). «Il fons Hammonis: de rerum natura 6, 848-878». *Vichiana*, 9/1, 27-40.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203198?rows=20&author\\_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub\\_date\\_sort+desc&page=0&publisher\\_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows\\_original=20&uri\\_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203198?rows=20&author_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub_date_sort+desc&page=0&publisher_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows_original=20&uri_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html)
- Notaro, E. (2007). «La presenza di Teofrasto ed Epicuro in alcuni passi della Meteorologia lucreziana». *Vichiana*, 9/2, 1-16.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203213?rows=20&author\\_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub\\_date\\_sort+desc&page=0&publisher\\_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows\\_original=20&uri\\_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203213?rows=20&author_name=Notaro%2C+Emilia&start=0&ort=pub_date_sort+desc&page=0&publisher_code=FNA610&type=Author&itemnumber=1&rows_original=20&uri_original=%2Fit%2Fauthors%2Fnotaro-emilia.html)
- Novak, M.d.G. (1998). «La Carta a Pítocles y el *De rerum natura*». *Auster*, 3, 75-81.  
[\[https://search.proquest.com/openview/14fd1654ec8eedcb9459883986f28326/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2045953\]](https://search.proquest.com/openview/14fd1654ec8eedcb9459883986f28326/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=2045953)
- Paolucci, P. (2007). «Il mondo animale nell’opera di Lucrezio: *poikilia* del genere didascalico (alcuni *mirabilia* del VI libro)». Andrisano, A.M. (a cura di). *Animali, animali fantastici, ibridi, mostri*. Ferrara: Eprints Archive, 111-8.  
[\[http://annali.unife.it/lettere/article/view/273\]](http://annali.unife.it/lettere/article/view/273)
- Parisi, A. (2014). «Le forme del sapere astronomico nell’Epicureismo: un saggio di lettura di *PHerc.* 831, IX-XI Körte». *CErc*, 44, 49-64.

- Podolak, P. (2010). «Questioni pitoclee». *Würzburger Jahrbücher für die Altertumswissenschaft*, 34, 39-80.  
[<https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/wja/article/view/32527>]
- Taub, L.C. (2009). “Cosmology and Meteorology”. Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 105-24.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/cosmology-and-meteorology/CB05638CBAC63EEFD65751212F3DB9D2>]
- Taub, L.C. (2012). “Physiological Analogies and Metaphors in Explanations of the Earth and the Cosmos”. Horstmannshoff, M.; King, H.; Zittel, C. (eds). *Blood, Sweat and Tears: The Changing Concepts of Physiology from Antiquity into Early Modern Europe*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 41-63.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204\\_004.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004229204/B9789004229204_004.xml)]
- Verde, F. (2013). «Cause epicuree». *AntPhilos*, 7, 127-42.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2908557>]
- Verde, F. (2016). «Epicuro e la grandezza del sole: Sul testo di *Pyth.* 91». *Méthexis*, 28, 104-10.
- Verde, F. (2016). “Posidonius against Epicurus’ Method of Multiple Explanations?”. *Apeiron*, 49/4, 437-49.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/49/4/article-p437.xml>]
- Verde, F. (2018). “Alexis’ *The Achaean Woman* (PCG II, 31 K.-A.) and Epicurus’ Science of Nature”. *Historia Philosophica*, 16, 9-17.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4425607>]
- Verde, F. (2018). «L’empirismo di Teofrasto e la meteorologia epicurea». *RFN*, 90/4, 889-910.  
[[https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-articolo\\_digital/francesco-verde/lempirismo-di-teofrasto-e-la-meteorologia-epicurea-001050\\_2018\\_0004\\_0889-349870.html](https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-articolo_digital/francesco-verde/lempirismo-di-teofrasto-e-la-meteorologia-epicurea-001050_2018_0004_0889-349870.html)]
- Verde, F. (2018), «Fenomeni fisici e spiegazioni multiple in Lucrezio e nell’*Aetna* pseudovirgiliano». *GCFI*, 99, 523-44.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4581160>]
- Verde, F. (2020). “Epicurean Meteorology, Lucretius, and the *Aetna*”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 83-102.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-006.xml>]

## - Theology

- Albrecht, M. von (2006). «*Terror et pavor*: politica e religione in Lucrezio». Urso, G. (a cura di). *Terror et pavor: violenza, intimidazione, clandestinità nel mondo antico. Atti del convegno internazionale: Cividale del Friuli, 22-24 settembre 2005*. Pisa: ETS, 231-45.  
[[http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von\\_Albrecht.pdf](http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von_Albrecht.pdf)]
- Alfieri Vittorio, E. (1950). «Il divino in Democrito e in Epicuro». Alfieri Vittorio, E.; Untersteiner, M. (a cura di). *Studi di filosofia greca. Pubblicazione in onore di R. Mondolfo*. Bari: Laterza, 85-120.
- Amerio, R. (1953). «L’epicureismo e gli dei». *Filosofia*, 4, 97-137.
- Arrighetti, G. (1955). «Sul problema dei tipi divini nell’epicureismo». *PP*, 10, 404-15.
- Babut, D. (2005). « Sur les dieux d’Épicure ». *Elenchos*, 26/1, 79-110.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/26/1/article-p79.xml>]

- Baltes, M. (2000). “Zur Nachwirkung des Satzes Το μακάριον και ἄφθαρτον οὔτε αὐτο πράγματα ἔχει...”. Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30 September-3 Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 93-108.
- Barigazzi, A. (1955). «Uomini e dei in Epicuro». *Acme*, 8/2-3, 37-55.
- Barra, G. (1954). «La polemica antireligiosa nel V libro di Lucrezio». *RAAN*, 29, 141-70.
- Barrio de la Fuente, C. (2012). «Los términos religiosos en Lucrecio: ¿es el poeta un ateo, un laico, un sin-dios (un agnóstico, un descreído...)?». Luque, J.; Rincón, M.D.; Velázquez, I. (éds). *Dulces camenae: poética y poesía latinas*. Granada: Ed. Universidad de Granada, 1155-69.
- Bignone, E. (1933). «L'ἄειφνός nella teologia epicurea». *RFIC*, 433-44.
- Carter, J.W. (2018). “Plutarch’s Epicurean Justification of Religious Belief”. *JHPH*, 56/3, 385-412. [<https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:77e5acbe-7e8c-4276-9f8b-aedaa50a713e>]
- De Capitani, F. (2014). «La visione religiosa di Epicuro». *Quaderni di Noctua*, 2. [<http://www.didaschein.net/ojs/index.php/QuadernidiNoctua/article/view/120>]
- DeWitt, N.W. (1942). “The Gods of Epicurus and the Canon”. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada*, 36, 33-49.
- Drozdek, A. (2005). “Epicurean Gods”. *C&M*, 56, 155-66. [<https://www.mtp.dk/details.asp?eln=300202>]
- Essler, H. (2011). “Cicero’s use and abuse of Epicurean theology”. Fish, J.; Sanders, K. (Hrsgg). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 129-51.
- Essler, H. (2011). “Eine Auslegung Epikurs theologischer Schriften”. *CErc*, 41, 13-25.
- Essler, H. (2011). *Glückselig und unsterblich: epikureische Theologie bei Cicero und Philodem: mit einer Edition von Pherc. 152/157, Kol. 8-10*. Basel: Schwabe.
- Festugière, A.J. (1985<sup>3</sup>). *Épicure et ses dieux*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France (I ed. 1946); Eng. transl. (1955). *Epicurus and His Gods*. Oxford: Blackwell; Ital. transl. (1987). *Epicuro e gli dèi*. Milano: Coliseum.
- Flamand, J.-M. (1992). « *Deus otiosus* : recherches lexicales pour servir à l’histoire de la critique religieuse d’Épicure ». Goulet-Cazé, M.-O.; Madec, G.; O’Brien, D. (éds). Σοφίης μαήτορες. « *Chercheurs de sagesse* ». *Hommage à Jean Pépin*. Paris: Institut d’Études Augustiniennes, 147-66.
- Franek, J. (2011). “Lucretius and the Modern Interdisciplinary Critique of Religion”. *SPFB(klas)*, 16/1, 15-28. [<https://digilib.phil.muni.cz/handle/11222.digilib/115762>]
- Frassinetti, P. (1954). «Cicerone e gli dei di Epicuro». *RFIC*, 32, 113-32.
- Frassinetti, P. (1964). «Recenti contributi alla γνώσις θεῶν in Epicuro». *Athenaeum*, 42, 214-22.
- Frassinetti, P. (1978). «Aggiornamenti di teologia epicurea». *SRIL*, 2, 111-19.
- Freytmuth, G. (1953). *Zur Lehre von den Götterbildern in der epikureischen Philosophie*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.

- Freymuth, G. (1955). "Methodisches zur epikureischen Götterlehre". *Philologus*, 99, 234-44.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/99/1-2/article-p234.xml>]
- Friedländer, P. (1939). "The Epicurean Theology in Lucretius' First Prooemium (Lucr. I. 44-49)". *TAPhA*, 70, 368-79.
- Gabaude, J.-M. (1976). « Sur Épicure et l'épicurisme (suite) : Paradoxe théomodélisme épicuriste ». *Revue de l'enseignement philosophique*, 16/4, 19-37.
- Giannantoni, G. (1996). «Epicuro e l'ateismo antico». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano*, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 21-63.
- Guittard, C. (2010). « La prière philosophique, grecque et latine: une esquisse de définition et de classification ». Briquel, D.; Février, C.; Guittard, C. (éds). *Varietates Fortunae : religion et mythologie à Rome. Hommage à Jacqueline Champeaux*. Paris: Presses de l'Université de Paris-Sorbonne, 195-210.
- Hardach, K. (2012). "«So wie sich die Menge die Götter denkt, so sind sie nicht»: zur Glaubenswelt der Griechen zu Zeiten Epikurs". Hardach, K. (Hrsg.). *Internationale Studien zur Geschichte von Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft*. Bern-Frankfurt am Main: Lang, 287-339.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1974). «Gli dèi di Epicuro nello scolio a Κύρια δόξα I». *PP*, 29, 171-9.
- Kany-Turpin, J. (1986). « Les images divines. Cicéron lecteur d'Épicure ». *RPhilos*, 176, 39-58.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093974?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093974?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Kany-Turpin, J. (2007). « Les dieux: Représentation mentale des dieux, piété et discours théologique ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 145-64.
- Kleve, K. (1959). "Die Urbewegung der epikureischen Atome und die Ewigkeit der Götter". *SO*, 35, 55-62.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397675908590507>]
- Kleve, K. (1960). "Die Unvergänglichkeit der Götter im Epikureismus". *SO*, 36I, 116-26.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397676008590529?journalCode=sos120>]
- Kleve, K. (1963). *Gnosis Theon: Die Lehre von der natürlichen Götterkenntnis in der epikureischen Theologie*. *SO*, 19, Suppl. Oslo: Oslo Universitetsforl.
- Kleve, K. (1977). "Empiricism and Theology in Epicureanism". *SO*, 52, 39-51.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677708590699?journalCode=sos120>]
- Kleve, K. (1978). "On the Beauty of God. A Discussion Between Epicureans, Stoics and Sceptics". *SO*, 53, 69-83.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677808590714?journalCode=sos120>]
- Kleve, K. (2003). "Epicurean Theology and Herculaneum Papyri". *CErc*, 33, 249-66.
- Konstan, D. (2011). "Epicurus on the Gods". Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 53-71.
- Lemke, D. (1973). *Die Theologie Epikurs: Versuch einer Rekonstruktion*. München: Beck.
- Longo Auricchio, F. (1985). «Appunti sul pensiero teologico dei primi maestri epicurei». *Vichiana*, 14, 235-40.

- Luck, G. (1960). "Epikur und seine Götter". *Gymnasium*, 67, 308-15.  
[\[http://www.digizeitschriften.de/dms/img/?PID=PPN558786588\\_0067%7Clog58\]](http://www.digizeitschriften.de/dms/img/?PID=PPN558786588_0067%7Clog58)
- Malherbe, M. (1977). « La théologie matérialiste d'Épicure ». *ArchPhilos*, 40, 363-77.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43033991?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43033991?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Mansfeld, J. (1993). "Aspects of Epicurean Theology". *Mnemosyne*, 46, 172-210.
- Marchetta, A. (1989). *I versi teologici nel proemio del De rerum natura di Lucrezio: I 44-49*. L'Aquila-Roma: Japadre.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4432244?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4432244?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Martinazzoli, F. (1947). «Epicuro teologo». *PP*, 2, 278-99.
- Merlan, P. (1933). "Zwei Fragen der epikureischen Theologie". *Hermes*, 68, 196-217.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4474304.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4474304.pdf)
- Moreau, J. (1968). « Épicure et la physique des Dieux ». *REA*, 70/3-4, 286-94.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea\\_0035-2004\\_1968\\_num\\_70\\_3\\_3819\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea_0035-2004_1968_num_70_3_3819)
- Moreschini, C. (1961). «Due fonti sulla teologia epicurea». *PP*, 16, 342-72.
- Obbink, D. (1989). "The Atheism of Epicurus". *GRBS*, 30, 187-223.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4281\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4281)
- Obbink, D. (2002). "«All gods are true» in Epicurus". Frede, D.; Laks, A. (eds). *Traditions of Theology: Studies in Hellenistic Theology, Its Background and Aftermath*. Leiden: Brill, 183-221.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047401063/B9789047401063-s007.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047401063/B9789047401063-s007.xml)
- Paratore, E. (1947). «Il fondamento religioso della "metafisica" epicurea». *ASNP*, 16/3-4, 125-48.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299220?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24299220?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Perelli, L. (1955). «Epicuro e la dottrina di Crizia sull'origine della religione». *RFIC*, 33, 29-56.
- Peters, E. (1984). "What Was God doing Before He Created the Heavens and the Earth". *Augustiniana*, 34, 53-74.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44992397?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44992397?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Pfligersdorffer, G. (1957). "Cicero über Epikurs Lehre vom Wesen der Götter (*Nat. deor.* I, 49)". *WS*, 70, 235-53.
- Philippson, R. (1939). "Die Quelle der epikureischen Götterlehre in Ciceros erstem Buche *De natura deorum*". *SO*, 19, 15-40.  
[\[https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397673908590335\]](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397673908590335)
- Piergiacomi, E. (2013). «A che serve venerarlo, se il dio non fa nulla? Epicuro e il piacere della preghiera». *BSFI*, 208, 19-28.
- Piergiacomi, E. (2015). «Διάλεκτος κατ' ἀναλογία: la "quasi lingua" degli dèi di Epicuro». *WJA*, 39, 39-68.  
[\[http://wuerzburger-jahrbuecher.de/abstract-piergiacomi-392015/\]](http://wuerzburger-jahrbuecher.de/abstract-piergiacomi-392015/)
- Piergiacomi, E. (2017). *Storia delle antiche teologie atomiste*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice.  
[\[http://www.editricesapienza.it/node/7716\]](http://www.editricesapienza.it/node/7716)
- Piettre, R. (1999). « Épicure, dieu et image de dieu : une autarcie extatique ». *RHR*, 216/1, 5-30.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rhr\\_0035-1423\\_1999\\_num\\_216\\_1\\_1110\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rhr_0035-1423_1999_num_216_1_1110)

Pigeaud, J. (1994). « Les dieux d'Epicure ». Jerphagnon, L.; Lagrée, J.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Ainsi parlaient les Anciens : in honorem Jean-Paul Dumont*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 131-47.

Purinton, J. (2001). "Epicurus on the Nature of the Gods". *OSAPh*, 21, 181-231.

Rexine, J.E. (1961). "Theologies of Epicurus and Lucretius". *CB*, 37, 51-4.  
[<https://search.proquest.com/openview/8f7eb2538f04e782cc01e117e3d16c2e/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1817913>]

Šakhnovič, M.M. (1982). *Épicure et le problème de l'athéisme*. PhD dissertation, Leningrad State University.

Santoro, M. (2000). «Il pensiero teologico epicureo: Demetrio Lacone e Filodemo». *CErc*, 30, 63-70.

Schmid, W. (1951). "Götter und Menschen in der Theologie Epikurs". *RhM*, 94, 97-156.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41243998?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41243998?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Schmid, W.P. (1962). "Textprobleme eines epikureischen Fragments über Götterverehrung (Pap. Oxy. 215)". *RhM*, 105, 368-76.  
[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/105/Schmid.pdf>]

Scott, W. (1883). "The Physical Constitution of the Epicurean Gods". *Journal of Philology*, 12, 212-47.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/journal-of-philology/physical-constitution-of-the-epicurean-gods/A97A8AF7139F19F357FAA3725667B4B3>]

Sedley, D.N. (2011). "Epicurus' Theological Innatism". Fish, J.; Sanders and, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 29-52.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/epicurus-theological-innatism/B8F7380E43DABE2608A921C6CA150EAF>]

Sissa, G. (1987). « Les dieux et les jours ». *Écrit du temps*, 16, 99-110.

Spinelli, E. (2016). «Senza teodicea: critiche epicuree e argomentazioni pirroniane». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 213-34.

Testard, M. (1976). « Les idées religieuses de Lucrèce ». *BAGB*, 3, 249-72.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude\\_0004-5527\\_1976\\_num\\_1\\_3\\_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.](https://www.persee.fr/doc/bude_0004-5527_1976_num_1_3_3368#:~:text=Lucr%C3%A8ce%20fait%20profession%20de%20mat%C3%A9rialisme,la%20r%C3%A9alit%C3%A9%20sans%20le%20mot4.)]

Veres, M. (2017). "Theology, Innatism, and the Epicurean Self". *AncPhil*, 37/1, 129-52.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil\\_2017\\_0037\\_0001\\_0129\\_0152](https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil_2017_0037_0001_0129_0152)]

Vicol, C. (1949). «Considerazioni sulla dottrina teologica di Epicuro». *GIF*, 2, 193-205.

Wifstrand Schiebe, M. (2003). "Sind die epikureischen Götter 'Thought-Constructs'?" *Mnemosyne*, 56, 703-27.

### 4.3 Ethics

Alberti, A. (1990). «Paura della morte e identità personale nell'epicureismo». Alberti, A. (a cura di). *Logica, mente e persona: studi sulla filosofia antica*. Firenze: Olschki, 151-206 (reprinted in Alberti, A. (a cura di) (2008). *L'arte del vivere: aspetti dell'etica aristotelica ed epicurea*. Genova: Il melangolo, 121-73).

- Alberti, A. (2008). «Ragione e virtù nell'etica epicurea». Alberti, A. (a cura di). *L'arte del vivere: aspetti dell'etica aristotelica ed epicurea*. Genova: Il melangolo, 175-205.
- Alfinito, L. (1994). «Un passo discusso di Epicuro (*ad Menoec.* 123-4)». *Vichiana*, 5, 266-72.
- Amerio, R. (1952). «L'epicureismo e la morte». *Filosofia*, 3, 541-76.
- Amerio, R. (1953). «L'epicureismo e il bene». *Filosofia*, 4, 227-54.
- Annas, J.E. (1987). "Epicurus on Pleasure and Happiness". *Philosophical Topics*, 15, 5-21 (reprinted in Yu, J.; Gracia, J.J.E. (eds) (2003). *Rationality and Happiness: From the Ancients to the Early Medievals*. Rochester: University of Rochester Press, 75-90).  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43154002?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43154002?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://orb.binghamton.edu/sagp/146/\]](https://orb.binghamton.edu/sagp/146/)
- Annas, J.E. (1989). "Epicurean Emotions". *GRBS*, 30, 145-64.  
[\[https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a8ff/a8f1b8778f797b4cb4f9c8d9d529b1a1412f.pdf\]](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a8ff/a8f1b8778f797b4cb4f9c8d9d529b1a1412f.pdf)
- Annas, J.E. (1996). «La natura nell'etica epicurea». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol.I, 299-311.
- Ardizzoni, A. (1942). «Il saggio felice fra i tormenti. Studio sull'eudemonologia classica». *RFIC*, 81-102.
- Arrighetti, G. (1978). «*Philia e physiologia*. I fondamenti dell'amicizia epicurea». *MD*, 1, 49-63.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40235706.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/40235706.pdf)
- Arrighetti, G. (1984). « Devoir et plaisir chez Épicure ». Harmatta, J. (éd.). *Actes du VII<sup>e</sup> Congrès de la Fédération Internationale des Associations d'Études classiques*. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó, 385-95.
- Asmis, E. (2001). "Basic Education in Epicureanism". Too, Y.L. (ed.). *Education in Greek and Roman Antiquity*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 209-39.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047400134/BP000008.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047400134/BP000008.xml)
- Asmis, E. (2011). "The necessity of anger in Philodemus' *On anger*". Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 152-82.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/necessity-of-anger-in-philodemus-on-anger/8D53172E51E1BE144F6A4B71BDCA2615#:~:text=As%20he%20concludes%20his%20book,a%20sufficient%20condition%20of%20anger.&text=Just%20as%20it%20is%20necessary,harm%20if%20he%20is%20angry.\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/necessity-of-anger-in-philodemus-on-anger/8D53172E51E1BE144F6A4B71BDCA2615#:~:text=As%20he%20concludes%20his%20book,a%20sufficient%20condition%20of%20anger.&text=Just%20as%20it%20is%20necessary,harm%20if%20he%20is%20angry.)
- Asmis, E. (2018). "Lucretian pleasure". Harris, W.V. (ed.). *Pain and pleasure in classical times*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 139-55.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000017.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000017.xml)
- Avotins, I. (1977). "Training in Frugality in Epicurus and Seneca". *Phoenix*, 31, 214-7.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1087101.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1087101.pdf)
- Balabuszynski, J. (1936). «Epicureorum doctrina de pueris educandis et instruendis exponitur». *Eos*, 37, 274-82.
- Baltzly, D.C.; Wendlandt, L. (2004). "Knowing Freedom: Epicurean Philosophy Beyond Atomism and the Swerve". *Phronesis*, 49/1, 41-71.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/49/1/article-p41\\_3.xml?language=en\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/49/1/article-p41_3.xml?language=en)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182743?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182743?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)

- Bartling, H.-M. (1994). *Epikur: Theorie der Lebenskunst*. Cuxhaven: Junghans.
- Baudy, G.J. (1981). “Metaphorik der Erfüllung. Nahrung als Hintergrundmodell in der griechischen Ethik bis Epikur”. *ABG*, 25, 7-68.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24362789?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24362789?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Bickel, E. (1935). “αἰτία in der Bedeutung causa «Krankheit». Zu Epikurs Brief an Menoikeus”. *Glotta*, 23, 213-20.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40265396?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40265396?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Bignone, E. (1934-1935). «La formazione dell’etica epicurea attraverso la polemica con il primo Aristotele e la scuola platonico-aristotelica». *Atene e Roma*, 217-311 (1934), 3-52 (1935).
- Bignone, E. (1936). «Nuove ricerche sull’etica di Epicuro e nuove testimonianze mancanti agli *Epicurea* dell’Usener». *Atene e Roma*, 3-29.
- Bjarnason, P.E. (2003). “Epicurus’ Second Remedy: «Death Is Nothing to Us»”. *Akroterion*, 48, 21-44.  
[\[https://akroterion.journals.ac.za/pub/article/view/97\]](https://akroterion.journals.ac.za/pub/article/view/97)  
[\[https://core.ac.uk/reader/37350830\]](https://core.ac.uk/reader/37350830)  
[\[https://scholar.sun.ac.za/handle/10019.1/21610\]](https://scholar.sun.ac.za/handle/10019.1/21610)
- Bloch, O. (1995). « La prudence dans l’épicurisme ». Tosel, A. (éd.). *De la prudence des anciens comparée à celle des modernes : sémantique d’un concept, déplacement des problématiques*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 35-45.
- Boeri, M.D. (ed.) (1997). *Epicuro, Sobre el placer y la felicidad*. Santiago de Chile: Ed. Universitaria.
- Bonelli, G. (1979). «Aporie etiche in Epicuro». Bruxelles: Peeters Pub & Booksellers.
- Boyancé, P. (1959). « Les épicuriens et la contemplation ». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 89-99.
- Brescia, C. (1955). «La φιλία in Epicuro». *GIF*, 8, 314-32.
- Brochard, V. (1912). *La théorie du plaisir d’après Épicure*. Brochard, V.; Delbos, V. (éds). *Études de philosophie ancienne et de philosophie moderne*. Paris: Librairie Felix Alcan; Eng. transl. (2009-2010). “The Theory of Pleasure According to Epicurus”. *Interpretation*, 37/1, 47-83.
- Brown, E. (2002). “Epicurus on the Value of Friendship (*Sententia Vaticana* 23)”. *CPh*, 97, 68-80.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1215547?seq=1\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1215547?seq=1)
- Burzacchini, G. (1994). «Epicuro, *Sent. Vat.* 14». *Eikasmos*, 5, 187-88.
- Canal, J.P.; Myro Martín, M.d.M. (1994). «Diálogo epicúreo con los difuntos: epigrafía de Roma». Alvar, J.; Blánquez, C.; González Wagner, C. (eds). *Sexo, muerte y religión en el mundo clásico*. Madrid: Ed. Clásicas, 261-70.
- Castaldi, F. (1928). «Il concetto della ricchezza in Epicuro». *RAL*, 6/4, 287-308.
- Cerasuolo, S. (1996). «La definizione dell’ἔπος di Epicuro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 397-408.
- Chandler, C. (2018). “The Epicurean understanding of madness”. Davoli, P.; Pellé, N. (a cura di). *Πολυμάθεια: studi classici offerti a Mario Capasso*. Lecce: Pensa Multimedia, 461-79.

[[https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75\\_indice\\_961](https://issuu.com/pensamultimedia/docs/75_indice_961)]

Cooper, J. (1999). "Pleasure and Desire in Epicurus". Cooper, J. (ed.). *Reason and Emotion: Essays on Ancient Moral Psychology and Ethical Theory*. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 485-514.

De Lacy, Ph. (1957). "Process and Value, An Epicurean Dilemma". *TAPA*, 88, 114-26.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283897?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283897?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

De Lacy, Ph. (1958). "Pigs and Epicureans". *CB*, 34, 55-6.

De Lacy, Ph. (1969). "Limit and Variation in the Epicurean Philosophy". *Phoenix*, 23, 104-13.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1086571?seq=1>]

Delattre, D. (2009). « Le Sage épicurien face à la colère et à l'ivresse : une lecture renouvelée du *De ira* de Philodème ». *CErc*, 39, 71-88.

Delruelle, É. (2001). « Plaisir, contingence et déviation dans le texte de Lucrèce ». Delruelle, É.; Pirenne-Delforge, V. (éds). *Κῆποι : de la religion à la philosophie. Mélanges offerts à André Motte*. Liège: Centre International d'Étude de la Religion Grecque Antique, 237-45.

[<https://books.openedition.org/pulg/1106>]

De Sanctis, D. (2010). «Φρόνησις e φρόνιμοι nel Giardino». *CErc*, 40, 101-9.

De Sanctis, D. (2016). «La biografia del *Kepon* e il profilo esemplare del saggio epicureo». Schorn, S.; Bonazzi, M. (eds). *Bios Philosophos. Philosophy in Ancient Greek Biography*. Turnhout: Brepols, 101-26.

[[http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod\\_id=IS-9782503565460-1](http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod_id=IS-9782503565460-1)]

DeWitt, N.W. (1937). "The epicurean doctrine of gratitude". *AJPh*, 320-8.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/290330.pdf>]

DeWitt, N.W. (1944). "The New Piety of Epicurus". *Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada*, 28, 79-88.

Diano, C. (1967). « Épicure. La philosophie du plaisir et la société des amis ». *EPh*, 22, 173-86.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/20845246.pdf>]

Duvernoy, J.-F. (1984). « Le modèle médical de l'éthique dans l'épicurisme ». AA.VV. *Justifications de l'éthique xix<sup>e</sup> Congrès de l'Association des Sociétés de philosophie de langue française, Bruxelles-Louvain-la-Neuve, 6-9 septembre 1982*. Bruxelles: Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles, 171-7.

Duvernoy, J.-F. (2005). *Épicure : la construction de la félicité*. Bruxelles: Ousia.

Erbì, M. (2015). «Lettere dal *Kepon*: il concreto impegno di Epicuro per i *philoi*». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 75-94.

Erbì, M. (2016). «Χρηῖα e φίλια: la prassi delle donazioni nel Κῆπος». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Testo e forme del testo: Ricerche di filologia filosofica*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 281-315.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4235848>]

Erler, M. (2002). "Epicurus as *deus mortalis: homoiosis theoi* and Epicurean Self-Cultivation". Frede, D.; Laks, A. (eds). *Traditions of Theology: Studies in Hellenistic Theology, Its Background and Aftermath*. Leiden: Brill, 159-81.

[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047401063/B9789047401063-s006.xml>]

Erler, M. (2009). «La felicità del proficiens in Platone e negli Epicurei». Donatelli, P.; Spinelli, E. (a cura di). *Il senso della virtù*, Roma, Carocci, 49- 60.

- Erler, M. (2010). «Νήφωv λογισμός: A proposito del contesto letterario e filosofico di una categoria fondamentale del pensiero epicureo». *CErc*, 40, 23-9.
- Erler, M. (2012). “Schmerzfreiheit als Lust. Traditionelles in Epikurs Hedonekonzept”. Erler, M.; Rother W. (Hrsgg). *Philosophie der Lust. Studien zum Hedonismus*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 53-69. Engl. transl. (2015). “Hedonê in the Poets and Epicurus”. King, R.A.H. (ed.). *The Good Life and Conceptions of Life in Early China and Graeco-Roman Antiquity*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 303-18.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110310115/10.1515/9783110310115-017.xml>]
- Erler, M. (2019). *Epicurus. An Introduction to his Practical Ethics and Politics*. Basel-Berlin: Schwabe Verlag.  
[[https://issuu.com/schwabeverlag/docs/lp\\_9783796540066](https://issuu.com/schwabeverlag/docs/lp_9783796540066)]
- Erler, M. (2020). “Educational Travels and Epicurean *Prokoptontes*: Vergil’s Aeneas as an Epicurean Telemachus”. Liatsi, M. (ed.). *Ethics in Ancient Greek Literature. Aspects of Ethical Reasoning from Homer to Aristotle and beyond*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 193-205.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/578681?language=en>]
- Erler, M.; Schofield, M. (1999). “Epicurean Ethics”. Algra, K.; Barnes, J.; Mansfeld, J.; Schofield, M. (eds). *The Cambridge History of Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 642-74.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-hellenistic-philosophy/epicurean-ethics/E1758FAAA81579B29AF612709D43F70C>]
- Essler, H. (2012). “Die Lust der Freundschaft und die Lust des Freundes von Epikur bis Cicero”. Erler, M.; Rother W. (Hrsgg). *Philosophie der Lust. Studien zum Hedonismus*. Basel: Schwabe Verlag, 139-60.
- Fallot, J. (1977). *Il piacere e la morte nella filosofia di Epicuro*. Torino: Einaudi.
- Fallot, J. (1980). «Materialismo etico e materialismo scientifico in Democrito e Epicuro». *SicGymn*, 33, 353-5.
- Farrington, B.(1952). «La amistad epicurea». *Notas y Estudios de Filosofía*, 3, 105.
- Farrington, B. (1952). “The Meanings of *Voluptas* in Lucretius”. *Hermathena*, 80, 26-31.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/23039298.pdf>]
- Farrington, B. (1954). “Lucretius and Manilius on Friendship”. *Hermathena*, 83, 10-6.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Festugière, A.J. (1936). « La doctrine du plaisir des premiers Sages à Épicure ». *RSPH*, 25, 233-68.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44411257?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44411257?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Fitzgerald, W.H. (1951). «*Pietas* Epicurea». *CJ*, 46, 195-9.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3293046?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3293046?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Flacelière, R. (1954). « Les Épicuriens et l’amour ». *REG*, 67, 69-81.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44274224?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44274224?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg\\_0035-2039\\_1954\\_num\\_67\\_314\\_3347](https://www.persee.fr/doc/reg_0035-2039_1954_num_67_314_3347)]
- Fonfara, D. (2009). “Epikurs hedonistische Ethik als Erste Philosophie”. Düsing, E.; Düsing, K.; Klein, H.-D. (Hrsgg). *Geist und Sittlichkeit: Ethik-Modelle von Platon bis Levinas*. Würzburg: Königshausen und Neumann, 69-89.
- Fowler, D.P. (1997). “Epicurean Anger”. Braund, S.M.; Gill, C. (eds). *The Passions in Roman Thought and Literature*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 16-35.  
[[https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/arts/classics/intranets/students/modules/tlit/handouts/fowler\\_epicurean\\_anger.pdf](https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/arts/classics/intranets/students/modules/tlit/handouts/fowler_epicurean_anger.pdf)]

- Fusaro, D. (2006). *La farmacia di Epicuro: la filosofia come terapia dell'anima*. Saonara: Il Prato.
- Gabaude, J.-M. (1983). « L'originalité de l'éducation selon l'épicurisme ». *Diotima*, 11, 53-66.
- Gabaude, J.-M. (1983). « Les plaisirs selon Épicure. » *Diotima*, 11, 211-4.
- Gabaude, J.P. (1984). « Anthropologie épicuriste du plaisir ». *Revue de l'enseignement philosophique*, 34/6, 1-13.
- García Gual, C; Acosta Méndez, E. (1974). *Ética de Epicuro. La génesis de una moral utilitaria*. Barcelona: Barral.
- Gargiulo, T. (1982). «Epicuro e “il piacere del ventre” (fr. 409 Us.=227 Arr.)». *Elenchos*, 3, 153-8.
- Gaultier, J. de (1928). « Les précurseurs de la moralité esthétique : Pythagore, Epicure et Jésus ». *Mercure de France*, 103, 569-600.  
[<http://visualiseur.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k202048b>]
- Gemelli, B. (1978). «L'amicizia in Epicuro». *Sandalion*, 1, 59-72.
- Giancola, L. (1998) (a cura di). *Epistème ed éthos in Epicuro*. Roma: Armando Editore (reprinted in 2007, Roma: Armando Editore).
- Giannantoni, G. (1984). «Il piacere cinetico nell'etica epicurea». *Elenchos*, 5, 25-44; Fr. transl. (1985). « Le plaisir cinétique dans l'éthique d'Épicure ». Brunschwig, J.; Imbert, C.; Roger, A. (éds). *Histoire et structure. A la mémoire de Victor Goldschmidt*. Paris: Vrin, 213-27.
- Giesz, L. (1990). “Epikur: das Glück der Freundschaft”. Giesz, L. (Hrsg.). *Philosophische Spaziergänge: zwölf vorsichtige Antworten auf die Frage, wie man sich im Leben denn einzurichten hätte*. Stuttgart: Metzler, 3-19.  
[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-476-03302-4\\_1](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-476-03302-4_1)]
- Gigandet, A. (2006). « Remarques sur la question de la piété dans l'épicurisme ». Santini, C.; Zurli, L.; Cardinali, L. (a cura di). *Concentus ex dissonis. Scritti in onore di Aldo Setaioli*, 2 voll. Napoli: Ed. Scientifiche Italiane, vol. I, 323-35.  
[[https://www.edizioni.esi.it/publicazioni/libri/letteratura\\_-\\_1/letteratura\\_classica\\_-\\_1\\_-\\_01/concentus-ex-dissonis-scritti-in-onore-di-aldo-setaioli-tomo-i-tomo-ii.html](https://www.edizioni.esi.it/publicazioni/libri/letteratura_-_1/letteratura_classica_-_1_-_01/concentus-ex-dissonis-scritti-in-onore-di-aldo-setaioli-tomo-i-tomo-ii.html)]
- Gigandet, A. (2007). « Le calcul épicurien des plaisirs: l'utile et l'agréable ». Boulègue, L.; Lévy, C. (éds). *Hédonismes : Penser et dire le plaisir dans l'Antiquité et à la Renaissance*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 85-94.  
[<https://books.openedition.org/septentrion/9283>]
- Gigante, M. (2001). «Philodemus ethicus necnon physicus». Andorlini, I. (a cura di). *Atti del XXII congresso internazionale di papirologia, Firenze, 23-29 agosto 1998*. Firenze: Istituto Papirologico G. Vitelli, 549-58.
- Gigon, O. (Hrsg.) (1991). *Epikur, Von der Überwindung der Furcht: Katechismus, Lehrbriefe, Spruchsammlung, Fragmente*. München: Dt. Taschenbuch-Verl.
- Giovacchini, J. (2007). « Le souvenir des plaisirs: le rôle de la mémoire dans la thérapie épicurienne ». Boulègue, L.; Lévy, C. (éds). *Hédonismes : Penser et dire le plaisir dans l'Antiquité et à la Renaissance*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 69-83.  
[<https://books.openedition.org/septentrion/9281>]  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01267543>]

Giovacchini, J. (2019). « La *tetrapharmakos*, formule authentique ou résumé simpliste de l'éthique épicurienne ? ». *PhilosAnt*, 19, 29-56.

[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3044#abstract>]

Glidden, D.K. (1981). "Epicurus and the Pleasure Principle". Depew, D.J. (ed.). *The Greeks and the Good Life. Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Philosophy Symposium, California State University, Fullerton*. Fullerton: California State University, 177-97.

Gobry, I. (1976). « La sagesse d'Épicure ». *EPh*, 1, 79-90.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20846786?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20846786?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Gontran, C. (2006). « Épicure : de la vie bienheureuse au plaisir ». Lefebvre, R.; Villard, L. (éds). *Le plaisir : réflexions antiques, approches modernes*. Mont-Saint-Aignan: Publ. des Universités de Rouen et du Havre, 101-21.

Grilli, A. (1996). «Considerazioni sul fr. 555 Us. di Epicuro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 377-86.

Grilli, A. (2000). «Per l'interpretazione della *Sentenza Vaticana* 79 d'Epicuro». *SIFC*, 18/2, 226-9.

Guyau, J.-M. (2002). *La morale d'Épicure et ses rapports avec les doctrines contemporaines*. Sous la direction de G. Romeyer-Dherbey; préface et traduction des notes par J.-B. Gourinat. Fougères: Encre Marine (I ed. 1874).

Hackemann, M. (Hrsg.) (2007). *Epikur: Von der Lust zu leben*. Köln: Anaconda.

Hammerstaedt, J. (2003). «Atomismo e libertà nel XXV libro Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». *CErc*, 33, 151-8.

Hammerstaedt, J. (2016). «Considerazioni epicuree sul tema della vecchiaia». De Sanctis, D; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (eds). *Questioni epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Akademie Verlag, 199-212.

Held, K. (1985). "Entpolitisierte Verwirklichung des Glücks. Epikurs Brief an Menoikeus". Engelhardt, P. (Hrsg.). *Glück und geglücktes Leben. Philosophische und theologische Untersuchungen zur Bestimmung des Lebensziels*. Mainz: Matthias-Grünwald-Verlag, 77-127.

Held, K. (2007). *Hēdonē und Ataraxia bei Epikur*. Paderborn: Mentis.

Hibler, R.W. (1984). *Happiness Through Tranquility. The school of Epicurus*. Washington: University Press of America.

Holloway, P. (2008). "«Beguile your soul» (Sir xiv 16; xxx 23): An Epicurean eme in Ben Sira". *Vetus Testamentum*, 58(2), 219-34.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/vt/58/2/article-p219\\_6.xml?language=en&body=contentSummary-39130](https://brill.com/view/journals/vt/58/2/article-p219_6.xml?language=en&body=contentSummary-39130)]

Hossenfelder, M. (1986). «Epicurus, hédoniste malgré lui». Schofield, M.; Striker, G. (eds). *The Norms of Nature. Studies in Hellenistic Ethics*. Cambridge: University Press; Paris: Éd. de la Maison des sciences de l'Homme, 245-63.

Hourcade, A. (2006). « Le problème de la responsabilité chez Épicure ». *SCO*, 52, 107-39.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/24187014?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/24187014?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

[<https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-01886799>]

Isnardi Parente, M. (1969). «A proposito di ΦΥΣΙΣ e ΤΕΧΝΗ in alcuni testi epicurei». *La Cultura*, 71-87.

- Isnardi Parente, M. (1978). «La dottrina di Epicuro e il “carattere pratico” della filosofia ellenistica». *RSF*, 33, 3-29.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Janda, J. (1966). “Die Lebensweisheit Epikurs”. *Zprávy Jednoty Klassických Filologů*, 8, 132-9.
- Jufresa, M. (1996). «Il tempo e il sapiente epicureo». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I 287-98.
- Juniper, W.H. (1948). “Some Ethical Implications of the Atomic Theory of Epicurus”. *University of Texas Publication*, 5-6.
- Kimmich, D. (1993). *Epikureische Aufklärungen: philosophische und poetische Konzepte der Selbstsorge*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Konstan, D. (1983). “Problems in Epicurean Ethics”. Anton, J.P.; Preus, A. (eds). *Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy II*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 431-64.
- Konstan, D. (1996). “Friendship From Epicurus to Philodemus”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 387-96.
- Konstan, D. (2012). “Epicurean Happiness: A Pig’s Life?”. *Journal of Ancient Philosophy*, 6/1, 1-22.  
[\[http://www.revistas.usp.br/filosofiaantiga/article/view/43309\]](http://www.revistas.usp.br/filosofiaantiga/article/view/43309)
- Konstan, D. (2013). “Lucretius and the Epicurean attitude Towards Grief”. Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrok, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 194-209.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-8\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-8)
- Konstan, D. (2015). “Freedom of the Will in Epicurus”. *BSFI*, 215, 3-14.  
[\[https://www.sfi.it/files/download/Bollettini/BOLLETTINO%20215.pdf\]](https://www.sfi.it/files/download/Bollettini/BOLLETTINO%20215.pdf)
- Konstan, D. (2018). “On Grief and Pain”. Harris, W.V. (ed.). *Pain and Pleasure in Classical Times*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 201-12.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000020.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004379503/BP000020.xml)
- Krokiewicz, A. (1961). *L'hédonisme d'Épicure*. Warszawa: Pax.
- Lanza, D. (1980). «La massima epicurea “Nulla è per noi la morte”». *SicGymn*, 33, 357-65.
- Laurand, V. (2003). « Le traitement épicurien de la douleur ». Bénatouil, T.; Laurand, V.; Macé, A. (éds). *L'épicurisme antique. Les Cahiers Philosophiques de Strasbourg*, 15. Strasbourg: Presses universitaires de Strasbourg, 91-117.
- Lesses, G. (2002). “Happiness, Completeness, and Indifference to Death in Epicurean Ethical Theory”. *Apeiron*, 35/4, 57-68.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/35/4/article-p57.xml?language=en\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/35/4/article-p57.xml?language=en)
- Liebersohn, Y.Z. (2015). “Epicurus’ «kinetic» and «katastematic» pleasures: a reappraisal”. *Elenchos*, 36/2, 271-96.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/36/2/article-p271.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/36/2/article-p271.xml)
- Liebersohn, Y.Z. (2018). “Epicurus’ *varietas* and ἡ κινητικὴ ἡδονή”. *Mnemosyne*, 4, 71/5, 777-98.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/71/5/article-p777\\_4.xml?language=en\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/mnem/71/5/article-p777_4.xml?language=en)

- Long, A.A. (1985). "Pleasure and Social Utility. The Virtues of Being Epicurean". Flashar, H.; Gigon, O. (éds). *Aspects de la philosophie hellénistique. Entretiens sur l'Antiquité classique XXXII, Vandœuvres-Genève 26-31 août 1985*. Vandœuvres-Genève: Fondation Hardt, 283-324.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128-chapter-9\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128-chapter-9)
- Long, A.A. (2006). "Chance and Laws of Nature in Epicureanism". Long, A.A. *From Epicurus to Epictetus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 157-77.  
[\[https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128-chapter-8\]](https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199279128.001.0001/acprof-9780199279128-chapter-8)
- Long, A.A. (2020). "Epicureanism and Utilitarianism". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 742-60.  
[\[https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-28\]](https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-28)
- Masi, F.G. (2006). «Libertà senza clinamen: il XXV libro del *Peri physeos* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 36, 9-46.
- Masi, F.G. (2012). «La natura del moto volontario: *ut sit in nostra potestate*». Maso, S. (a cura di). *Cicerone, De Fato. Seminario Internazionale, Venezia 10-12 luglio 2006*. Venezia: Cafoscarina, 151-62.  
[\[http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Lexis25\\_Masi\\_natura.pdf\]](http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Lexis25_Masi_natura.pdf)
- Masi, F. (2017). "Virtue, Pleasure, and Cause. A case of Multi-Target Polemic? Diogenes of Oenoanda, fr. 32-33 Smith". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverses*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 111-42.  
[\[https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978827\]](https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978827)
- Maso, S. (2009). «*Ta perata tou biou*. L'esperienza del limite nella prospettiva epicurea». Chiurco, C.; Sciuto, I. (a cura di). *Verità, fede, interpretazione. Saggi in onore di Arnaldo Petterlini*. Padova: il Poligrafo, 175-86.
- Maso, S. (2014). "*Motus animi voluntarius*. The Ciceronian Epicurus from Libertarian Free Will to Free Choice". Destrée, P.; Salles, R.; Zingano, M. (eds). *What is Up to Us? Studies on Agency and Responsibility in Ancient Philosophy*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 235-50.
- Massolo, R. (1951). *Il problema della felicità in Epicuro*. Palermo: Travi.
- Mazzantini, C. (1964-1965). «La struttura antisolipsistica dell'intimità umana, nella filosofia morale di Epicuro». *AAT*, 99, 763-76.
- Mitsis, P. (1987). "Friendship and Altruism in Epicureanism". *OSAPh*, V, 127-53.
- Mitsis, P. (1988). *Epicurus' Ethical Theory: The Pleasures of Invulnerability*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press; Fr. transl. (2014). *L'éthique d'Épicure : les plaisirs de l'invulnérabilité*. Paris: Classiques Garnier; Ital. transl. (2019). *La teoria etica di Epicuro. I piaceri dell'invulnerabilità*. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7591/j.cttq45fk\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7591/j.cttq45fk)  
[\[https://classiques-garnier.com/l-ethique-d-epicure-les-plaisirs-de-l-invulnerabilite-en.html\]](https://classiques-garnier.com/l-ethique-d-epicure-les-plaisirs-de-l-invulnerabilite-en.html)  
[\[https://www.lerma1896.it/dettagli\\_libro/la-teoria-etica-di-epicuroi-piaceri-dellinvulnerabilita\]](https://www.lerma1896.it/dettagli_libro/la-teoria-etica-di-epicuroi-piaceri-dellinvulnerabilita)
- Mitsis, P. (1989). "Epicurus on Death and the Duration of Life". Cleary, J.J.; Shartin, D.C. (eds). *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy, IV*. Lanham: University Press of America, 303-22; Ital. transl. (2019). *Epicuro sulla morte e la durata della vita*. Mitsis, P. *La libertà, il piacere, la morte. Studi sull'epicureismo e la sua influenza*. Ed. italiana a cura di E. Piergiacomi. Roma: Carocci, 153-77.

- Mitsis, P. (1996). "Epicureans on Death and the Deprivations of Death". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 805-12.
- Mitsis, P. (2002). "Happiness and Death in Epicurean Ethics". *Apeiron*, 35/4, 41-55; Ital. transl. (2019). «Felicità e morte nell'etica epicurea». Mitsis, P. *La libertà, il piacere, la morte. Studi sull'Epicureismo e la sua influenza*. Roma: Carocci, 191-208.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/35/4/article-p41.xml>]
- Mitsis, P. (2019). *La libertà, il piacere, la morte. Studi sull'epicureismo e la sua influenza*. Ed. a cura di E. Piergiacomi. Roma: Carocci.  
[[http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com\\_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309029](http://www.carocci.it/index.php?option=com_carocci&task=schedalibro&Itemid=72&isbn=978884309029)]
- Mitsis, P. (2020). *How to be Happy: An Ancient Guide to the Epicurean Life (translation of texts with an introduction) in Ancient Wisdom for Modern Readers*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Molina Cantó, E.; Oyarzún Robles, P. (2005). «Sobre el *clinamen*». *Méthexis*, 18, 67-87.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43738746?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43738746?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Morana, C. (1996). «L'atomisme antique face à l'amour». *RPhilos*, 186/1, 119-32.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097606?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41097606?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Morel, P.-M. (2010). «Prudence aristotélicienne et prudence épicurienne». Coitinho Silveira, D.; Hobuss, J. (eds). *Virtudes, Direitos e Democracia*. Pelotas: Editora Universitária UFPEL, 11-30.  
[<http://cifmp.ufpel.edu.br/arquivos/livro2.pdf>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2014). "Epicurus' Garden: Ethics and Politics". Warren, J.; Sheffield, F.C.C. (eds). *The Routledge Companion to Ancient Philosophy*. London-New York: Routledge, 469-81.  
[<https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-01516511>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2018). «La peur, passion radicale : sur l'économie des passions chez Lucrèce». *Elenchos*, 39/2, 281-99.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p281.xml>]
- Morel, P.-M. (2019). «Épicure et la *phronêsis* : une autre sagesse pratique». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S.; Viano, C. (a cura di). *Êthikê theôria. Studi sull'Etica Nicomachea in onore di Carlo Natali*. Roma: Edizioni di Storia e Letteratura.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4489212>]
- Morrison, A.D. (2013). "Nil igitur mors est ad nos? Iphianassa, the Athenian Plague, and Epicurean Views of Death". Lehoux, D.; Morrison, A.D.; Sharrock, A. (eds). *Lucretius: Poetry, Philosophy, Science*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 211-32.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199605408.001.0001/acprof-9780199605408-chapter-9>]
- Moutsopoulos, E.A. (2003). «Η "τέχνη βίου" κατ' Ἐπίκουρον: πεττεία και καιρός». *Platon*, 53, 14-30.
- Müller, R. (1987). "Anthropologie und Ethik in der epikureischen Philosophie". *Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaft in Berlin*, 3/G, 3-23.
- Müller, R. (1991). *Die epikureische Ethik*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Müller, R. (1993). "Philosophie als Lebenskunst: Epikur und seine Schule". *Altertum*, 39/2, 91-8.

- Nava Contreras, M. (2002). «La “economía” del filósofo: epicúreos y estoicos helenísticos acerca del vivir filosóficamente». *Synthesis*, 9, 39-51.  
[\[https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/846/84615527004.pdf\]](https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/846/84615527004.pdf)  
[\[http://sedici.unlp.edu.ar/handle/10915/8637\]](http://sedici.unlp.edu.ar/handle/10915/8637)
- Németh, A. (2017). *Epicurus on the Self*. London-New York: Routledge.  
[\[https://www.routledge.com/Epicurus-on-the-Self/Nemeth/p/book/9780367496890\]](https://www.routledge.com/Epicurus-on-the-Self/Nemeth/p/book/9780367496890)
- Nijs, W. (2019). “«Then truly the life of the gods will pass to men»: Contemplating Diogenes of Oenoanda’s Golden Age”. *GRBS*, 59/2, 242-61.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/16217\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/16217)
- Nikolsky, B. (2001). “Epicurus on Pleasure”. *Phronesis*, 46, 440-65.
- Nizan, P. (1936). « Sagesse d’Epicure ». *Europe*, 162, 160-81.
- Nussbaum, M.C. (1986). “Therapeutic Arguments. Epicurus and Aristotle”. Schofield, M.; Striker, G. (eds). “The Norms of Nature. Studies in Hellenistic Ethics”. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Paris: Éd. de la Maison des sciences de l’Homme, 31-74.
- Nussbaum, M.C. (1991). “Epicurus’ Ethical Theory: The Pleasures of Vulnerability”. *Ph&PhenR*, 51, 677-87.
- O’Connor, D.K. (1989). “The Invulnerable Pleasures of Epicurean Friendship”. *GRBS*, 30, 165-86.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4271\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4271)
- O’Keefe, T. (2001). “Is Epicurean Friendship Altruistic?”. *Apeiron*, 34/4, 269-305.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/34/4/article-p269.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/34/4/article-p269.xml)
- O’Keefe, T. (2003). “Lucretius on the Cycle of Life and the Fear of Death”. *Apeiron*, 36, 43-65.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/36/1/article-p43.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/36/1/article-p43.xml)
- O’Keefe, T. (2005). *Epicurus on Freedom*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-on-freedom/F5058A8C45DB77CE70CA0BF56A3116F9\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-on-freedom/F5058A8C45DB77CE70CA0BF56A3116F9)
- O’Keefe, T. (2009). “Action and responsibility”. Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 142-57.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/action-and-responsibility/F8D171CCBFA351CD1EE3BF1393CA1233\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/action-and-responsibility/F8D171CCBFA351CD1EE3BF1393CA1233)
- O’Meara, D.J. (2019). “Ancient Biographies of Pythagoras and Epicurus as Models of the Philosophical Life”. *PhilosAnt*, 19, 151-65.  
[\[https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3192\]](https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3192)
- Packer, M.N.P. (1938). *Cicero’s Presentation of Epicurean Ethics. A Study Based Primarily on De finibus I and II*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Pakcińska, M. (1956). «Epicurus quid de voluptate senserit». *Meander*, 11, 388-99.
- Pakcińska, M. (1959). *Hedonistyczna etyka Epikura*. Warszawa: Państw. Wyd. Nauk.
- Pakcińska, M. (1959-1960). «De Epicuro in ethicis Democritum secuto». *Eos*, 50/1, 53-9.
- Pasquali, A. (1970). *La moral de Epicuro*. Caracas: Monte Avila Ed.
- Pesce, D. (1982). «Sulla dottrina del piacere in Epicuro». *RFN*, 74/1, 3-26.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43060974?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43060974?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Philippson, R. (1938). "Epicurea". *RhM*, 169-77.  
[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/087/Philippson.pdf>]

Piergiacomi, E. (2017). «Παρ' ἡμᾶς. Note di confronto su due recenti studi sull'etica di Epicuro». *Syzetesis*, 2/2, 131-54.  
[[http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/2/5%20nota\\_Piergiacomi\\_2015\\_2.pdf](http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/2/5%20nota_Piergiacomi_2015_2.pdf)]

Piergiacomi, E. (2017). «La folle nostalgia dell'immortalità: su Epicuro, *Ad Men.* 124.10-11». *SCO*, 63, 91-102.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4217716>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/26511129#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/26511129#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Piergiacomi, E. (2018). «Fisica ed etica del sé in Epicuro?». *CErc*, 48, 51-66.  
[<http://www.cispe.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Abstract-Cronache-48.pdf>]

Piergiacomi, E. (2019). «Azione o moto? Gli Epicurei su piante, animali, bambini». De Luise, F.; Zavattero, I. (a cura di). *La volontarietà dell'azione tra Antichità e Medioevo*. Trento: Università degli Studi di Trento, 263-94.

Piergiacomi, E. (2019). «La libertà: un evento?». Mitsis, P. *La teoria etica di Epicuro. I piaceri dell'invulnerabilità*, ed. italiana a cura di E. Piergiacomi. Roma: L'Erma di Bretschneider, 198-202.  
[[https://www.lerma1896.it/dettagli\\_libro/la-teoria-etica-di-epicuroi-piaceri-dellinvulnerabilita](https://www.lerma1896.it/dettagli_libro/la-teoria-etica-di-epicuroi-piaceri-dellinvulnerabilita)]

Piergiacomi, E. (2019). « In search of an epicurean *catharsis* ». *PhilosAnt*, 19, 117-50.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3161>]

Piettre, R. (1999). « Épicure, dieu et image de dieu : une autarcie extatique ». *RHR*, 216/1, 5-30.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rhr\\_0035-1423\\_1999\\_num\\_216\\_1\\_1110](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rhr_0035-1423_1999_num_216_1_1110)]

Porter, J.I. (2003). "Epicurean Attachments: Life, Pleasure, Beauty, Friendship, and Piety". *CErc*, 33, 205-27.

Procopé, J.F. (1998). "Epicureans On Anger". Sihvola, J.; Engberg-Pedersen, T. (eds). *The Emotions in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Dordrecht-Boston: Kluwer, 171-96.  
[[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-015-9082-2\\_6](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-94-015-9082-2_6)]

Purinton, J.S. (1999). "Epicurus on the *telos*". *Phronesis*, 38, 281-320.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/38/3/article-p281\\_3.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/38/3/article-p281_3.xml)]

Rider, B.A. (2014). "Epicurus on the Fear of Death and the Relative Value of Lives". *Apeiron*, 47/4, 461-84.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/47/4/article-p461.xml?language=en>]

Rist, J.M. (1980). "Epicurus on Friendship". *GPh*, 75, 121-9.  
[[http://www.faculty.umb.edu/adam\\_beresford/courses/phil\\_381\\_08/1\\_epicurus\\_on\\_friendship.pdf](http://www.faculty.umb.edu/adam_beresford/courses/phil_381_08/1_epicurus_on_friendship.pdf)]

Rosenbaum, S.E. (1986). "How to Be Dead and Not Care. A Defense of Epicurus". *American philosophical Quarterly*, 23, 217-25.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20014142?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20014142?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Rosenbaum, S.E. (1989). "Epicurus and Annihilation". *PhQ*, 39, 81-90.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2220353?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2220353?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Rosenbaum, S.E. (1996). "Epicurean Moral Theory". *HPhQ*, 13/4, 389-409.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/27744719?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/27744719?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

- Roskam, G. (2012). "Will the Epicurean Sage Break the Law if He is Perfectly Sure that He Will Escape Detection? A Difficult Problem Revisited". *TAPA*, 142/1, 23-40.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41475446?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41475446?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Sabato, F. (2006). «*In Phalaridis tauro: un paradosso epicureo*». *Paideia*, 61, 599-613.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2444744\]](https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2444744)
- Salem, J. (1989). *Tel un dieu parmi les hommes. L'éthique d'Épicure*. Paris: Vrin.
- Salem, J. (1993). « Les conditions de l'apaisement ». Matton, S. (éd.). *La pureté : quête, d'absolu au péril de l'humain*. Paris: Éd. Autrement, 131-41.
- Sanders, K.R. (2002). *Natural Passions: Desire and Emotion in Epicurean Ethics*. PhD dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.  
[\[https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/11769\]](https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/11769)
- Sanders, K.R. (2011). "Philodemus and the fear of premature death". Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean tradition*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 211-34.  
[\[https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84926097468&origin=inward&txGid=8340b171bd3e7bb6cd375e054418a57b\]](https://www.scopus.com/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84926097468&origin=inward&txGid=8340b171bd3e7bb6cd375e054418a57b)
- Sbordone, F. (1983). «Il quadrifarmaco epicureo». *CErc*, 13, 117-9.
- Schlanger, J. (2000). *Sur la bonne vie: Conversations avec Épicure, Épictète et d'autres amis*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France; Ital. transl. (2002). *Come vivere felici: Conversazioni con Epicuro, Epitteto e altri amici*. Genova: Il Nuovo Melangolo.
- Schmidt, H. (1921). *Epikurs Philosophie der Lebensfreude*. Stuttgart: A. Kröner.  
[\[https://archive.org/details/epikursphilosoph00schm\]](https://archive.org/details/epikursphilosoph00schm)
- Schmidt, J. (1989). "Für und wider die Lust. Epikur und der Antiepikeismus von der Antike bis zur Moderne. Mit einem Versuch über Hieronymus Bosch". Schmidt, J. (Hrsg). *Aufklärung und Gegenklärung in der europäischen Literatur, Philosophie und Politik von der Antike bis zur Gegenwart*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, 206-19.
- Scott, D. (1989). "Epicurean Illusions". *CQ*, 39, 360-74.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/639379?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/639379?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Sedley, D.N. (1983). "Epicurus' Refutation of Determinism". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ: *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, vol. I, 11-51.
- Sedley, D.N. (1996). "The Inferential Foundations of Epicurean Ethics". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 313-39.
- Sedley, D.N. (2020). "Lucretian Pleasures". Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 11-22.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-002.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-002.xml)
- Seel, G. (1996). «Farà il saggio qualcosa che le leggi vietano, sapendo che non sarà scoperto?». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 341-60.
- Silva, M.F. da (2000). «O éthos do equilíbrio ou do exercício da sabedoria». *Kléos*, 4, 183-8.

[<https://it.scribd.com/document/439814649/O-ETHOS-DO-EQUILIBRIO-OU-DO-EXERCICIO-DA-SABEDORIA-Markus-Figueira-da-Silva>]

Sodnik-Zupanec, A. (1954). «L'éthique d'Épicure». *Ziva Antika*, 4, 261-8.

Spinelli, E. (1996). «Epicuro contro l'avidità di denaro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 409-19.

Spinelli, E. (2014). «L'ideale di Epicuro è per pochi capaci di attuarlo»: l'interpretazione di Epicuro». *GCFI*, 1, 149-64.

Spinelli, E. (2019). “*Physiologia Medicans: The Epicurean Road to Happiness*”. Castagnoli, L.; Ceccarelli, P. (eds). *Greek Memories: Theories and Practices*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 278-91.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/greek-memories/physiologia-medicans-the-epicurean-road-to-happiness/75BFA81AF7901A46FB4E4B9A2C71A51B>]

Steckel, H. (1960). *Epikurs Prinzip der Einheit von Schmerzlosigkeit und Lust*. PhD dissertation, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen.

Stern-Gillet, S. (1989). “Epicurus and Friendship”. *Dialogue*, 28, 275-88.

Striker, G. (1993). “Epicurean Hedonism”. Brunschwig, J.; Nussbaum, M.C. (eds). *Passions and Perceptions: Studies in Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind. Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 3-17.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/passions-and-perceptions/epicurean-hedonism/CAE5817645A5CD1EE7A56BCAD403DE8F>]

Summers, K. (1995). “Lucretius and the Epicurean Tradition of Piety”. *CPh*, 90, 32-57.  
[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/367443?journalCode=cp>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/270690?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/270690?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Taylor, B. (2014). “Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Meaning of ‘Pleasure’ (NF 192)”. *ZPE*, 191, 84-9.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43909587?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43909587?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Taylor, C.C.W. (2007). “Democritus and Lucretius on Death and Dying”. Brancacci, A.; Morel, P.-M. (eds). *Democritus: Science, the Arts, and the Care of the Soul : Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Democritus, Paris, 18-20 September 2003*. Leiden: Brill, 77-86.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352\\_005.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352_005.xml)]

Tescari, O. (1931). «La φυσιολογία fondamento dell'etica nella dottrina di Epicuro». *Convivium*, 3, 262-73.

Tescari, O. (1944-1945). «Nota Epicurea (il desiderio indefinito)». *RFIC*, 72-73, 112-115.

Tielsch, E. (1978). “Epikurs Theorie vom privaten und sozialen Glück des Menschen. Herkunft, Systematik und ethischer Gehalt”. Bien, G. (Hrsg.). *Die Frage nach dem Glück*. Stuttgart: Frommann-Holzboog, 59-76.

Tsinorema, V. (1985). “The Concept of Pleasure in Epicurus' Moral Philosophy”. *Diotima*, 13, 147-55.

Tsoua, V. (1996). “Epicurean Attitudes to Management and Finance”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. 2, 701-14.

Tsoua, V. (2007). *The ethics of Philodemus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199292172.001.0001/acprof-9780199292172>]

- Tsouana, V. (2009). "Epicurean Therapeutic Strategies". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 249-65.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicurean-therapeutic-strategies/88B1B7E460C0B08A7988E044F695C61F\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/epicurean-therapeutic-strategies/88B1B7E460C0B08A7988E044F695C61F)
- Turner, J.H. (1947). "Epicurus and Friendship". *CJ*, 42, 351-5.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3291646?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3291646?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Verde, F. (2013). «TYXH e ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ nell'Epicureismo». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S.; Alessandrelli, M. (eds). *Fate, Chance, and Fortune in Ancient Thought*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 177-97.
- Verde, F. (2018). «I *pathe* di Epicuro tra epistemologia ed etica». *Elenchos*, 39/2, Special Section: Masi, F.G.; Maso, S.; Verde, F. (eds). *Materialistic Pathe*, 205-30.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p205.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/39/2/article-p205.xml)
- Verde, F. (2020). «Il saggio epicureo e il controllo delle passioni». Veillard, C.; Renaut, O.; El Murr, D. (eds). *Les philosophes face au vice, de Socrate à Augustin*. Leiden: Brill.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004432390/BP000017.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004432390/BP000017.xml)
- Voelke, A.-J. (1986). « Santé de l'âme et bonheur de la raison. La fonction thérapeutique de la philosophie dans l'épicurisme ». *EL*, 3, 67-87.
- Waerdt, P.A. van der (1987). "The Justice of the Epicurean Wise Man". *CQ*, 37, 402-22.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/justice-of-the-epicurean-wise-man/C893E3CC2A077B0CD120F359AF8A16CC\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/justice-of-the-epicurean-wise-man/C893E3CC2A077B0CD120F359AF8A16CC)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638838#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638838#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Waerdt, P.A. van der (1988). "Hermarchus and the Epicurean Genealogy of Morals". *TAPA*, 118, 87-106.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/284163#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/284163#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Wallner, F. (1972). *Demokritische und epikureische Ethik*. PhD dissertation, Universität Wien.
- Warren, J. (2000). "Epicurean Immortality". *OSAPh*, 18, 231-61.
- Warren, J. (2001). "Epicurus and the Pleasures of the Future". *OSAPh*, 21, 135-79.
- Warren, J. (2001). "Epicurus' Dying Wishes". *PCPhS*, 47, 23-46.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-classical-journal/article/epicurus-dying-wishes/124915993203413F23E41397FD910003\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-classical-journal/article/epicurus-dying-wishes/124915993203413F23E41397FD910003)
- Warren, J. (2001). "Lucretius, Symmetry Arguments, and Fearing Death". *Phronesis*, 46/4, 466-91.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182682?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182682?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Warren, J. (2002). *Epicurus and Democritean Ethics: An Archaeology of Ataraxia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/ancient-philosophy/epicurus-and-democritean-ethics-archaeology-iataraxiai?format=HB&isbn=9780521813693\]](https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/ancient-philosophy/epicurus-and-democritean-ethics-archaeology-iataraxiai?format=HB&isbn=9780521813693)
- Warren, J. (2006). *Facing Death: Epicurus and His Critics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[\[https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0199252890.001.0001/acprof-9780199252893\]](https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0199252890.001.0001/acprof-9780199252893)
- Warren, J. (2009). "Removing fear". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 234-48.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/removing-fear/1545C6952233C5596325263385D8D598\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/removing-fear/1545C6952233C5596325263385D8D598)

Wolff, F. (1994). « Crainte de la mort et crainte des dieux selon l'épicurisme ». Jerphagnon, L.; Lagrée, J.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Ainsi parlaient les Anciens : in honorem Jean-Paul Dumont*. Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion, 149-62.

Wolfsdorf, D. (2009). "Epicurus on Εὐφοροσύνη and Ἐνέργεια (DL 10.136)". *Apeiron*, 42, 221-58.

Woolf, R. (2004). "What Kind of Hedonist was Epicurus?". *Phronesis*, 494, 300-22.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182759?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4182759?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Woolf, R. (2009). "Pleasure and Desire". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 158-78.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/pleasure-and-desire/3066B359EF3B5233D2304FBFEC6EE685>]

Zacher, K.D. (1985). "Zur Lustlehre Epikurs". *WJA*, 11, 63-72.

[<https://docplayer.org/34967179-Zur-lustlehre-epikurs.html>]

Zografidis, G. (ed.) (1991). *Epikourou Ethike*. Athina: Exantas.

#### 4.4 Politics and Society

Alberti, A. (1995). "The Epicurean Theory of Law and Justice". Laks, A.; Schofield, M. (eds). *Justice and Generosity: Studies in Hellenistic Social and Political Philosophy. Proceedings of the Sixth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 161-90; Ital. transl. (2008). «La teoria epicurea della legge e della giustizia». Alberti, A. (a cura di). *L'arte del vivere: aspetti dell'etica aristotelica ed epicurea*. Genova: Il melangolo, 87-120.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/justice-and-generosity/epicurean-theory-of-law-and-justice/A5F29A3A0B3BB352D94138A33EAE9F47>]

Albrecht, M. von (2006). «*Terror et pavor*: politica e religione in Lucrezio». Urso, G. (a cura di). *Terror et pavor: violenza, intimidazione, clandestinità nel mondo antico. Atti del convegno internazionale: Cividale del Friuli, 22-24 settembre 2005*. Pisa: ETS, 231-45.

[[http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von\\_Albrecht.pdf](http://www.fondazionecanussio.org/atti2005/12von_Albrecht.pdf)]

Arkins, B.T. (1984). "Epicurus and Lucretius on Sex, Love, and Marriage". *Apeiron*, 18, 141-3.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/18/2/article-p141.xml>]

Armstrong, J.M. (1997). "Epicurean Justice". *Phronesis*, 42/3, 324-34.

[[https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1641176](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1641176)]

Barigazzi, A. (1983). «Sul concetto epicureo della sicurezza esterna». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 73-92.

Benferhat, Y. (2005). *Ciues Epicurei. Les épicuriens et l'idée de monarchie à Rome et en Italie de Sylla à Octave*. Bruxelles: Latomus.

Beretta, M. (2012). «Il concetto di legge naturale in Lucrezio e Seneca». Beretta, M.; Citti, F.; Pasetti, L. (a cura di). *Seneca e le scienze naturali*. Firenze: Olschki, 1-17.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/2918516>]

Besnier, B. (2001). « Justice et utilité de la politique dans l'épicurisme: réponse à Élisabeth Asmis ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 129-57.

- Blank, D.L. (2009). "Philosophia and technē: Epicureans on the Arts". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 216-33.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/philosophia-and-technē-epicureans-on-the-arts/3D25B6A32C40566C14B6B04AE1D9BFBB\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/philosophia-and-technē-epicureans-on-the-arts/3D25B6A32C40566C14B6B04AE1D9BFBB)
- Brennan, T. (1996). "Epicurus on Sex, Marriage and Children". *CPh*, 91/4, 346-52.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/270440?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/270440?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/367525?journalCode=cp\]](https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/367525?journalCode=cp)
- Brown, E.A. (2009). "Politics and Society". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 179-96.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/politics-and-society/5BEDCC910118C26F16A0A811E2CCC07E\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/politics-and-society/5BEDCC910118C26F16A0A811E2CCC07E)
- Capasso, M. (1987). *Comunità senza rivolta. Quattro saggi sull'epicureismo*, con una premessa di M. Gigante. Napoli: Bibliopolis.  
[\[https://bibliopolis.it/shop/comunita-senza-rivolta/\]](https://bibliopolis.it/shop/comunita-senza-rivolta/)
- Cassanmagnago, C. (1988). «Il problema della legge nel I libro del *De legibus* di Cicerone in rapporto ad Epicuro». *Zetesis*, 8/2, 17-22.
- Chilton, C.W. (1960). "Did Epicurus Approve of Marriage? A study of Diogenes Laertius X, 119". *Phronesis*, 5, 71-4.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/5/1/article-p71\\_10.xml?language=en\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/phro/5/1/article-p71_10.xml?language=en)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181668?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181668?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Clay, D. (1983). "Individual and Community in the First Generation of the Epicurean School". A.A.V.V.. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ: *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*, 2 voll. Napoli: Macchiaroli, I, 255-79 (reprinted in 1998. *Paradosis and Survival: Three Chapters in the History of Epicurean Philosophy*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 55-74).
- Clay, D. (1989). "A Lost Epicurean Community". *GRBS*, 30, 313-35.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4321\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4321)
- Clay, D. (2009). "The Athenian Garden". Warren, J. (ed.). *The Cambridge Companion to Epicureanism*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 9-28.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/athenian-garden/B261E64D3690BF2223B7E683CD8BA451\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-epicureanism/athenian-garden/B261E64D3690BF2223B7E683CD8BA451)
- Conche, M. (2013). «Droit naturel et droit positif chez Épicure». *RPhilos*, 138/4, 549-56.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/42773275?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/42773275?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Denyer, N. (1983). "The Origins of Justice". A.A.V.V. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ: *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, vol. I, 133-52.
- De Sanctis, D. (2006). «Omero e la sua esegesi nel *De bono rege*». *CErc*, 36, 47-64.
- De Sanctis, D. (2007). «Il sovrano a banchetto: prassi del simposio e etica dell'equilibrio nel *De bono rege* (*PHerc.* 1507, coll. XVI-XXI Dorandi)». *CErc*, 37, 49-65.
- De Sanctis, D. (2008). «Il buon re di Filodemo tra Epicuro e Omero». *CErc*, 38, 165-77.
- De Sanctis, D. (2012). «Utile a molti, utile al singolo: il proemio della lettera a Pitocle di Epicuro». *CErc*, 42, 95-110.
- DeWitt, N.W. (1932). "Vergil and Epicureanism". *CW*, 25, 89-96.

[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/4389672.pdf>]

DeWitt, N.W. (1936). “Epicurean *Contubernium*”. *TAPA*, 55-63.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/283227?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/283227?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Diacò, S. (2009). «*Philia* e legge nella comunità epicurea». *BSFI*, 197, 3-11.

[<https://www.sfi.it/files/download/Bollettini/BOLLETTINO%20197.pdf>]

Di Fabio, T. (2017). «Donne epicuree: cortigiane, filosofe o entrambe?». *BSFI*, 221/2, 19-36.

[<https://www.rivisteweb.it/doi/10.23816/87571>]

D’Orta, M. (1991). «Giurisprudenza e epicureismo: nota su Cic., *Ad Fam.* 7.12.1-2». *Iura*, 42, 123-45.

Englert, W. (2014). “Epicurean Philosophy in Cicero’s *De Republica*: Serious Threat or Convenient Foil?”. *Etica & Politica / Ethics & Politics*, 16/2, 253-66.

[<https://www.openstarts.units.it/bitstream/10077/10708/1/ENGLERT.pdf>]

Erler, M. (2016). “Epikur oder die Kunst, in Gemeinschaft zu leben”. Ernst, G. (Hrsg.). *Philosophie als Lebenskunst. Antike Vorbilder, moderne Perspektiven*. Berlin: Suhrkamp Verlag, 66-87.

Erbì, M. (2016). «Χρηῖα εὐιλία: la prassi delle donazioni nel Κῆπος». Tulli, M. (a cura di). *Testo e forme del testo: Ricerche di filologia filosofica*. Pisa-Roma: Fabrizio Serra Editore, 281-315.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4235848>]

Erler, M. (2009). “Ursachenanalyse von Krieg und Frieden bei Platon und in der hellenistischen Philosophie”. Holzem, A. (Hrsg.). *Krieg und Christentum: religiöse Gewalttheorien in der Kriegserfahrung des Westens*. Paderborn: Schöningh, 169-79.

[<https://brill.com/view/title/46569?rskey=wPeN3b&result=27>]

Erler, M. (2016). “*Otium* als *negotium* – Epikureische Briefe: Themen und Funktionen”. Eickhoff, F.C. (Hrsg.). *Muße und Rekursivität in der antiken Briefliteratur*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck GmbH and Co. KG, 61-73.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv9b2x8x.7?refreqid=excelsior%3A9cad41501225f686e8c9c9f76265247a&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv9b2x8x.7?refreqid=excelsior%3A9cad41501225f686e8c9c9f76265247a&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Farrington, B. (1939). *Science and Politics in the Ancient World*. London: Routledge.

Farrington, B. (1953). “Second Thoughts on Epicurus”. *Science & Society*, 17, 326-39.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40400216?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40400216?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Farrington, B. (1953). “*Vita Prior* in Lucretius”. *Hermathena*, 81, 59-62.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039075?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039075?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Fish, J. (2011). “Not All Politicians Are Sisyphus: What Roman Epicureans Were Taught about Politics”. Fish, J.; Sanders, K.R. (eds). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 72-104.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/epicurus-and-the-epicurean-tradition/not-all-politicians-are-sisyphus-what-roman-epicureans-were-taught-about-politics/28D5EFA725F5A7E8597218B7BF13C413>]

Foster, E. (2011). “The Political Aims of Lucretius Translation of Thucydides”. McElduff, S.; Sciarrino, E. (eds). *Complicating the History of Western Translation: The Ancient Mediterranean in Perspective*. Manchester: Routledge.

[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315760070/chapters/10.4324/9781315760070-15>]

Fowler, D.P. (1989). "Lucretius and Politics". Griffin, M.; Barnes, J. (eds). *Philosophia Togata: Essays on Philosophy and Roman Society*, I. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 120-50 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 397-431).

Freeman, K. (1937-1938). "Epicurus, a Social Experiment". *G&R*, 7, 156-68.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/greece-and-rome/article/epicurus-a-social-experiment/56DC64E54BA1EB68A83E3D046F89338F>]

Furley, D.J. (1978). "Lucretius the Epicurean: On the History of Man". Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucreèce: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 1-27 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 158-81).  
[[http://lib.uhd.edu.ig/files/sample2/9831\\_2.pdf](http://lib.uhd.edu.ig/files/sample2/9831_2.pdf)]

Garbo, G. (1936). «Società e stato nella concezione di Epicuro». *Atene e Roma*, 243-62.

Gigante, M.; Dorandi, T. (1980). «Anassarco e Epicuro *Sul regno*». *SicGymn*, 33, 479-97.

Giovacchini, J. (2018). « L'histoire du Jardin comme école : entre réalité historique et utopie philosophique ». *Métis*, 16, 237-60.  
[<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01949738>]

Goldschmidt, V. (2002). *La doctrine d'Épicure et le droit*. Paris: Vrin.

Grilli, A. (1953). «La posizione di Aristotele, Epicuro e Posidonio nei confronti della storia della civiltà». *RIL*, 86, 3-44.

Grilli, A. (1971). «Epicuro e il matrimonio (DL X,119)». *RSF*, 26, 51-6.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020086?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020086?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Grilli, A. (1995). «Lucrezio ed Epicuro: la storia dell'uomo». *PP*, 50, 16-45.

Grilli, A. (1996). «Considerazioni sul fr. 555 Us. di Epicuro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano, Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-26 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 377-86.

Grimal, P. (1985). « Les éléments philosophiques dans l'idée de monarchie à Rome à la fin de la République ». Flashar, H.; Gigon, O. (éds). *Aspects de la philosophie hellénistique. Entretiens sur l'Antiquité classique XXXII, Vandœuvres-Genève 26-31 août 1985*. Vandœuvres-Genève: Fondation Hardt, 233-81.

Heßler, J.E. (2012). "Sklaverei im *Kepos*, (s.v. Epikur/Epikureismus)". Heinen, H. (Hrsg.). *Handwörterbuch der antiken Sklaverei* (HAS). Stuttgart: Franz Steiner.

Heßler, J.E. (2015). "Das Gedenken an Verstorbene in der Schule Epikurs in der Tradition der ἐπιτάφιοι λόγοι". De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 95-112.

Heßler, J.E. (2018). "Plato, Hyperides, and Hellenistic cult practice. On the commemoration of the dead in the school of Epicurus". *Mnemosyne*, 71, 408-33.  
[[article-p408\\_4.xml](article-p408_4.xml)]

Indelli, G.; Leone, G. (2019). «Gli Epicurei e il culto della memoria». Lucherini, V.; Squillante, M. (a cura di). *La memoria post mortem dall'Antichità al Medioevo*. Roma: Viella Editrice, 27-41.  
[<https://www.viella.it/libro/9788833131535>]

Isnardi Parente, M. (1966). Τέχνη. *Momenti del pensiero greco da Platone a Epicuro*. Firenze: La Nuova Italia.

Isnardi Parente, M. (1978). «La dottrina di Epicuro e il “carattere pratico” della filosofia ellenistica». *RSF*, 33, 3-29.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020187?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Koch-Piettre, R. (2005). « Des Épicuriens entre la vie retirée et les honneurs publics ». Dasen, V.; Piérart, M. (éds). *Ἰδίᾳ καὶ δημοσίᾳ : les cadres « privés » et « publics » de la religion grecque antique. Actes du IXe colloque du Centre international d'étude de la religion grecque antique (CIERGA), tenu à Fribourg du 8 au 10 septembre 2003*. Liège: Centre International d'Étude de la Religion Grecque Antique, 259-72.

[<https://books.openedition.org/pulg/1562?lang=it>]

Krämer, H.J. (1980). “Epikur und die hedonistische Tradition”. *Gymnasium*, 87, 294-326.

Landolfi, L. (2011). «*Consuetudo concinnat amorem*: unioni stabili e condiscendenza femminile in Lucrezio». *BStudLat*, 41/1, 31-42.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478258>]

Leiwo, M.; Remes, P. (1999). “Partnership of Citizens and Metics: The Will of Epicurus”. *CQ*, 49/1, 161-6.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/639494?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Lévy, C. (2009). « Existe-t-il un instinct social dans l'épicurisme ? ». Devillers, O.; Meyers, J. (éds). *Pouvoirs des hommes, pouvoir des mots, des Gracques à Trajan. Hommages au professeur Paul Marius Martin*. Louvain-Paris: Peeters, 61-76.

Lewis, G. R. (1975). « Nature et civilisation dans l'épicurisme ». *EPh*, 4, 415-33.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883516?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23883516?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Martin, T.W. (2001). “Live Unnoticed: An Epicurean Maxim and the Social Dimension of Col 3:3-4”. Collins, A.Y.; Mitchell, M.M. (eds). *Antiquity and humanity: essays on ancient religion and philosophy presented to Hans Dieter Betz on his 70th birthday*. Tübingen: Mohr.

Masi, F.G. (2013). «Indeterminismo e autodeterminazione: Aristotele ed Epicuro». *Lexis*, 31, 213-37.

[[http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20\\_Masi\\_Lexis-31-2013\\_estratto.pdf](http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20_Masi_Lexis-31-2013_estratto.pdf)]

Maso, S. (1993). «Il giardino/porcile di Epicuro». *Lexis*, 11, 135-50.

[[http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Lexis\\_11\\_Maso\\_Giardino.pdf](http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Lexis_11_Maso_Giardino.pdf)]

McConnell, S. (2010). “Epicureans on Kingship”. *Cambridge Classical Journal*, 56, 178-98.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/cambridge-classical-journal/article/epicureans-on-kingship/9EE4B609C3196E70AB4E9084C647DE72>]

McConnell, S. (2017). “Demetrius of Laconia and the Debate Between the Stoics and the Epicureans on the Nature of Parental Love”. *CQ*, 67/1, 149-62.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/demetrius-of-laconia-and-the-debate-between-the-stoics-and-the-epicureans-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/6F20DB1EC02357BB3E2E192FF6A0CE6D>]

McConnell, S. (2018). “Lucretius on the Nature of Parental Love”. *Antichthon*, 52, 72-89.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/antichthon/article/lucretius-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/DED7D4F459DA0EFD1E535C062AB5CCEE>]

Medina González, A. (1998). «Placer, naturaleza y autarquía en la ética epicúrea». Gil, L.; Martínez Pastor, M.; Aguilar, R.M. (eds). *Corolla Complutensis in memoriam Josephi S. Lasso de la Vega = Homenaje al profesor José S. Lasso de la Vega*. Madrid: Ed. de la Universidad Complutense, 433-8.

Minutoli, G. (1997). «Il problema del diritto in Epicuro». *RIFD*, 74/3, 436-60.

- Morel, P.-M. (2000). « Épicure, l'histoire et le droit ». *REA*, 102/3-4, 393-411.  
[\[https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea\\_0035-2004\\_2000\\_num\\_102\\_3\\_4802\]](https://www.persee.fr/doc/rea_0035-2004_2000_num_102_3_4802)
- Morel, P.-M. (2007). « Les communautés humaines ». Gigandet, A.; Morel, P.-M. (éds). *Lire Épicure et les épicuriens*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France, 167-86.
- Morel, P.-M. (2014). "Epicurus' Garden: Ethics and Politics". Warren, J.; Sheffield, F.C.C. (eds). *The Routledge Companion to Ancient Philosophy*. London-New York: Routledge, 469-81.  
[\[https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-01516511\]](https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-01516511)
- Morel, P.-M. (2016). « Travail et émancipation dans l'épicurisme antique : Prométhée revisité ». *RIPh*, 278/4, 451-67.  
[\[https://www.cairn.info/revue-internationale-de-philosophie-2016-4-page-451.htm\]](https://www.cairn.info/revue-internationale-de-philosophie-2016-4-page-451.htm)
- Morel, P.-M. (2017). « La Terre entière, une seule patrie. Diogène d'Oenoanda et la politique ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oenoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 221-40.  
[\[https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978832\]](https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978832)
- Morel, P.-M. (2019). «Naturalismo e cosmopolitismo nell'Antichità. Epicureismo vs stoicismo». Bagnati, G.; Cassan, M.; Morelli, A. (a cura di) (2019). *Le varietà del naturalismo. Incontri Ca' Foscari-Paris 1*. Venezia: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, 63-76.  
[\[https://edizionicafoscari.unive.it/media/pdf/books/978-88-6969-325-0/978-88-6969-325-0-ch-04.pdf\]](https://edizionicafoscari.unive.it/media/pdf/books/978-88-6969-325-0/978-88-6969-325-0-ch-04.pdf)
- Müller, R. (1972). *Die epikureische Gesellschaftstheorie*. Berlin: Akademie Verlag.
- Müller, R. (1973). "Die sozialen Grundlagen der epikureischen Philosophie". Oliva, P.; Burian, J. (Hrsgg). *Soziale Probleme im Hellenismus und im römischen Reich: Akten der Konferenz, Liblice 10 bis 13. Oktober 1972*. Praha: Kab. pro Studia řecká, římc, 85-96.
- Müller, R. (1980). « Le rapport entre la philosophie de la nature et la doctrine morale chez Démocrite et Épicure ». *SicGymn*, 33, 325-51.
- Müller, R. (1983). "Konstituierung und Verbindlichkeit der Rechtsnormen bei Epikur". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 153-83.
- Müller, R. (1983). "Zu einem Entwicklungsprinzip der epikureischen Anthropologie". *Philologus*, 127, 187-206.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/127/1-2/article-p187.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/127/1-2/article-p187.xml)
- Müller, R. (1988). "Die epikureische Sozial- und Rechtsphilosophie". Gigon, O.; Fischer, M.W. (Hrsgg). *Antike Rechts- und Sozialphilosophie*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 113-28.
- Nichols, J. (1976). *Epicurean Political Philosophy: The De Rerum Natura of Lucretius*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Obbink, D. (1996). "Epicurus on the Origin of Poetry in Human History". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 683-700.
- O'Connor, D.K. (1989). "The Invulnerable Pleasures of Epicurean Friendship". *GRBS*, 30, 165-86.  
[\[https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4271\]](https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4271)
- O'Keefe, T. (2001). "Is Epicurean Friendship Altruistic?". *Apeiron*, 34/4, 269-305.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/34/4/article-p269.xml>]

O’Keefe, T. (2001). “Would a Community of Wise Epicureans Be Just?”. *AncPhil*, 21/1, 133-46.  
[[https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil\\_2001\\_0021\\_0001\\_0133\\_0146](https://www.pdcnet.org/ancientphil/content/ancientphil_2001_0021_0001_0133_0146)]

Perelli, L. (1955). «Epicuro e la dottrina di Crizia sull’origine della religione». *RFIC*, 33, 29-56.  
Philipsson R. (1910). “Die Rechtsphilosophie der Epikureer”. *Archiv für Geschichte der Philosophie*, 23, 433-46 (reprinted in Philipsson, R. (1983). *Studien zu Epikur und den Epikureern*. Hildesheim-New York: Olms, 76-89).

Porter, J.I. (2003). “Epicurean Attachments: Life, Pleasure, Beauty, Friendship, and Piety”. *CErc*, 33, 205-27.

Puliga, D. (1983). «Χρόνος e θάνατος in Epicuro». *Elenchos*, 4, 235-60.

Robitzsch, J.M. (2017). “The Epicureans on human nature and its social and political consequences”. *Polis*, 34/1, 1-19.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1\\_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.](https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/34/1/article-p1_1.xml?language=en#:~:text=The%20Epicureans%20on%20Human%20Nature%20and%20its%20Social%20and%20Political%20Consequences,-In%3A%20Polis%3A%20The&text=Based%20on%20certain%20passages%20in,contract%20is%20solitary%20and%20brutish.)]

Roskam, G. (2007). *Live unnoticed* (Λάθε βιώσας). *On the Vicissitudes of an Epicurean Doctrine*. Leiden-Boston: Brill.

Roskam, G. (2012). “Will the Epicurean Sage Break the Law if He is Perfectly Sure that He Will Escape Detection? A Difficult Problem Revisited”. *TAPhA*, 142, 23-40.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41475446?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41475446?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Roskam, G. (2020). “Epicurus on Marriage”. Beneker, J.; Tsouvala, G. (eds). *The Discourse of Marriage in the Greco-Roman World*. Wisconsin: The University of Wisconsin Press, 119-41.  
[<https://uwpress.wisc.edu/books/5552.htm>]

Ruijgh, C.J. (2000). « À propos de λάθε βιώσας : la valeur de l’impératif aoriste ». *Hyperboreus*, 6/2, 325-48.  
[<http://www.bibliotheca-classica.org/node/451>]

Schiesaro, A. (2007). “Lucretius and Roman Politics and History”. Gillespie, S.; Hardie, P.R. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Lucretius*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-lucretius/lucretius-and-roman-politics-and-history/E8A2A2D7284DD5ECA5CFC14D098B21A4>]

Schmidt, G.E.(1970). “Kannte Epikur den Ideologiebegriff?”. *Deutsche Zeitschrift für Philosophie*, 18/6, 728-31.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/dzph/18/6/article-p728.xml>]

Shearin, W.H. (2015). *The Language of Atoms: Performativity and Politics in Lucretius’ De rerum natura*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190202422.001.0001/acprof-9780190202422>]

Shiffman, M. (2010). “A Partial Cure for the Political Epicurean: Plutarch’s Advice to the Statesman’s Friend”. *Polis*, 27/2, 308-31.  
[[https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/27/2/article-p308\\_7.xml](https://brill.com/view/journals/agpt/27/2/article-p308_7.xml)]

Silvestre, M.L. (1995). «Epicuro e la politica». Cerasuolo, S. (a cura di). *Mathesis e philia: studi in onore di Marcello Gigante*. Naples: Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, 131-42.

Spinelli, E. (2018). «Cittadini e amici: legge, giustizia e comunità filosofica nel Giardino di Epicuro». *Archivio di Storia della Cultura*, 31, 5-28.  
[<http://www.liguori.it/periodici.asp?isbn=6779&vedi=6779&time=13.55.36#indice2>]

Spinelli, E. (2019). “Justice, Law, and Friendship: Ethical and Political Topics in Epicurus”. Riedweg, C. (Hrsg.). *Philosophie für die Polis*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 379-408.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110664836/10.1515/9783110664836-018.xml>]

Tielsch, E. (1978). “Epikurs Theorie vom privaten und sozialen Glück des Menschen. Herkunft, Systematik und ethischer Gehalt”. Bien, G. (Hrsg.). *Die Frage nach dem Glück*. Stuttgart: Frommann-Holzboog, 59-76.

Van den Steen, T. (2009). “Injustice: an Epicurean Guarantee for Justice”. *QUCC*, 93, 137-50.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/25676834?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/25676834?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Vander Waerdt, P.A. (1987). “The Justice of the Epicurean Wise Man”. *CQ*, 37, 402-22.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/justice-of-the-epicurean-wise-man/C893E3CC2A077B0CD120F359AF8A16CC>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638838?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638838?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Voelke, A.-J. (1982). «Droit de la nature et nature du droit. Calliclès, Épicure, Carnéade». *RPhilos*, 267-75.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093328?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093328?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Vogliano, A. (1934). «Autour du jardin d’Epicure». Bidez, J. (éd.). *Mélanges Bidez*. Bruxelles: Secrétariat de l’Institut, 979-92 (reprinted in 1938, *Études de Papyrologie*, 4, 1-13).

## 5. The Comparison with other Philosophers/Philosophical Schools

(Presocratics, Atomists, Sophists, Socrates, Plato, Aristotle, Academics, Pyrrhonian Sceptics, Stoics, Peripatetics, Aristocles of Messene, Middle Platonists, Atticus, Dionysius of Alexandria)

Adorno, F. (1980). «Epicuro *Epistola a Erodoto* 39,7-40,3. Vuoto, spazio-*chora*, intattilità, luogo, atomi e corpi nel vocabolario di Epicuro. Un’interpretazione di *Timeo* 52 A-D. di Platone e di *Fisica* 209B9-16 di Aristotele». *Elenchos*, 1, 245-75.

Adorno, F. (1983). «Fisica epicurea, fisica platonica e fisica aristotelica». *Elenchos*, 4, 207-33.

Adorno, F. (1996). «Epicuro nel suo momento storico: la ‘fisica’ come scienza: Epicuro da Platone ad Aristotele». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 65-86.

Albeggiani, F. (1937). «Aristotele ed Epicuro». *Logos*, 20, 422-24.

Alberti, A. (1981). *Gassendi e l’atomismo epicureo*. Firenze: Ist. Univ. Europeo.

Alberti, A. (1988). *Sensazione e realtà. Epicuro e Gassendi*. Firenze: Olschki.

Alfieri Vittorio, E. (1950). «Il divino in Democrito e in Epicuro». Alfieri Vittorio, E.; Untersteiner, M. (a cura di). *Studi di filosofia greca. Pubblicazione in onore di R. Mondolfo*. Bari: Laterza, 85-120.

Althoff, J. (1999). “Zur Epikurrezeption bei Laktanz”. Erler, M.; Fuhrer, T.F.; Schlapbach, K. (Hrsgg.). *Zur Rezeption der hellenistischen Philosophie in der Spätantike: Akten der 1. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 22-25 September 1997 in Trier*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 33-53.

- André, J.-M. (1994). « Augustin témoin et juge de l'épicurisme ». *Augustinus*, 39, 45-59.
- Angeli, A. (1997). «Filosofia e retorica nella polemica antiaristotelica di Epicuro (Philod., *Rhet.* VIII coll. 41, 12-LIV 17 Sudhaus, II, pp. 57-59)». *Rudiae*, 9, 5-27.
- Arenson, K.E. (2009). *Pleasure and the Absence of Pain: Reading Epicurus' Hedonism Through Plato's Philebus*. Phd dissertation, Laney Graduate School.  
[<https://etd.library.emory.edu/concern/etds/1r66j156t?locale=en>]
- Armstrong, A.H.A. (1938). "The gods in Plato, Plotinus, Epicurus". *CQ*, 190-6.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/636954?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/636954?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Armstrong, D. (2008). "«Be Angry and Sin Not»: Philodemus versus the Stoics on Natural Bites and Natural Emotions". Fitzgerald, J.T. (ed.). *Passions and Moral Progress in Greco-Roman Thought*. London-New York: Routledge, 79-121.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9780203936122/chapters/10.4324/9780203936122-12>]
- Arrighetti, G. (1979). «Un passo dell'opera *Sulla natura* di Epicuro, Democrito e Colote». *CErc*, 9, 5-10.
- Arrighetti, G. (1980). «Aporie aristoteliche ed etica epicurea». *MD*, 5, 9-26.
- Arrighetti, G. (1983). «Fisica epicurea, fisica platonica e fisica aristotelica». *Elenchos*, 4/2, 207-33.
- Asmis, E. (2001). "The Politician as Public Servant in Cicero's *De Republica*". Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 109-28.
- Auvray-Assayas, C. (2006). « Le rôle des plaisirs esthétiques dans l'éthique: Cicéron et la doctrine épicurienne du plaisir (*Sur les termes extrêmes des biens et des maux*, I-II) ». Lefebvre, R.; Villard, L. (éds). *Le plaisir : réflexions antiques, approches modernes*. Mont-Saint-Aignan: Publ. des Universités de Rouen et du Havre, 123-33.
- Avotins, I. (1977). "Training in Frugality in Epicurus and Seneca". *Phoenix*, 31, 214-7.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1087101.pdf>]
- Bailey, C. (1928). *The Greek Atomists and Epicurus*. Oxford: Clarendon Press (reprinted in 1964, New York: Russell & Russell).
- Bailey, C. (1943). "The Way of Life of a Stoic and an Epicurean". *PCA*, 20-22.
- Bakker, F.A. (2013). "Aëtius, Achilles, Epicurus and Lucretius on the Phases and Eclipses of the Moon". *Mnemosyne*, 66/4-5, 682-707.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588\\_010.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/9789004321588/B9789004321588_010.xml)]
- Baltussen, H. (2003). "Early Reactions to Plato's *Timaeus*: Polemic and Exegesis in Theophrastus and Epicurus". Sharples, R.W.; Sheppard, A. (eds). *Ancient approaches to Plato's Timaeus*. London: Institute of Classical Studies, University of London, 49-71.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767932?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767932?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.2003.tb02134.x>]
- Barbieri, A. (1959). «Epicuro e le conquiste matematiche-astronomiche. Significato di una polemica». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 73-88.
- Barigazzi, A. (1952). «Epicuro e gli ὄργανα astronomici». *Prolegomena*, 1, 61-70.

- Barigazzi, A. (1969). «Épicure et le Scepticisme». *Actes du VIIIe Congrès de l'Association Guillaume Budé, Actes du VIIIe Congrès, Paris, 5-10 avril 1968*. Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 286-93.
- Barigazzi, A. (1988). «L'amore. Plutarco contro Epicuro». Gallo, I. (a cura di). *Aspetti dello stoicismo e dell'epicureismo in Plutarco. Atti del II Convegno di studi su Plutarco, Ferrara, 2-3 aprile 1987*. Ferrara: Giornale filologico ferrarese, 89-108.
- Barkuras, G. (1963). *Nietzsche und Epikur*. PhD dissertation, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel.
- Bellandi, F. (2004). «Epicuro, Seneca e il matrimonio del *sapiens*: sul frammento 23 Vottero = 45 Haase del *De matrimonio di Seneca*». *MD*, 53, 175-82.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236253?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40236253?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2209830\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2209830)
- Berti, E. (1980). «La critica di Aristotele alla teoria atomistica del vuoto». *SicGymn*, 33, 135-59.
- Berti, E. (1999). «La polemica antiaristotelica di Filodemo a proposito della retorica». Natali, C; Maso, S. (a cura di). *Antiaristotelismo*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 63-75.
- Bieda, E.E. (2005). «El placer de ser feliz: notas sobre los posibles antecedentes peripatéticos del hedonismo epicúreo». *Nova Tellus*, 23/1, 99-148.  
[\[https://revistas-filologicas.unam.mx/nouatellus/index.php/nt/article/view/151\]](https://revistas-filologicas.unam.mx/nouatellus/index.php/nt/article/view/151)  
[\[http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?pid=S0185-30582005000100099&script=sci\\_arttext&tlng=en\]](http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?pid=S0185-30582005000100099&script=sci_arttext&tlng=en)
- Bignone, E. (1934-1935). «La formazione dell'etica epicurea attraverso la polemica con il primo Aristotele e la scuola platonico-aristotelica». *Atene e Roma*, 217-311 (1934), 3-52 (1935).
- Bignone, E. (1936). «A proposito della polemica di Eraclide Pontico e di Massimo Tirio contro Epicuro». *Convivium*, 14, 445-50.
- Bignone, E. (1938). «Epicuro e i comici greci, Teocrito e Ateneo». *Atene e Roma*, 75-92.
- Blank, D.L. (2007). «Aristotle's *Academic Course on Rhetoric* and the end of Philodemus, *On Rhetoric VIII*». *CErc*, 37, 5-47.
- Bloch, O. (1993). «Le contre-platonisme d'Épicure». Dixsaut, M. (éd.). *Contre Platon*. Tome I: *Le platonisme dévoilé*. Paris: Vrin, 85-102.
- Bolyard, C. (2006). «Augustine, Epicurus, and External World Skepticism». *JHPh*, 44/2, 157-68.  
[\[https://muse.jhu.edu/article/196880\]](https://muse.jhu.edu/article/196880)
- Boon, J.P. (1968). «Montaigne et Épicure. Aspects de l'hédonisme dans les Essais». *CompLit*, 20, 64-8.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1769807.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1769807.pdf)
- Borgo, A. (2013). «Epicuro in Seneca, ovvero la monetizzazione della sapienza». *BStudLat*, 43/1, 26-36.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2622269\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2622269)
- Boricevsky, I. A. (1936). «Demokrit und Epikur im Kampf um die Grundlagen des Atomismus». *Archives de l'histoire des science et des techniques*, 8, 111-36.
- Boulogne, J. (2003). «Plutarque dans le miroir d'Épicure: analyse d'une critique systématique de l'épicurisme». Villeneuve-d'Ascq: Presses Universitaires du Septentrion.
- Bove, L. (1994). «Épicurisme et spinozisme: l'éthique». *APhD*, 57, 471-84.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43037180?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43037180?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)  
[\[https://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S1984-02922012000300002\]](https://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S1984-02922012000300002)

- Boyancé, P. (1953). «Épicure et M. Sartre». *RPhilos*, 143, 426-31.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41088007?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41088007?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Boyer, A. (2004). *Kant et Épicure : le corps, l'âme, l'esprit*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Brancacci, A. (2011). «Antistene e Socrate in una testimonianza di Filodemo (T 17 Acosta Méndez-Angeli)». *CErc*, 41, 83-91.
- Brenk, F.E. (1988). "Cassius' «Epicurean» Explanation of Brutus' Vision in Plutarch's *Broutos*. Gallo, I. (a cura di). *Aspetti dello stoicismo e dell'epicureismo in Plutarco. Atti del II Convegno di studi su Plutarco, Ferrara, 2-3 aprile 1987*. Ferrara: Giornale filologico ferrarese, 109-18.
- Brieger, A. (1901). "Das atomistische System durch Correctur des anaxagoreischen entstanden". *Hermes*, 36, 161-86.
- Bronowski, A. (2013). "Epicureans and Stoics on Universals". Chiaradonna, R.; Galluzzo, G. (eds). *Universals in Ancient Philosophy*. Pisa: Edizioni della Normale, 255-97.  
[\[https://philarchive.org/rec/BROEAS-9\]](https://philarchive.org/rec/BROEAS-9)
- Brown, R.D. (1982). "Lucretius and Callimachus". *ICS*, 7, 77-97 (reprinted in Gale, M.R. (ed.) (2007). *Lucretius*. Oxford Readings in Classical Studies. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 328-50).  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23061153?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23061153?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Brown, R.D. (1983). "Lucretian Ridicule of Anaxagoras". *CQ*, 33, 146-60.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretian-ridicule-of-anaxagoras/8D129730A4E2EECD5EC8A7D5DDD03723\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/lucretian-ridicule-of-anaxagoras/8D129730A4E2EECD5EC8A7D5DDD03723)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638653?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638653?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Brunschwig, J. (1986). "The Cradle Argument in Epicureanism and Stoicism". Schofield, M.; Striker, G. (eds). *The Norms of Nature*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 113-44.
- Buddensiek, F. (2008). "Stoa und Epikur: Affekte als Defekte oder als Weltbezug?". Landweer, H.; Renz, U.; Brungs, A. (eds). *Klassische Emotionstheorien: von Platon bis Wittgenstein*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 71-93.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110284263/10.1515/9783110284263.69.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110284263/10.1515/9783110284263.69.xml)
- Burnyeat, M.F. (1978). "The Upside-down Back-to-front Sceptic of Lucretius IV 472". *Philologus*, 122, 197-206.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/122/1-2/article-p197.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/122/1-2/article-p197.xml)
- Cacitti, R. (1999). «Le ceneri di Epicuro: eversione religiosa, provvidenzialismo politico e polemica antieretica nel cristianesimo delle origini». *ASR*, 4, 307-41.
- Campos, J. (1958). «Por qué fué Cicerón antiépícuréo». *Helmantica*, 9, 415-23.  
[\[https://summa.upsa.es/high.raw?id=0000002527&name=00000001.original.pdf&attachment=0000002527.pdf\]](https://summa.upsa.es/high.raw?id=0000002527&name=00000001.original.pdf&attachment=0000002527.pdf)
- Campos-Daroca, F. (2019). "Epicurus and the Epicureans on Socrates and the Socratics". Moore, C. (ed.). *Brill's Companion to the Reception of Socrates*. Leiden: Brill, 237-65.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004396753/BP000018.xml\]](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004396753/BP000018.xml)
- Capasso, M. (1983). «Epicureismo e Eraclito. Contributo alla ricostruzione della critica epicurea alla filosofia presocratica». Rossetti, L. (a cura di). *Atti del Symposium Heracliteum 1981*, I: *Studi*. Roma: Ed. dell'Ateneo, 423-57.

- Capasso, M. (1984). «Prassifane, Epicuro e Filodemo. A proposito di Diog. Laert. X 13 e Philod. *Poem.* V IX 10-X 1». *Elenchos*, 5, 391-415.
- Capasso, M. (1985). «Epicureismo ed eleatismo. Secondo contributo alla ricostruzione della critica epicurea alla filosofia presocratica». Capasso, M.; De Martino, F.; Rosati, P.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Studi di filosofia preplatonica*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 253-309.
- Capone Braga, G. (1933). «Il semisoggettivismo di Democrito e il realismo di Epicuro». *Archivio di Filosofia*, 4, 45-72 (reprinted Capone Braga, G. (1951). *Studi su Epicuro*. Milano: Marzorati, 9-35).
- Cassanmagnago, C. (1988). «Il problema della legge nel I libro del *De legibus* di Cicerone in rapporto ad Epicuro». *Zetesis*, 8/2, 17-22.
- Castagnoli, L. (2013). “Democritus and Epicurus on Sensible Qualities in Plutarch, *Against Colotes* 3-9”. *Aitia*, 3, 1-35.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/aitia/622>]
- Chappell, S.-G. (2020). “Atoms, Complexes and Simples in the *Theaetetus*”. Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 113-35.
- Charrue, J.-M. (2006). «Plotin et Épicure». *Emerita*, 74/2, 289-320.  
[<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/195206501.pdf>]  
[<http://emerita.revistas.csic.es/index.php/emerita/article/view/19>]
- Chilton, C.W. (1962). “An Epicurean View of Protagoras. A Note on Diogenes of Oenoanda fragment XII (W)”. *Phronesis*, 7, 105-9.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181703?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4181703?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Choulet, P. (1998). «L'Épicure de Nietzsche : une figure de la décadence». *RPhilos*, 188/3, 311-30.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41098147?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41098147?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Citti, F. (1994). «Epicuro in Luciano: Epicur. 542 Us. *ap. Sen. epist.* 19, 10». *Paideia*, 49, 179-90.
- Classen, C.J. (2008). “Cicero and Lucretius on Epicurean Philosophy”. *Eikasmos*, 19, 175-88.
- Cornea, A. (2013). “Plotinus, Epicurus, and the Gnostics: on Plotinian Classification of Philosophy”. Corrigan, K.; Rasimus, T.; Burns, D.M.; Jenott, L.; Mazur, Z. (éds). *Gnosticism, Platonism and the Late Ancient World: Essays in Honour of John D. Turner*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 465-84.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004254763/B9789004254763\\_026.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004254763/B9789004254763_026.xml)]
- Cornea, A. (2014). “Aristotle and Epicurus on Sensations, Falsity, and Truth”. *Χώρα*, 12, 213-28.  
[<https://www.cceol.com/search/article-detail?id=519771>]
- Corti, A. (2013). «È realmente esistita una polemica tra Arcesilao e la scuola epicurea?». *Aitia : Regards sur la culture hellénistique au XXIe siècle* 3.  
[<http://aitia.revues.org/772>]
- Corti, A. (2014). *L'Adversus Colotem di Plutarco: Storia di una polemica filosofica*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt13x0mtp>]
- Criscuolo, U. (1994). «Aspetti della polemica antiepicurea nel tardoantico». AA.VV. *Storia, poesia e pensiero nel mondo antico: studi in onore di Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 149-67.

Damiani, V. (2020). "The reception of atomism in ancient medical literature. From Hippocrates to Galen". Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 39-60.

[<https://www.bloomsbury.com/us/atomism-in-philosophy-9781350107496/#:~:text=About%20Atomism%20in%20Philosophy&text=This%20collection%20covers%20the%20richness,about%20structure%2C%20time%20and%20reality.>]

Davies, M.C. (1987). "Cosma Raimondi's Defence of Epicurus". *Rinascimento*, 27, 123-39.

[<https://search.proquest.com/openview/795f34919603de001ffe09d48ae460ce/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=1817570>]

Davis, G. (2020). "Horace and Vergil". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 456-75.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-18>]

Degl'Innocenti Pierini, R. (1992). «Vivi nascosto: riflessi di un tema epicureo in Orazio, Ovidio, Seneca». *Prometheus*, 18, 150-72.

[<https://oaj.fupress.net/index.php/prometheus/article/view/423>]

De Lacy, Ph. (1941-1942). "The Lovers of Sights and Sounds". *CW*, 35, 222-3.

De Lacy, Ph. (1983). "Lucretius and Plato". AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 291-307.

Delattre, D. (1993). «Speusippe, Diogène de Babylone et Philodème». *CErc*, 23, 67-86.

Denyer, N. (1981). "The Atomism of Diodorus Cronus". *Prudentia*, 13, 33-45.

[<https://prudentia.auckland.ac.nz/index.php/prudentia/article/download/522/488>]

Dessi Fulgheri, A. (1982). «Elementi epicurei in Clemente Alessandrino. Alcune considerazioni». *Athenaeum*, 60, 402-35. DeDeWitt, N.W. (1944-45). "Epicurus and Leucippus". *The Classical Weekly*, 38, 155-7.

DeWitt, N.W. (1932). "Vergil and Epicureanism". *The Classical Weekly*, 25/12, 89-96.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4389672?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4389672?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

DeWitt, N.W. (1939). "Epicurean Doctrine in Horace". *CPh*, 127-34.

[<https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/362226?mobileUi=0>]

DeWitt, N.W. (1941-1942). "Virgil, Augustus, Epicureanism". *The Classical Weekly*, 35/24, 281-2.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4341506?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Virgil%2C+Augustus%2C+Epicureanism&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DVirgil%252C%2BAugustus%252C%2BEpicureanism%26filter%3D&ab\\_segments=0%2Fbasic\\_SYC-5187\\_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3A1f8a00cdbeac7b9aabe83aa2e709f36c&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4341506?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Virgil%2C+Augustus%2C+Epicureanism&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DVirgil%252C%2BAugustus%252C%2BEpicureanism%26filter%3D&ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-5187_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3A1f8a00cdbeac7b9aabe83aa2e709f36c&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

DeWitt, N.W. (1944-45). "Epicurus and Leucippus". *The Classical Weekly*, 38/20, 155-7.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/4342103?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Epicurus+and+Leucippus&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DEpicurus%2Band%2BLeucippus%26filter%3D&ab\\_segments=0%2Fbasic\\_SYC-5187\\_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3A659d24ba0870013c907509b4f2287685&seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/4342103?Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Epicurus+and+Leucippus&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DEpicurus%2Band%2BLeucippus%26filter%3D&ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-5187_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3A659d24ba0870013c907509b4f2287685&seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

DeWitt, N.W. (1952). "Epicurus and Menander". White, M.E. (ed.). *Studies in Honour of G. Norwood*. *Phoenix*, Suppl. 1, 116-26.

DeWitt, N.W. (1954). *St. Paul and Epicurus*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5749/j.ctttsrzrk>]

Di Marco, F. (1982). «Riflessi della polemica antiepicurea nei *Silli* di Timone, I: Epicuro γραμμοδιδασκαλίδης». *Elenchos*, 3, 325-46.

Di Marco, F. (1983). «Riflessi della polemica antiepicurea nei *Silli* di Timone, II: Epicuro, il porco e l'insaziabile ventre». *Elenchos*, 4, 59-91.

Di Matteo, T. (1999). «Cicerone traduttore di Epicuro». *Paideia*, 54, 175-85.

Doignon, J. (1999). « Augustin (lib. arb. 3, 2, 5) et Cicéron sur les Épicuriens et la fortune ». *RhM*, 142/1, 83-7.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234362?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41234362?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]  
[<http://www.rhm.uni-koeln.de/142/Doignon.pdf>]

Dorandi, Tiziano (1983). «Gli scritti antiepicurei di Plutarco». AA.VV. ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, 679-82.

Dragona-Monachou, M. (1984). "Democritus' Psychology of Religion in the Philosophy of Religion of Epicurus". Benakis, L.G. (ed.). *Proceedings of the First International Congress on Democritus, Xanthi 6-9 october 1983*. Xanthi: Intern. Democritean Found, 203-27.

Dumont, J.-P. (1981). « Plotin et la doxographie épicurienne ». Schuhl, P.-M.; Jerphagnon, L. (éds). *Néoplatonisme. Mélanges offerts à Jean Trouillard*. Fontenayaux-aux-Roses: ENS 5 rue Boucicaut, 191-204.

Düring, I. (1963-1964). "Epicurus, Against the Background of Attic Philosophy". *Lychnos*, 1-23.

Englert, W.G. (1996). "Stoics and Epicureans on the Nature of Suicide". Cleary, J.J.; Wians, W.C. (eds). *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy*, 10, 1994. Lanham: University Press of America, 67-96.

[[https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/10/1/article-p67\\_6.xml#:~:text=The%20Stoics%20held%20that%20it,avoid%20having%20to%20do%20it.](https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/10/1/article-p67_6.xml#:~:text=The%20Stoics%20held%20that%20it,avoid%20having%20to%20do%20it.)]

Erbì, M. (2009). «Il retore e la città nella polemica di Filodemo verso Diogene di Babilonia (*PHerc.* 1004, coll. 64-70)». *CErc*, 39, 119-40.

Erbì, M. (2010). «Eraclito e l'inganno della retorica in Filodemo (*PHerc.* 1004, coll. 57-63)». *CErc*, 40, 65-74.

Erbì, M. (2015). «Epicuro, Filodemo e la Retorica di Aristotele». Centrone, B. (a cura di). *La Retorica di Aristotele e la dottrina delle passioni*. Pisa: Pisa University Press, 33-61.

[<https://www.pisauniversitypress.it/scheda-libro/bruno-centrone/la-retorica-di-aristotele-e-la-dottrina-delle-passioni-9788867414512-233581.html>]

Erler, M. (1992). "Cicero und der 'unorthodoxe' Epikureismus". *Anregung*, 38, 307-22.

Erler, M. (1992). "Der Zorn des Helden. Vergils *Aeneis* und Philodems *De ira*". *GB*, 18, 103-26.

Erler, M. (2001). "Selbstfindung im Gebet: Integration eines Elementes epikureischer Theologie in den Platonismus der Spätantike". Szlezák, T.A.; Stanzel, K.-H. (Hrsgg). *Platonisches Philosophieren: zehn Vorträge zu Ehren von Hans Joachim Krämer*. Hildesheim: Olms, 155-71.

Erler, M. (2009). "Parrhesie und Ironie. Platons Sokrates und die epikureische Tradition". Gleis, R.F. (Hg.). *Ironie. Griechische und lateinische Fallstudien*. Trier: Wissenschaftlicher Verlag Trier, 59-75. Engl. transl. (2011). "Parrhesie and irony: Plato's Sokrates and the Epicurean tradition". King, R.A.H.; Schilling, D. (eds).

*How Should One Live? Comparing Ethics in Ancient China and Greco-Roman Antiquity*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 155-69.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110252897/10.1515/9783110252897.155.xml>]

Erlor, M. (2013). "Chain of Proof in Lucretius, Sextus, and Plato: Rhetorical Tradition and Philosophy". Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et Scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 25-43.

Erlor, M. (2017). "Diogenes against Plato. Diogenes' Critique and the Tradition of Epicurean Anti-Platonism". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oinoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 51-6.

[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978824>]

Erlor, M. (2020). "Plutarch". Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 507-30.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-11>]

Erlor, M. (2020). "Zur Bedeutung des Epikureismus für die platonische Ethik". Pietsch, Ch. et al. (Hrsg.). *Der Platonismus in der Antike*. Band 8.2, *Die philosophische Lehre des Platonismus. Die Ethik im antiken Platonismus der Kaiserzeit*. Bausteine 231-52: Text, Übersetzung, Kommentar. Stuttgart-Bad Cannstatt: Frommann-Holzboog Verlag, 513-9.

[[https://www.frommann-holzboog.de/autoren/michael\\_erler?lang=de](https://www.frommann-holzboog.de/autoren/michael_erler?lang=de)]

Essler, H. (2011). "Cicero's use and abuse of Epicurean theology". Fish, J.; Sanders, K. (Hrsgg). *Epicurus and the Epicurean Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 129-51.

Evangelou, G. (2018). "Reconciling Cicero's anti-Epicureanism in *De amicitia* with his friendship with Atticus". *Latomus*, 77/4, 991-1012.

[[https://poj.peeters-leuven.be/content.php?url=article&id=3285758&journal\\_code=LAT](https://poj.peeters-leuven.be/content.php?url=article&id=3285758&journal_code=LAT)]

Fallot, J. (1980). «Materialismo etico e materialismo scientifico in Democrito e Epicuro». *SicGymn*, 33, 353-5.

Farrington, B. (1939). "Epicurus and the *Laws* of Plato". *AClass*, 52-3.

Farrington, B. (1954). "Lucretius and Manilius on Friendship". *Hermathena*, 83, 10-6.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/23039315?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Fasce, S. (2015). «L'età della vita racchiusa in una lacrima: *rara lacrima* (Hor. *carm.* IV 1): con elementi della teoria epicurea del sogno». Isetta, S. (ed.). *FuturAntico*. 10, «... *Neanche una corona dura d'età in età*» (*Prv* 27, 24): *pagine sulle età della vita*. Genova: Erredi Grafiche Ed., 67-84.

Fine, G. (2011). "Concepts and Inquiry: Sextus and the Epicureans". Morison, B.; Ierodiakonou, K. (eds). *Episteme, etc. Essays in Honour of Jonathan Barnes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 90-114 (reprinted in 2014. *The Possibility of Inquiry: Meno's Paradox from Socrates to Sextus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 345-68).

[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199696482.001.0001/acprof-9780199696482-chapter-5>]

[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199577392.001.0001/acprof-9780199577392>]

Flacelière, R. (1959). «Plutarque et l'épicurisme». AA.VV. *Epicurea in memoriam Hectoris Bignone*. Genova: Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale, 197-215.

- Flores, E. (1987-1988). «Due problemi riguardo agli atomi in Democrito e Epicuro e il fr. 40 Casan. di Diogene di Enoanda». *Annali dell'Università degli Studi di Napoli "L'Orientale" / Dipartimento di Studi del Mondo Classico e del Mediterraneo Antico. Sezione Filologico-Letteraria*, 9-10, 3-17.
- Flores, E. (1996). «Gli *Astronomica* di Manilio e l'epicureismo». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 895-908.
- Fornaro, P. (1982). «Dione Crisostomo (12,35 ss.), Epicurei e Lucrezio». *Latomus*, 41, 285-304.
- Forschner, M. (1993). *Über das Glück des Menschen: Aristoteles, Epikur Stoa, Thomas von Aquin, Kant*. Darmstadt: Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft.
- Fowler, D. (1984). "Sceptics and Epicureans: A Discussion of M. Gigante *Scetticismo e Epicureismo*". *Oxford Studies in Ancient Philosophy*, 2, 237-67.
- Frassinetti, P. (1954). «Cicerone e gli dei di Epicuro». *RFIC*, 32, 113-32.
- Fuhrer, T. (2015). «Contro i Platonici con Epicuro: Agostino sulla fisiologia del corpo umano». *Eikasmos*, 26, 303-17.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4460226\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4460226)
- Furley, D.J. (1966). "Lucretius and the Stoics". *BICS*, 13, 13-33 (reprinted in 1989. *Cosmic Problems*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 183-205; Classen, C.J. (Hrsg.) (1986). *Probleme der Lukrezforschung*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Georg Olms Verlag, 75-95.  
[\[https://academic.oup.com/bics/article-abstract/13/1/13/5706757?redirectedFrom=PDF\]](https://academic.oup.com/bics/article-abstract/13/1/13/5706757?redirectedFrom=PDF)  
[\[https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1966.tb00027.x\]](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2041-5370.1966.tb00027.x)
- Furley, D.J. (1993). "Democritus and Epicurus on Sensible Qualities". Brunschwig, J.; Nussbaum, M.C. (eds). *Passions and Perceptions: Studies in Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind. Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 72-94.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/passions-and-perceptions/democritus-and-epicurus-on-sensible-qualities/63102220042F39D1E6A516807967B86B\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/passions-and-perceptions/democritus-and-epicurus-on-sensible-qualities/63102220042F39D1E6A516807967B86B)
- Gabaude, J.-M. (2005). « De la nécessité au hasard: des atomistes abdéritains à l'épicurisme ». *Hasard et nécessité dans la philosophie grecque : Τύχη και ανάγκη στην ελληνική φιλοσοφία*. Athènes: Academie d'Athènes, Centre de Recherche sur la Philosophie Grecque, 50-9.
- Gallavotti, C. (1975-1977). «La critica di Empedocle in Diogene di Enoanda». *MCr*, 22, 243-9.
- Gallo, I. (1988). «La *parrhesia* epicurea e il trattato *De adulatore et amico* di Plutarco. Qualche riflessione». Gallo, I. (a cura di). *Aspetti dello stoicismo e dell'epicureismo in Plutarco. Atti del II Convegno di studi su Plutarco, Ferrara, 2-3 aprile 1987*. Ferrara: Giornale filologico ferrarese, 119-28.
- Gallo, I. (1996). «Plutarco contro Epicuro: L'«anima luce» nel *De latenter vivendo*». Funghi, M.S. (a cura di). ODOI DIZHSIOS, *Le vie della ricerca: Studi in onore di Francesco Adorno*. Firenze: Olschki, 215-20.
- Gallo, I. (1996). «La polemica antiepicurea nel *De latenter vivendo* di Plutarco: osservazioni e note esegetiche». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 929-37.
- Gallo, I. (2005). «Aspetti della critica all'epicureismo nell'*Aduersus Colotem* di Plutarco». Jufresa, M. (éd.). *Plutarc a la seva època : paideia i societats. Actas del VIII simposio espanyol sobre Plutarc (Barcelona, 6-8 de novembre de 2003)*. Barcelona: Departament de Filologia Grega Universitat de Barcelona, 283-7.  
[\[https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/libro?codigo=9008\]](https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/libro?codigo=9008)

- Galmarini, A. (2008). «Lucrezio, Ippocrate e Platone: analisi lessicale di *De rerum natura* 3, 526-547». *Paideia*, 63, 125-55.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2444790>]
- Garani, M. (2020). “Seneca as Lucretius’ Sublime Reader (*Naturales Quaestiones 3 praef.*)”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 105-26.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-007.xml>]
- Gargiulo, T. (1980). «Aspetti politici della polemica anti epicurea di Cicerone. Il *Laelius de amicitia*». *Elenchos*, 1, 292-332.
- Gargiulo, T. (1988). «Una parodia epicurea nel *Parasito* di Luciano». *SIFC*, 6, 232-5.
- Gennaro, S. (1957). «Lucrezio e l’apologetica latina in Claudiano». *Miscellanea di Studi di Letteratura cristiana antica*, 7, 5-60.
- Gentile, S. (2013). «Ficino, Epicuro e Lucrezio». Hankins, J.; Meroi, F. (eds). *The Rebirth of Platonic Theology: Proceedings of a conference held at The Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies (Villa I Tatti) and the Istituto Nazionale di Studi sul Rinascimento (Florence, 26-27 April 2007)*. For Michael J.B. Allen. Firenze: Olschki, 119-36.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1tqx8b6?turn\\_away=true&Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Considerazioni+sulla+dottrina+teologica+di+Epicuro&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DConsiderazioni%2Bsulla%2Bdottrina%2Bteologica%2Bdi%2BEpicuro%26filter%3D&ab\\_segments=0%2Fbasic\\_SYC-5187\\_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3Aaedce575e4ab84ca86f66240dbd40136](https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1tqx8b6?turn_away=true&Search=yes&resultItemClick=true&searchText=Considerazioni+sulla+dottrina+teologica+di+Epicuro&searchUri=%2Faction%2FdoBasicSearch%3FQuery%3DConsiderazioni%2Bsulla%2Bdottrina%2Bteologica%2Bdi%2BEpicuro%26filter%3D&ab_segments=0%2Fbasic_SYC-5187_SYC-5188%2Fcontrol&refreqid=fastly-default%3Aaedce575e4ab84ca86f66240dbd40136)]
- Gerson, L.P. (2003). “Plotinus and Epicurean Epistemology”. Gordon, D.R.; Suits, D.B. (eds). *Epicurus: His Continuing Influence and Contemporary Relevance*. Rochester (NY): RIT Cary Graphic Arts Press, 69-79.
- Giannantoni, G. (1980). «Aristotele, Diodoro Crono e il moto degli atomi». *SicGymn*, 33, 125-33.
- Giannantoni, G. (1983). «La polemica antimegarica nel XXVIII libro *Della natura* di Epicuro». *CErc*, 13, 15-9.
- Gigandet, A. (2006). «Lucrece lecteur d’Héraclite». Ciccolini, L.; Guérin, C.; Itic, S. (éds). *Réceptions antiques : lecture, transmission, appropriation intellectuelle*. Paris: Éd. Rue d’Ulm, 11-25.
- Gigante, M. (1981). *Scetticismo e epicureismo: Per l’avviamento di un discorso storiografico*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Gigante, M. (1981). «Scetticismo e epicureismo, II». Giannantoni, G. (a cura di). *Lo scetticismo antico. Atti del Convegno organizzato dal Centro di studio del pensiero antico del C.N.R., Roma, 5-8 novembre 1980*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, 725-36.
- Gigante, M. (1990). «Quelques précisions sur le scepticisme et l’épicurisme». Voelke, A.-J. (éd.). *Le scepticisme antique : perspectives historiques et systématiques. Actes du Colloque international sur le scepticisme antique, Université de Lausanne, 1-3 juin 1988*. Lausanne: Revue de théologie et de philosophie, 69-83.
- Gigante, M. (1992). *Cinismo e Epicureismo*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Gigante, M. (1999). «Conobbe Seneca l’opera di Filodemo?». *CErc*, 29, 5-15.
- Gigante, M. (1999). *Kepon e Peripatos: contributo alla storia dell’aristotelismo antico*. Napoli: Bibliopolis.
- Gigante, M.; Indelli, G. (1978). «Bione e l’epicureismo». *CErc*, 8, 124-31.

- Gigante, M.; Dorandi, T. (1980). «Anassarco e Epicuro *Sul regno*». *SicGymn*, 33, 479-97.
- Gigon, O. (1978). “Lukrez und Ennius”. Gigon, O. (éd.). *Lucrece: huit exposés suivis de discussions*. Vandoeuvres-Geneva: Fondation Hardt, 167-91.
- Giorgiantonio, M. (1961). «Le nature simplici cartesiane e la dottrina di Epicuro». *Sophia*, 29, 492-4.
- Glei, R.F. (1988). “Et inuidus et imbecillus. Das angebliche Epikurfragment bei Laktanz, De ira Dei XIII, 20-21”. *VChr*, 42, 47-58.
- Gœury, M. (2013). « L’atomisme épicurien du temps à la lumière de la *Physique* d’Aristote ». *Les Études Philosophiques*, 4, 535-52.  
[\[https://www.cairn.info/revue-les-etudes-philosophiques-2013-4-page-535.htm\]](https://www.cairn.info/revue-les-etudes-philosophiques-2013-4-page-535.htm)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/42773147?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/42773147?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Goedeckemeyer, A.(1897). *Epikurs Verhältnis zu Demokrit in der Naturphilosophie*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/79399\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/79399)
- Goodman, L.E.; Aikin, S. (2017). “What did Epicurus Learn from Plato?”. *Philosophy*, 92, 421-47.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/philosophy/article/what-did-epicurus-learn-from-plato/898457D4B96A1ADEB164C3DEC80527F7\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/philosophy/article/what-did-epicurus-learn-from-plato/898457D4B96A1ADEB164C3DEC80527F7)
- Gori, G. (1978). «Tradizione epicurea e convenzionalismo giuridico in Gassendi». *RSF*, 33, 137-53.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020193?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020193?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Gourinat, J.-B. (2005). « L’origine des pensées : un bien commun des épicuriens et des stoïciens ». Végléris, E. (éd.). *Cosmos et psychè. Mélanges offerts à Jean Frère*. Hildesheim-Zürich-New York: Olms, 271-91.
- Gourinat, J.-B. (2017). « La critique des stoïciens dans l’inscription d’Énoanda ». Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 165-85.
- Graver, M.R. (2002). “Managing Mental Pain: Epicurus Vs. Aristippus on the Pre-Rehearsal of Future Ills”. Cleary, J.J.; Gurtler, G.M. (eds). *Proceedings of the Boston Area Colloquium in Ancient Philosophy*. 17, 2001. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 155-84.  
[\[https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/17/1/article-p155\\_6.xml?language=en\]](https://brill.com/view/journals/bapi/17/1/article-p155_6.xml?language=en)
- Graver, M.R. (2020). “Seneca and Epicurus”. Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 487-506.  
[\[https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-19\]](https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-19)
- Grilli, A. (1953). «La posizione di Aristotele, Epicuro e Posidonio nei confronti della storia della civiltà». *RIL*, 86, 3-44.
- Grilli, A. (1957). «Seneca ed Epicuro, fr. 522 Us.». *Paideia*, 12, 337-8.
- Grilli, A. (1983). «Orazio e l’epicureismo (ovvero *Serm.* 1,3 ed *Epist.* 1,2)». *Helmantica*, 34, 267-92.  
[\[https://summa.upsa.es/high.raw?id=0000003102&name=00000001\\_original.pdf&attachment=0000003102.pdf\]](https://summa.upsa.es/high.raw?id=0000003102&name=00000001_original.pdf&attachment=0000003102.pdf)
- Grilli, A. (1998). «Epicuro tra Seneca e Orazio». *RIL*, 132/1, 39-51.
- Grimal, P. (1993). « Recherche sur l’épicurisme d’Horace ». *REL*, 71, 154-60.

- Grzesiowski, M. (1985). «De egoismo et altruismo apud Epicurum considerato». *Meander*, 40, 233-45.
- Guerlac, H. (1963). *Newton et Épicure*. Paris: Université de Paris.
- Hahmann, A. (2017). *Aristoteles gegen Epikur: Eine Untersuchung über die Prinzipien der hellenistischen Philosophie ausgehend vom Phänomen der Bewegung*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/523398>]
- Hanchey, D. (2013). “Cicero, Exchange, and the Epicureans”. *Phoenix*, 67/1-2, 119-34.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7834/phoenix.67.1-2.0119#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.7834/phoenix.67.1-2.0119#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Hermes, Th. (1951). *Epikur in den Epistulae morales Senecas*. PhD dissertation, Philipps-Universität Marburg.
- Hershbell, J.P. (1992). “Plutarch and Epicureanism”. Haase, W.; Temporini-Vitzthum, H. (Hrsgg). *ANRW*, II, 36, 5: *Philosophie, Wissenschaften, Technik. Philosophie (Einzelne Autoren; Doxographica)*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 3353-83.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110857023/10.1515/9783110857023-005.xml?rskey=cr0zku&result=2>]
- Heßler, J.E. (2017). “Socratic protreptic and Epicurus: Healing through philosophy”. Moore, C; Stavru, A. (eds). *Socrates and the Socratic Dialogue. Philosophia Antiqua*, 665-81.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004341227/B9789004341227\\_002.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004341227/B9789004341227_002.xml)]
- Hubbell, H.M. (1916). “Isocrates and the Epicureans”. *CPh*, 11, 405-18.
- Huby, P. (1978). “Epicurus’ Attitude to Democritus”. *Phronesis*, 23, 80-6.
- Hülser, K. (1996). “Über Immanuel Kants Auseinandersetzung mit Epikurs Naturphilosophie”. Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 487-500.
- Indelli, G. (1977). «Polistrato contro gli Scettici». *CErc*, 7, 85-95.
- Indelli, G. (1986). «Platone in Filodemo». *CErc*, 16, 109-12.
- Indelli, G. (2000). «Colote di Lampsaco, il bersaglio polemico di Plutarco, e Polistrato, il terzo capo del Giardino». *CErc*, 30, 45-52.
- Innocenti, P. (1978). «Luciano di Samosata e l’epicureismo». *RSF*, 33, 30-53.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020188?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020188?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Ioppolo, A.M. (1990). «Un’interpretazione moderna dell’epicureismo». *Elenchos*, 11, 95-6.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1976). «Χρόνος ἐπινοούμενος e χρόνος οὐ νοούμενος in Epicuro, *PHerc.* 1413». *PP*, 31, 168-75.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «L’atomismo di Epicuro fra Democrito e Senocrate». Romano, F. (a cura di). *Democrito e l’Atomismo Antico. Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Catania 18-21 aprile 1979. Siculorum Gymnasium*, 33, 367-91. (reprinted in Isnardi Parente, M. (1991). «La prima Accademia e la fisica di Epicuro». Isnardi Parente, M. *Filosofia e scienza nel pensiero ellenistico*. Napoli: Morano, 171-95.
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1980). «Stoici, epicurei e il *motus sine causa*». *RSF*, 35, 23-31.

- Isnardi Parente, M. (1984). «I democritei e l'antiscetticismo di Epicuro (*Ratae Sententiae* XXIII-XXIV)». Baldoni, N. *et al.* (a cura di). *La storia della filosofia come sapere critico, studi offerti a Mario Dal Pra*. Milano: Franco Angeli, 106-21 (reprinted in 1991. *Filosofia e scienza nel pensiero ellenistico*. Napoli: Morano, 149-69).
- Jaekel, S. (1988). "Philosophisch orientierte Ansätze einer Sprachtheorie bei Gorgias, Isokrates und Epikur". *Arctos*, 22, 43-57.
- Jannone, A. (1996). «La polemica di Epicuro contro Aristotele». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 87-98.
- Jungkuntz, R.P. (1961). *Epicureanism and the Church Fathers*. PhD dissertation, University of Wisconsin.
- Jungkuntz, R.P. (1966). "Fathers, Heretics and Epicureans". *JEH*, 17, 3-10.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/journal-of-ecclesiastical-history/article/fathers-heretics-and-epicureans/81DE1E61C0095724FD7ECDF96CA1A7AE>]
- Kany-Turpin, J. (1986). « Les images divines. Cicéron lecteur d'Épicure ». *RPhilos*, 176, 39-58.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093974?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093974?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Kechagia, E. (2010). "Rethinking a Professional Rivalry. Early Epicureans Against the Stoa". *CQ*, 60/1, 132-55.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/rethinking-a-professional-rivalry-early-epicureans-against-the-stoa/F1845B5F91016F7AAE7BBC6AB8829B39>]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/40984744?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/40984744?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Kechagia, E. (2011). *Plutarch against Colotes: A lesson in history of philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199597239.001.0001/acprof-9780199597239#:~:text=By%20refuting%20Colotes'%20Epicurean%20arguments,about%20doing%20and%20reading%20philosophy.>]
- Keller, C. (1953). *Quid Epicurei et Stoici de interitu mundi docuerint. Quaestiones selectae*. PhD dissertation, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn.
- Kennedy, D.F. (2020). "Plato and Lucretius on the Theoretical Subject". O'Rourke, D. (ed.). *Approaches to Lucretius: Traditions and Innovations in Reading De Rerum Natura*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 259-81.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/approaches-to-lucretius/plato-and-lucretius-on-the-theoretical-subject/C177B2E40D68EF13FBD539FBE13A0364>]
- Kleve, K. (1978). "On the Beauty of God. A Discussion Between Epicureans, Stoics and Sceptics". *SO*, 53, 69-83.  
[<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397677808590714?journalCode=sosl20>]
- Kleve, K. (1983). "Scurra Atticus. The Epicurean View of Socrates". ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ. *Studi sull'epicureismo greco e romano offerti a Marcello Gigante*. Napoli: Macchiaroli, vol. I, 227-53.
- Knight, A.H.J. (1933). "Nietzsche and Epicurean Philosophy". *Philosophy*, 8/32, 431-445.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3746535?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3746535?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Konstan, D. (1982). "Stoics and Epicureans on the Nature of Man". *ISPh*, 14/1, 27-32.  
[[https://www.pdnet.org/intstudphil/content/intstudphil\\_1982\\_0014\\_0001\\_0027\\_0033](https://www.pdnet.org/intstudphil/content/intstudphil_1982_0014_0001_0027_0033)]
- Kragelund, P. (1991). "Epicurus, Pseudo-Quintilian and the Rhetor at Trajan's Forum". *C&M*, 42, 259-75.

Krüger, G. (1998). *Epikur und die Stoa über das Glück*. Heidelberg: Müller.

Kuznecov, B.G. (1973). « Einstein et Épicure ». *Diogène*, 81, 48-73.

Laks, A. (1988). “Minima und noematische Geschwindigkeit. Bemerkungen zur Kommensurabilität der Argumentation bei Aristoteles und Epikur”. Rudolph, E. (Hrsg.). *Zeit, Bewegung, Handlung: Studien zur Zeitabhandlung des Aristoteles*. Stuttgart: Klett-Cotta, 129-43; Fr. transl. (1991) « Épicure et la doctrine aristotélicienne du continu ». Gandt, F. de; Souffrin, P. (éds). *La Physique d’Aristote et les conditions d’une science de la nature. Actes du colloque organisé par le Séminaire d’Épistémologie et d’Histoire des Sciences de Nice*. Paris: Vrin, 181-94.

Lanaro, G. (1980). «Voltaire e Lucrezio». *Rivista Critica Di Storia Della Filosofia*, 35/4, 357-80.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44021999?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44021999?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Landolfi, L. (1996). «Cicerone e la φιλία epicurea o Le ambiguità del tradurre e del parafrasare». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 879-93.

Laurence, P. (1999). « Jérôme, Lucrèce et Épicure ». Poignault, R. (éd.). *Présence de Lucrèce, Actes du Colloque de Tours, 3-5 décembre 1998*. Tours: Centre de Recherche A. Piganiol, 267-78.

Laursen, S. (1990). “Against Democritus – Towards the End”. Capasso, M.; Messeri Savorelli, G.; Pintaudi, R. (a cura di). *Miscellanea papyrologica in occasione del bicentenario dell’edizione della Charta Borgiana*. Firenze: Gonnelli, 3-22.

Leone, G. (2007). «Epicuro ed Empedocle». Casertano, G. (a cura di). *Empedocle tra poesia, medicina, filosofia e politica*. Napoli: Loffredo, 221-40.

Leone, G. (2019). “Empedocles in the Herculaneum Papyri: An Update”. Vassallo, C. (ed.). *A Philosophical Reappraisal of the Sources. Proceedings of the International Workshop held at the University of Trier (22-24 September 2016)*. Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110666106/10.1515/9783110666106-013.xml>]

Lévy, C. (1997). « Lucrèce avait-il lu Enésidème? ». Algra, K.A.; Koenen, M.H.; Schrijvers, P. H. (eds). *Lucretius and his Intellectual Background*. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, 115-24.

Lévy, C. (1998). « Lucrèce et le scepticism ». *Vita Latina* 52, 2-9.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/vita\\_0042-7306\\_1998\\_num\\_152\\_1\\_1028](https://www.persee.fr/doc/vita_0042-7306_1998_num_152_1_1028)]

Lévy, C. (1999). « Lucrèce et les Stoïciens ». Poignault, R. (éd.). *Présence de Lucrèce, Actes du Colloque de Tours, 3-5 décembre 1998*. Tours: Centre de Recherche A. Piganiol, 87-98.

Lévy, C. (2001). « Cicéron et l’épicurisme : la problématique de l’éloge paradoxal ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d’Ulm, 61-75.  
[[https://www.presses.ens.fr/collections\\_14\\_etudes-de-litterature-ancienne\\_ciceron-et-philodeme\\_2-7288-0263-7.html](https://www.presses.ens.fr/collections_14_etudes-de-litterature-ancienne_ciceron-et-philodeme_2-7288-0263-7.html)]

Lévy, C. (2011). « Lucrèce et Cicéron à propos du pouvoir de la parole ». Balbo, A.; Bessone, F.; Malaspina, E. (a cura di). *Tanti affetti in tal momento’. Studi in onore di Giovanna Garbarino*. Alessandria: Ed. dell’Orso, 511-24.

Lévy, C. (2020). “Cicero”. Mitsis, P. (ed.). *The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 476-86.

[<https://www.oxfordhandbooks.com/view/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199744213.001.0001/oxfordhb-9780199744213-e-36>]

Liebich, W. (1954). "Ein Philodem-Zeugnis bei Ambrosius". *Philologus*, 98, 116-31.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/98/1-2/article-p116.xml>]

Liu, I. (2005). *Homoiosis theoi: Becoming Like God in Stoic and Epicurean Philosophy*. PhD dissertation, University of Chicago, Department of Philosophy.

[<https://www.kcl.ac.uk/artshums/depts/classics/modules/level6/6aact131>]

Lo Moro, F. (1976). «Seneca ed Epicuro. Memoria e religione nel *De beneficiis*». *StudUrb(B)*, 50, 257-80.

Long, A.A. (1986). "Epicureans and Stoics". Armstrong, A.H.A. (ed.). *Classical Mediterranean Spirituality. Egyptian, Greek, Roman*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 135-53.

Longo, O. (1987-1988). «Onde e/o corpuscoli: la luce fra Aristotele ed Epicuro». *AAPat*, 100, 55-68.

Longo, O. (1987-1988). «Onde e/o corpuscoli: la luce fra Aristotele ed Epicuro». *AAPat*, 100, 55-68.

Longo Auricchio, F.; Tepedino Guerra, A. (1980). «Per un riesame della polemica epicurea contro Nausifane». Romano, F. (a cura di). *Democrito e l'Atomismo Antico, Atti del Convegno Internazionale, Catania 18-21 aprile 1979*. *SicGymn*, 33, 467-77.

Luciani, S. (2003). «Présence de Démocrite dans l'astronomie lucretienne». *Euphrosyne*, 31, 83-98.

Machuca, D.E. (2013). «La critique du critère de vérité épicurien chez Sextus Empiricus : un scepticisme sur le monde extérieur ?». Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 105-27.

[<https://philpapers.org/rec/MACLCD>]

Manetti, G. (2012). «La semiotica salvatasi dal Vesuvio: il dibattito tra Epicurei e Stoici (?) sull'inferenza da segni nel *De signis* di Filodemo». *Blityri*, 1, 135-78.

[<https://blityri.it/index.php/blityri/article/view/20>]

Manolidis, G. (1991). "Epikurs Stellungnahme zur Aristotelischen Hedone-Diskussion in der Nikomachischen Ethik". *ZPhF*, 45, 530-44.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20483410?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20483410?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Mansfeld, J. (1994). "Epicurus Peripateticus". Alberti, A. (a cura di). *Realtà e ragione: Studi di filosofia antica*. Firenze: Olschki, 29-47 (reprinted in Mansfeld, J.; Runia, D.T. (2010). *Aëtiana: The Method and Intellectual Context of a Doxographer, Volume Three: Studies in the Doxographical Traditions of Ancient Philosophy*. Leiden: Brill, 237-54).

[<https://brill.com/view/title/16855>]

[<https://www.olschki.it/libro/9788822242174>]

Marchand, S. (2013). «Le statut de la philosophie épicurienne dans le néopyrrhonisme». Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 63-82.

[<https://halshs.archives-ouvertes.fr/halshs-00947808/document>]

Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds) (2013). *Épicurisme et scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice.

[<http://www.editricesapienza.it/en/node/7332>]

Marković, D. (2010-2011). "Lucretius 3.978-1023 and the Hellenistic Philosophical Polemics Against the Grammarians". *ICS*, 35-6, 143-53.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/iliclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/iliclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Maróth, M. (1993). "Epikureische Elemente in der Dichtkunst des Horaz". *ACD*, 29, 99-109.

- Marrone, L. (2000). «La logica degli Epicurei e degli Stoici: Filodemo e Crisippo». *CErc*, 30, 111-18.
- Martos Montiel, J.F. (1998). «Argumentos cirenaicos en la crítica plutarquea de la doctrina epicúrea». Adrados, F.R.; Martínez Díez, A. (eds). *IX congreso español de estudios clásicos. Madrid, 27 al 30 de septiembre de 1995*, vol. IV: *Literatura griega*. Madrid: Ed. Clásicas, 235-7.
- Marzo, C. de (1972). «Il moto degli atomi in Democrito e in Epicuro». *Annali della Facoltà di Lettere e Filosofia dell'Università degli Studi di Bari*, 15, 169-76.
- Masi, F.G. (2013). «Indeterminismo e autodeterminazione: Aristotele ed Epicuro». *Lexis*, 31, 213-37. [[http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20\\_Masi\\_Lexis-31-2013\\_estratto.pdf](http://www.lexisonline.eu/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/20_Masi_Lexis-31-2013_estratto.pdf)]
- Maso, S. (1979-80). «Il problema dell'epicureismo nell'epistola 33 di Seneca». *AIV*, 138, 573-89.
- Maso, S. (1999). «Dove sta l'errore? Sesto Empirico confronta Epicuro e Aristotele». Maso, S.; Natali, C. (a cura di). *Antiaristotelismo*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 185-205.
- Maso, S. (2008). *Capire e dissentire: Cicerone e la filosofia di Epicuro*. Napoli: Bibliopolis; Eng. transl. (2015). *Grasp and Dissent. Cicero and Epicurean Philosophy*. Turnhout: Brepols. [[http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod\\_id=IS-9782503550305-1](http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod_id=IS-9782503550305-1)]
- Maso, S. (2020). "Epicureans, Earlier Atomists, and Cyrenaics". Arenson, K. (ed.). *The Routledge Handbook of Hellenistic Philosophy*. New York: Routledge, 58-70.
- Mastrangelo, M. (1998-1999). "The Epicurean View of the Soul in Prudentius' *Psychomachia*". *New England classical newsletter & journal*, 26/3, 11-22.
- Mazzeo, J.A. (1958). "Dante and Epicurus". *Comparative Literature*, 10, 106-20. [[https://www.jstor.org/stable/1769081?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/1769081?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- McConnell, S. (2017). "Demetrius of Laconia and the Debate Between the Stoics and the Epicureans on the Nature of Parental Love". *CQ*, 67/1, 149-62. [<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/demetrius-of-laconia-and-the-debate-between-the-stoics-and-the-epicureans-on-the-nature-of-parental-love/6F20DB1EC02357BB3E2E192FF6A0CE6D>]
- McKirahan, R.D. (1996). "Epicurean Doxography in Cicero, *De natura deorum* Book I". Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 865-78.
- Meillier, C. (1989). «Un écho épicurien chez Théocrite et le code de la *philaletheia*». *Kentron*, 5, 115-34.
- Mellinghoff-Bourgerie, V. (1990). *Les incertitudes de Virgile : contributions épicuriennes à la théologie de l'Énéide*. Bruxelles: Latomus.
- Menghi, M. (1999). «La temperanza erotica: una raccomandazione epicurea riproposta e idealizzata in età imperiale». *MedSec*, 11/1, 29-41. [<https://www.medicinainisecoli.it/index.php/MedSecoli/article/view/1482>]
- Merlan, P. (1946). "Epicureanism and Horace". *TAPA*, 77, 325 (reprinted in 1949. *JHI*, 10/3, 445-51). [[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2707047?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2707047?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Merlan, P. (1960). *Studies in Epicurus and Aristotle*. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

Mitsis, P. (2007). "Life as Play, Life as a Play: Montaigne and the Epicureans". Harrison, S.J.; Fowler, P.G.; Heyworth, S.J. (éds). *Classical Constructions: Papers in Memory of Don Fowler, Classicist and Epicurean*. Oxford-New York: Oxford University Press, 18-38; Ital. transl. (2019). «Vivere è recitare, vivere è un recitare: Montaigne e gli Epicurei». Mitsis, P. *La libertà, il piacere, la morte. Studi sull'epicureismo e la sua influenza*. Roma: Carocci, 209-38.

[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199218035.001.0001/acprof-9780199218035-chapter-2>]

Modrak, D.K.W. (1993). "Stoics, Epicureans and Mental Content". *Apeiron*, 26, 97-108.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/26/2/article-p97.xml>]

Mondolfo, R. (1936). «Aristotele ed Epicuro». *Nuova Italia*, 273-79.

Monet, A. (éd.) (2003). *Le jardin romain: Épicurisme et poésie à Rome. Mélanges offerts à Mayotte Bollack*. Villeneuve d'Ascq: Presses de l'Université Charles-de-Gaulle-Lille 3.

Morel, P.-M. (2000). *Atome et nécessité : Démocrite, Épicure, Lucrece*. Paris: PUF.

Morel, P.-M. (2015). «I primi atomisti nel II Libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 55-66.

Morel, P.-M. (2019). «Naturalismo e cosmopolitismo nell'Antichità. Epicureismo vs stoicismo». Bagnati, G.; Cassan, M.; Morelli, A. (a cura di) (2019). *Le varietà del naturalismo. Incontri Ca' Foscari-Paris I*. Venezia: Edizioni Ca' Foscari, 63-76.

[<https://edizionicafoscari.unive.it/media/pdf/books/978-88-6969-325-0/978-88-6969-325-0-ch-04.pdf>]

Morel, P.-M. (2020). «L'ombra di Democrito sul libro XXXIV (coll. XX-XXI) del Περὶ φύσεως di Epicuro». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *'Vedere' l'invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431), VI Supplemento a CERC, Napoli, CISPE, 85-94*.

Nijs, W. (2020). "Failing Epicureans and Cynics Hiding in Plain Sight: Lucian of Samosata's Alexander Revisited". *Quaderni Urbinati di cultura classica*, 125/2, 153-76.

Notaro, E. (2007). «La presenza di Teofrasto ed Epicuro in alcuni passi della meteorologia lucreziana». *Vichiana*, 9/2, 197-212.

[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2203213>]

Nussbaum, M.C. (1986). "Therapeutic Arguments. Epicurus and Aristotle". Schofield, M.; Striker, G. (eds). "The Norms of Nature. Studies in Hellenistic Ethics". Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; Paris: Éd. de la Maison des sciences de l'Homme, 31-74.

Obbink, D. (1992). "«What All Men Believe – Must Be True»: Common Conceptions and *Consensio Omnium* in Aristotle and Hellenistic Philosophy". *OSAPh*, 10, 193-231.

Obbink, D. (2001). « Le livre I du *De natura deorum* de Cicéron et le *De pietate* de Philodème ». Auvray-Assayas, C.; Delattre, D. (éds). *Cicéron et Philodème : la polémique en philosophie*. Paris: Éd. Rue d'Ulm, 203-25.

O'Brien, D. (1982). « La taille et la forme des atomes dans les systèmes de Démocrite et d'Épicure. Préjugé et pré-supposé en histoire de la philosophie ». *RPhilos*, 172/2, 187-203.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093322?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093322?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

O'Brien, D. (2007). « Démocrite à l'Académie? ». Brancacci, A.; Morel, P.-M. (eds). *Democritus: Science, the Arts, and the Care of the Soul*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 239-63.

[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352\\_012.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352_012.xml)]

- Obstoj, A. (1989). *Seneca und Epikur. Untersuchungen zu Senecas Verhältnis zur epikureischen Philosophie*. PhD dissertation, Hannover.
- O'Keefe, T. (1997). "The Ontological Status of Sensible Qualities for Democritus and Epicurus". *AncPhil*, 17, 119-34.  
[\[https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134&pdfname=ancientphil\\_1997\\_0017\\_0001\\_0119\\_0134.pdf&file\\_type=pdf\]](https://www.pdcnet.org/scholarpdf/show?id=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134&pdfname=ancientphil_1997_0017_0001_0119_0134.pdf&file_type=pdf)
- O'Meara, D.J. (1999). "Epicurus Neoplatonicus". Erler, M.; Fuhrer, T.F.; Schlapbach, K. (Hrsgg). *Zur Rezeption der hellenistischen Philosophie in der Spätantike: Akten der 1. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 22-25 September 1997 in Trier*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 83-91.
- O'Meara, D.J. (2000). "Epikur bei Simplicios". Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30 September-3 Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 243-51.
- Osler, M.J. (1991). *Atoms, Pneuma, and Tranquillity: Epicurean and Stoic Themes in European Thought*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[\[http://services.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/general-science/history-science/atoms-pneuma-and-tranquillity-epicurean-and-stoic-themes-european-thought?format=HB&isbn=9780521400480\]](http://services.cambridge.org/us/academic/subjects/general-science/history-science/atoms-pneuma-and-tranquillity-epicurean-and-stoic-themes-european-thought?format=HB&isbn=9780521400480)
- Otón Sobrino, E. (1989). «Epicuro y Lucrecio en la polémica de Tertuliano y Lactancio». *Helmantica*, 40, 133-58.  
[\[https://summa.upsa.es/pdf.raw?query=id:0000003255&page=1&lang=es\]](https://summa.upsa.es/pdf.raw?query=id:0000003255&page=1&lang=es)
- Packer, M.N.P. (1938). *Cicero's Presentation of Epicurean Ethics. A Study Based Primarily on De finibus I and II*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Paganini, G. (1978). «Tra Epicuro e Stratone. Bayle e l'immagine di Epicuro dal Sei al Settecento». *RSF*, 33, 72-115.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020190?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020190?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Papantoniou, S.K. (1982). «Δόγματα περι θεοῦ Στωικῶν και Ἐπικουρείων». *Zenon*, 3, 69-82. Penwill, J. (1994). "Image, Ideology and Action in Cicero and Lucretius". *Ramus*, 23/1-2, 68-91.  
[\[https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ramus/article/image-ideology-and-action-in-cicero-and-lucretius/F89C79FCCA59482BF60B1039B29DA0C5\]](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ramus/article/image-ideology-and-action-in-cicero-and-lucretius/F89C79FCCA59482BF60B1039B29DA0C5)
- Perdicoyianni-Paléologue, H. (2004). "Interference and Interaction Between Aristotelianism and the School of Epicurus". *QUCC*, 76, 145-6.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/20546809?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/20546809?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Perelli, L. (1955). «Epicuro e la dottrina di Crizia sull'origine della religione». *RFIC*, 33, 29-56.
- Perilli, L. (1990-1993). «Empedocle, Platone ed il *clinamen* epicureo». *MCr*, 25-8, 281-9.
- Perilli, L. (1992). «La teoria del vortice in Anassimandro e Anassimene: la testimonianza di Epicuro». *WS*, 105, 5-18.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24748913.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/24748913.pdf)
- Pfligersdorffer, G. (1957). "Cicero über Epikurs Lehre vom Wesen der Götter (*Nat. deor.* I, 49)". *WS*, 70, 235-53.
- Philippon, R. (1940). "Des Akademikers Kritik der epikureischen Theologie im ersten Buche der Tuskulanen Ciceros". *SO*, 20, 21-44.  
[\[https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397674008590350\]](https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00397674008590350)

- Piano, C. (1976). «La moralità epicurea del *Satyricon*». *RAAN*, 60, 3-30.
- Piazzini, L. (2008). «Atomismo e polemica filosofica: Lucrezio e i Presocratici». Beretta, M.; Citti, F. (a cura di). *Lucrezio, la natura e la scienza*. Firenze: Olschki, 11-25.  
[<https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2478265>]
- Piergiacomi, E. (2016). “Conflicts of Atomisms. Some major differences between Democritus and Colotes”. *Elenchos*, 37/1-2, 149-80.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/elen/37/1-2/article-p147.xml>]
- Pitteloud, L. (2020). “Atomism in Plato’s *Timaeus*”. Zilioli, U. (ed.). *Atomism in Philosophy. A History from Antiquity to the Present*, Part. I: *Atomism in Ancient Philosophy*. New York: Bloomsbury Academic, 136-55.
- Pizzani, U. (1984). «Il problema della presenza lucreziana in Cicerone». *Ciceroniana*, 5, *Atti del V Colloquium Tullianum (Roma-Arpino, 2-4 ottobre 1982)*, 173-88.  
[<https://www.ojs.unito.it/index.php/COL/article/view/1194>]
- Pizzani, U. (1997). «Lucrezio, il panteismo, la zoogonia e... Pietro Gassendi». Sconocchia, S.; Toneatto, L.; Crismani, D.; Faraguna, M.; Pin, I. (a cura di). *Lingue tecniche del greco*
- Plangesis, Y. (1985-1988). “Theodoridis’ Interpretation of the Philosophy of Epicurus”. *Epistimoniki Epetirida tis Filosofikis Scholis tou Panepistimiou Thessalonikis*, 1/2, 225-41.
- Planinc, Z. (1987). “Marx on Epicurus. Much Ado About Nothing”. *Dionysius*, 11, 111-45.  
[<https://ojs.library.dal.ca/dionysius/article/view/6297>]
- Polito, R. (2006). “Matter, Medicine and the Mind: Asclepiades vs. Epicurus”. *OSAPh*, 30, 285-335.
- Porter-Packer M.N. (1941). “The Consistent Epicureanism of the First Book of the *Epistles* of Horace”. *TAPA*, 39-40.
- Poteat, H. McN. (1944-1945). “Epicurus, My Enemy”. *CW*, 38, 155.
- Puglia, E. (2015). «Empedocle e gli Epicurei: la testimonianza dei papiri ercolanesi». Capasso, M. (a cura di). *Cinque incontri sulla cultura classica*. Lecce: Pensa Multimedia, 71-81.
- Reesor, M.E. (1983). “Anaxagoras and Epicurus”. Anton, J.P.; Preus, A. (eds). *Essays in Ancient Greek Philosophy*, II. Albany: State University of New York Press, 93-106.  
[<https://orb.binghamton.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1236&context=sagp>]
- Reitzenstein, E. (1924). *Theophrast bei Epikur und Lukrez*. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Riley, M.T. (1980). “The Epicurean Criticism of Socrates”. *Phoenix*, 34, 55-68.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/1087758.pdf>]
- Roskam, G. (2005). “The Displeasing Secrets of the Epicurean Life. Plutarch’s Polemic Against Epicurus’ Political Philosophy”. Casanova, A. (a cura di). *Plutarco e l’età ellenistica. Atti del convegno internazionale di studi, Firenze, 23-24 settembre 2004*. Firenze: Università di Firenze, Dipartimento di scienze dell’antichità, 351-68.
- Roskam, G. (2006-2007). “Plutarch as a Source for Epicurean Philosophy. Another Aspect of his *Nachleben*”. *Ploutarchos*, 4, 67-81.  
[[https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1816522&context=L&vid=Lirias&search\\_scope=Lirias&tab=default\\_tab&lang=en\\_US&fromSitemap=1](https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1816522&context=L&vid=Lirias&search_scope=Lirias&tab=default_tab&lang=en_US&fromSitemap=1)]

- Roskam, G. (2017). "Considering Tit for Tat: The Programmatic Introduction to *Non Posse Suaviter Vivi Secundum Epicurum*". Sanz Morales, M.; González Delgado, R.; Librán Moreno, M.; Ureña Bracero, J. (eds). *La (inter)textualidad en Plutarco*. Universidad de Extremadura, 345-56.  
[[https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1817294&context=L&vid=Lirias&search\\_scope=Lirias&tab=default\\_tab&lang=en\\_US&fromSitemap=1](https://limo.libis.be/primo-explore/fulldisplay?docid=LIRIAS1817294&context=L&vid=Lirias&search_scope=Lirias&tab=default_tab&lang=en_US&fromSitemap=1)]
- Runia, D.T. (2018). "Epicurus and the *Placita*". Mansfeld, J.; Runia, D.T. (eds). *Aëtiana IV. Papers of the Melbourne Colloquium on Ancient Doxography*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 377-432.  
[<https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004361461/BP000014.xml>]
- Salem, J. (1997). *L'atomisme antique: Démocrite, Épicure, Lucrèce*. Paris: Librairie Générale Française.
- Scalas, G. (2019). « Entre *Peripatos* et *Kepos* ». *PhilosAnt*, 19, 85-115.  
[<https://journals.openedition.org/philosant/3119>]
- Scannapieco, R. (2006). « Polemiche antiepicuree nell'*Amatorius* di Plutarco e nell'*Euuboico* di Dione di Prusa ». AA.VV. *Aspetti del mondo classico: lettura ed interpretazione dei testi*. Seminari in collaborazione con l'A.I.C.C., sede di Salerno. Napoli: Arte Tipografica, 81-126.
- Schiesaro, A. (2015). "Seneca and Epicurus: the allure of the other". Bartsch, S.; Schiesaro, A. (eds). *The Cambridge Companion to Seneca*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press, 239-51.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-companion-to-seneca/seneca-and-epicurus/D4A696C517BD4BC6F1CE79B6BE540992>]
- Schildhauer, H. (1932). *Seneca und Epikur. Eine Studie zu ihrer Ethik und Weltanschauung*. Diss. Greifswald.
- Schmidt, J. (1990). *Lukrez, der Kepos und die Stoiker*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.  
[<https://www.peterlang.com/view/title/36953>]
- Schmid, W. (1936). *Epikurs Kritik der platonischen Elementenlehre*. Leipzig: Harrassowitz.
- Schmid, W. (1955). "Eine falsche Epikurdeutung Senecas und seine Praxis der erbauenden Lesung (Epic. *Gnom. Vat.* 60)". *Acme*, 8/2-3, 119-29.
- Schottlaender, R. (1955). "Epikureisches bei Seneca. Ein Ringen um den Sinn von Freude und Freundschaft". *Philologus*, 99, 133-48.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/phil/99/1-2/article-p133.xml>]
- Schweizer, E. (1957). "Die hellenistischen Komponente im neutestamentlichen σόρξ-Begriff". *ZNTW*, 48, 237-53.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/zntw/48/Jahresband/article-p237.xml?language=de>]
- Sedley, D.N. (1976). "Epicurus and the Mathematicians of Cyzicus". *CErc*, 6, 23-54.
- Sedley, D.N. (1977). "Diodorus Cronus and Hellenistic Philosophy". *PCPhS*, 23, 74-120.
- Sedley, D.N. (1992). "Sextus Empiricus and the Atomist Criteria of Truth". *Elenchos*, 13, 19-56.
- Sedley, D.N. (1998). "Theophrastus and Epicurean Physics". van Ophuijsen, J.M.; M. van Raalte, M. (eds). *Theophrastus: Reappraising the Sources*. New Brunswick-London: Rutgers University Studies in Classical Humanities, 331-54.
- Serio, A. (1993-1995). « Cicerone e la concezione erotica epicurea (*Tusc. disp.* IV 68-76) ». *AFLPer(class)*, 17, 133-70.

- Setaioli, A. (1997). «Séneca, Epicuro y Mecenas». Rodríguez-Pantoja, M. (ed.). *Séneca dos mil años después: actas del congreso internacional conmemorativo del bimilenario de su nacimiento, Córdoba, 24 a 27 de septiembre de 1996*. Córdoba: Ed. Universidad de Córdoba, 563-76.
- Sharples, R.W. (1991-1993). "Epicurus, Carneades, and the Atomic Swerve". *BICS*, 38, 174-90.  
[\[https://academic.oup.com/bics/article-abstract/38/1/174/5682313?redirectedFrom=fulltext\]](https://academic.oup.com/bics/article-abstract/38/1/174/5682313?redirectedFrom=fulltext)  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646740?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43646740?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Shaw, J.C. (2007). *Plato and Epicurus on pleasure, perception and value*. PhD dissertation, Washington University in St Louis.
- Shorey, P. (1901). "Plato, Lucretius, and Epicurus". *HSPH*, 12, 201-10.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310430.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/310430.pdf)
- Shulman, J. (1981). "Te Quoque Falle Tamen. Ovid's Anti-Lucretian Didactics". *CJ*, 76, 242-53.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3297326?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3297326?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Silvestre, M.L. (a cura di) (1985). *Democrito e Epicuro. Il senso di una polemica*. Napoli: Loffredo.
- Silvestre, M.L. (1990). *Democritea: I documenti da Epicuro ad Aezio*. Roma: Cadmo.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2249701\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/2249701)
- Silvestre, M.L. (1996). «Walter Burley: un testimone medioevale di Epicuro». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 449-69.
- Simpson, D. (1985). "Epicureanism in the Confessions of St. Augustine". *AugStud*, 16, 39-48.
- Solaro, G. (2001). «Tratti epicurei in Luciano». *AFLB*, 44, 157-60.
- Spaeth, J.W. Jr. (1942). "Persius on Epicurus. A Note on *Satires* III, 83-84". *TAPA*, 73, 119-22.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283542.pdf\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/283542.pdf)
- Spina, L. (1971). «Eudosso e i Ciziceni nei papiri ercolanesi». *CErc*, 1, 69-72.
- Spinelli, E. (1991). «Sesto, Epicuro e gli Epicurei». *SIFC*, 9, 219-29.
- Spinelli, E. (2015). «Sesto Empirico: contro il corpo, contro l'anima. L'uomo non può essere criterio». *Syzetesis*, 2, 7-14.  
[\[http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/1/4%20articolo%20Spinelli\\_2015\\_1.pdf\]](http://www.syzetesis.it/doc/rivista/archivio/2015/1/4%20articolo%20Spinelli_2015_1.pdf)
- Spinelli, E. (2015). «La traccia dell'anima: spunti critici di psicologia pirroniana». Canone, E. (a cura di). *Anima-corpo alla luce dell'etica. Antichi e moderni*. Firenze: Olschki, 97-116.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3163037\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/3163037)  
[\[http://www.iliesi.cnr.it/pandemia/testi/Spinelli%202015.pdf\]](http://www.iliesi.cnr.it/pandemia/testi/Spinelli%202015.pdf)
- Spinelli, E. (2016). «Senza teodicea: critiche epicuree e argomentazioni pirroniane». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *Questioni Epicuree*. Sankt Augustin: Academia Verlag, 213-34.
- Spinelli, E. (2020). «Un ginepraio scettico nel XXXIV libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro? Fra ipotesi esegetiche e polemica filosofica». Leone, G.; Masi, F.G.; Verde, F. (a cura di). *'Vedere' l'invisibile. Rileggendo il XXXIV libro Sulla natura di Epicuro (PHerc. 1431)*, VI Supplemento a *CErc*, Napoli, CISPE, 95-106.
- Sudhaus, S. (1893). "Aristoteles in der Beurtheilung des Epikur und Philodem". *RhM*, 48, 552-64.

- Szarmach, M. (1985). "Wie Maximus von Tyrus den Kynismus und den Epikureismus interpretiert". *Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Wilhelm-Pieck-Universität Rostock*, 34/1, 59-60.
- Takahata, T. (2001). "Ciceros Kritik an der epikureischen *Iustitia*-Lehre in *De finibus* und *De re publica*". *ClassStud*, 17, 85-111.  
[<https://repository.kulib.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/2433/68661/1/KJ00004263644.pdf>]
- Taylor, C.C.W. (2007). "Democritus and Lucretius on Death and Dying". Brancacci, A.; Morel, P.-M. (eds). *Democritus: Science, the Arts, and the Care of the Soul : Proceedings of the International Colloquium on Democritus, Paris, 18-20 September 2003*. Leiden: Brill, 77-86.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352\\_005.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789047409724/Bej.9789004151604.i-352_005.xml)]
- Tepedino Guerra, A; Torraca, L. (1996). «Etica e astronomia nella polemica epicurea contro i Ciziceni». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. I, 127-54.
- Tescari, O. (1953). «In interiore homine habitat veritas». *Latinitas*, 1, 276-8.
- Timpanaro, S. (1988). «Epicuro, Lucrezio e Leopardi». *CS*, 25, 359-402.
- Todd, R.B. (1982). "Infinite Body and Infinite Void. Epicurean Physics and Peripatetic Polemic". *LCM*, 7, 82-4.
- Tortorelli Ghidini, Marisa (1996). «L'ambigua presenza di Epicuro in Plotino». Giannantoni, G.; Gigante, M. (a cura di). *Epicureismo greco e romano: Atti del Congresso Internazionale, Napoli 19-23 maggio 1993*. Napoli: Bibliopolis, vol. II, 987-97.
- Trépanier, S. (2007). "The Didactic Plot of Lucretius, *De rerum natura*, and Its Empedoclean Model". Sorabji, R.; Sharples, R.W. (eds). *Greek and Roman Philosophy: 100 BC-200 AD*. London: Institute of Classical Studies, University of London, 243-82.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767830?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/43767830?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Tsouna, V. (2017). "Diogenes of Oinoanda and the Cyrenaics". Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d'Oinoanda. Épicurisme et controverses*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 143-64.  
[<https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978828>]
- Verde, F. (2013). *Elachista: La dottrina dei minimi nell'Epicureismo*. Leuven: Leuven University Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt9qdznp>]
- Verde, F. (2015). "Diodorus Cronus on Perceptible Minima". Zilioli, U. (ed.). *From the Socratics to the Socratic Schools: Classical Ethics, Metaphysics, Epistemology*. London-New York: Routledge, 134-48.  
[<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/e/9781315719467/chapters/10.4324/9781315719467-15>]
- Verde, F. (2015). «*Kepos* e *Peripatos* a partire dal II libro *Sulla natura* di Epicuro: la testimonianza di Macrobio». Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds). *Epicurus on Eidola. Peri Phuseos Book II. Update, Proposals, and Discussions*. Amsterdam: Hakkert, 151-70.
- Verde, F. (2015). «Testimonianze tardoantiche sulla fisica di Epicuro». De Sanctis, D.; Spinelli, E.; Tulli, M.;
- Verde, F. (2016). "Aristotle and the Garden". Falcon, A. (ed.). *Brill's Companion to the Reception of Aristotle in Antiquity*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 35-55.  
[[https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004315402/B9789004315402\\_004.xml](https://brill.com/view/book/edcoll/9789004315402/B9789004315402_004.xml)]

- Verde, F. (2016). «Percezione, errore e residuo percettivo in Aristotele, Epicuro e Alessandro di Afrodisia». *GCFI*, 97/1, 44-62.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4577831\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4577831)
- Verde, F. (2016). “Posidonius against Epicurus’ Method of Multiple Explanations?”. *Apeiron*, 49/4, 437-49.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/49/4/article-p437.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/apeiron/49/4/article-p437.xml)
- Verde, F. (2017). “Plato’s Demiurge (NF 155 = YF 200) and Aristotle’s Flux (fr. 5 Smith). Diogenes of Oinoanda on the History of Philosophy”. Hammerstaedt, J.; Morel, P.-M.; Güremen, R. (eds). *Diogenes of Oinoanda. Epicureanism and Philosophical Debates / Diogène d’Énoanda. Épicurisme et controverse*. Leuven: Leuven University Press, 67-88.  
[\[https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978825\]](https://muse.jhu.edu/chapter/1978825)
- Verde, F. (2018). “Alexis’ *The Achaean Woman* (PCG II, 31 K.-A.) and Epicurus’ Science of Nature”. *Historia Philosophica*, 16, 9-17.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4425607\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4425607)
- Verde, F. (2018). «L’empirismo di Teofrasto e la meteorologia epicurea». *RFN*, 90/4, 889-910.  
[\[https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-articolo\\_digital/francesco-verde/lempirismo-di-teofrasto-e-la-meteorologia-epicurea-001050\\_2018\\_0004\\_0889-349870.html\]](https://www.vitaepensiero.it/scheda-articolo_digital/francesco-verde/lempirismo-di-teofrasto-e-la-meteorologia-epicurea-001050_2018_0004_0889-349870.html)
- Verde, F. (2018), «Fenomeni fisici e spiegazioni multiple in Lucrezio e nell’*Aetna* pseudovirgiliano». *GCFI*, 99, 523-44.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4581160\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4581160)
- Verde, F. (2019). “Antiochus and the Epicureans on the Doctrinal Agreement between Plato and Aristotle”. *Bruniana & Campanelliana*, 25/2, 359-84.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4633138\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4633138)
- Verde, F. (2019). «Asclepiade tra Epicuro e Stratone di Lampsaco». *Technai*, 10, 45-67.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4638128\]](https://www.torrossa.com/en/resources/an/4638128)
- Verde, F. (2020). “Epicurean Meteorology, Lucretius, and the Aetna”. Hardie, P.R.; Prosperi, V.; Zucca, D. (eds). *Lucretius Poet and Philosopher*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 83-102.  
[\[https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-006.xml\]](https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110673487/10.1515/9783110673487-006.xml)
- Verde, F. (2020). «L’epistemologia di Epicuro e il *Teeteto* di Platone». *Historia Philosophica*, 18, 13- 44.  
[\[https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4727576\]](https://www.torrossa.com/it/resources/an/4727576)
- Vicol, C. (1945). «Cicerone espositore e critico dell’epicureismo». *Ephemeris Dacoromana*, 10, 155-347.
- Vlastos, G. (1966). “Zeno of Sidon as a Critic of Euclid”. Wallach, L. (ed.). *The Classical Tradition: Literary and Historical Studies in Honor of Harry Caplan*. Ithaca-New York, 148-59 (reprinted in 1995. *Studies in Greek Philosophy*, vol. II: *Socrates, Plato, and Their Tradition*, ed. by D. W. Graham. Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press, 315-24).
- Voelke, A.-J. (1982). «Droit de la nature et nature du droit. Calliclès, Épicure, Carnéade ». *RPhilos*, 267-75.  
[\[https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093328?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents\]](https://www.jstor.org/stable/41093328?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)
- Vogt, K.M. (2016). “All Sense-Perceptions Are True: Epicurean Responses to Skepticism and Relativism”. Blake, L.; Lezra, J. (eds). *Lucretius and Modernity: Epicurean Encounters Across Time and Disciplines*. Basingstoke-New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 145-59.  
[\[https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7\\_9\]](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-137-56657-7_9)

von Staden, H. (2000). "Body, Soul, and Nerves: Epicurus, Herophilus, Erasistratus, the Stoics, and Galen". Wright, J.P.; Potter, P. (eds). *Psyche and Soma: Physicians and Metaphysicians on the Mind-Body Problem from Antiquity to Enlightenment*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 79-116.  
[<https://global.oup.com/academic/product/psyche-and-soma-9780199256747?cc=gb&lang=en&#>]

Vox, O. (1993). «Crono epicureo (Luc. Sat. 7)». *Rudiae*, 5, 161-5.

Waerdt, P.A. van der (1989). "Colotes and the Epicurean Refutation of Skepticism". *GRBS*, 30, 225-67.  
[<https://grbs.library.duke.edu/article/view/4291>]

Walters, B. (2013). "Reading Death and the Sense in Lucan and Lucretius". Butler, S.; Purves, A. (eds). *Synaesthesia and the Ancient Senses*. Durham: Acumen, 115-25.

Warren, J. (2002). "Democritus, the Epicureans, Death, and Dying". *CQ*, 52/1, 193-206.  
[[https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/54491229BF315676BBC2A349E3596D11/S0009838802000101a.pdf/democritus\\_the\\_epicureans\\_death\\_and\\_dying1.pdf](https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/54491229BF315676BBC2A349E3596D11/S0009838802000101a.pdf/democritus_the_epicureans_death_and_dying1.pdf)]  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556451?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/3556451?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Warren, J. (2002). *Epicurus and Democritean Ethics: An Archaeology of Ataraxia*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/classical-studies/ancient-philosophy/epicurus-and-democritean-ethics-archaeology-iataraxiai?format=HB&isbn=9780521813693>]

Warren, J. (2013). "Epicureans and Cyrenaics on Pleasure as a *Pathos*". Marchand, S.; Verde, F. (éds). *Épicurisme et scepticisme*. Roma: Sapienza Università Editrice, 85-103.  
[<http://www.editricesapienza.it/en/node/7332>]

Westman, R. (1987). "Unbeachteter epikureischer Bericht bei Plutarch, *Qu. conviv.* 5,1". *Arctos*, 21, 195-201.  
[<https://journal.fi/arctos/article/view/87060>]

Wildberger, J. (2014). "The Epicurus Trope and the Construction of a «Letter Writer» in Seneca's *Epistulae Morales*". Wildberger, J.; Colish, M.L. (eds). *Seneca Philosophus*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter, 431-65.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110349863/10.1515/9783110349863.431.xml?rskey=nLFEqE&result=4>]

Wiśniewski, B. (1956). « Prodicus et Épicure ». *AC*, 25, 32-40.  
[[https://www.persee.fr/doc/antiq\\_0770-2817\\_1956\\_num\\_25\\_1\\_3279](https://www.persee.fr/doc/antiq_0770-2817_1956_num_25_1_3279)]

Wiśniewski B. (1990). « Épicure et les sophistes ». *Eos*, 78, 101-6.

Wolfsdorf, D. (2009). "Epicurus and the Cyrenaics on Katastematic and Kinetic Pleasures". Wolfsdorf, D. *Pleasure in Ancient Greek Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 144-81.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/pleasure-in-ancient-greek-philosophy/epicurus-and-the-cyrenaics-on-katastematic-and-kinetic-pleasures/7EDDE4B83C174452F38E09D29568003B>]

Yona, S. (2018). *Epicurean Ethics in Horace: The Psychology of Satire*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/oso/9780198786559.001.0001/oso-9780198786559?result=1&rskey=y5xj9z>]

Zacher, K.D. (1982). *Plutarchs Kritik an der Lustlehre Epikurs; ein Kommentar zu Non posse suaviter vivi secundum Epicurum, Kap. 1-8*. Königstein: Hain.

Zintzen, C. (2000). "Epikur in der Renaissance". Bees, R.; Erler, M. (Hrsgg). *Epikureismus in der späten Republik und der Kaiserzeit: Akten der 2. Tagung der Karl-und-Gertrud-Abel-Stiftung vom 30 September-3 Oktober 1998 in Würzburg*. Stuttgart: Steiner, 252-72.

## 6. The Hellenistic Debate on the Specific Topic of Science and Philosophy

Alesse, F.; Fermani, A.; Maso, S. (a cura di) (2017). *Studi su ellenismo e filosofia romana*. Roma: Ed. di Storia e Letteratura.

Algra, K.; Barnes, J.; Mansfeld, J.; Schofield, M. (eds) (1999). *The Cambridge History of Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-history-of-hellenistic-philosophy/73E597AACD9FF9CCE077C41A3E9548C7>]

Annas, J.E. (1992). *Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

[<https://www.ucpress.edu/book/9780520076594/hellenistic-philosophy-of-mind>]

Arenson, K. (ed.) (2020). *The Routledge Handbook of Hellenistic Philosophy*. New York: Routledge.

[<https://www.routledge.com/The-Routledge-Handbook-of-Hellenistic-Philosophy-1st-Edition/Arenson/p/book/9780815347910>]

Avotins, I. (1980). "Alexander of Aphrodisias on Vision in the Atomists". *CQ*, 30, 429-54.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/classical-quarterly/article/alexander-of-aphrodisias-on-vision-in-the-atomists/2F7B7FF261EFD157BB1894564C4BE4FF>]

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/638514?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/638514?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Barnes, J.; Brunschwig, J.; Burnyeat, M.; Schofield, M. (eds) (1982). *Science and Speculation: Studies in Hellenistic Theory and Practice*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Brunschwig, J.; Nussbaum, M.C. (eds) (1993). *Passions and Perceptions: Studies in Hellenistic Philosophy of Mind. Proceedings of the Fifth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/passions-and-perceptions/3506B2C97806AF053FD23F538D87C066>]

Conroy, D.P. (1976). *Epicurean Cosmology and Hellenistic Astronomical Arguments*. PhD dissertation, Princeton University.

De Lacy, E.A. (1938). "Meaning and Methodology in the Hellenistic Philosophy". *PhR*, XLVII, 390-409.

[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/2180996?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/2180996?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]

Frede, D.; Inwood, B. (éds) (2005). *Language and Learning: Philosophy of Language in the Hellenistic Age. Proceedings of the Ninth Symposium Hellenisticum*. Cambridge-New York: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/language-and-learning/00294B0A222474560AC9F7056C91344D>]

Frede, D.; Laks, A. (eds) (2002). *Traditions of Theology: Studies in Hellenistic Theology, Its Background and Aftermath*. Leiden: Brill.

[<https://brill.com/view/title/7249>]

Gill, C.J. (2006). *The Structured Self in Hellenistic and Roman Thought*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://oxford.universitypressscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198152682.001.0001/acprof-9780198152682>]

- Gill, C.J. (2008). "The Self and Hellenistic-Roman Philosophical Therapy". Arweiler, A.H.; Möller, M. (Hrsgg). *Vom Selbst-Verständnis in Antike und Neuzeit = Notions of the self in Antiquity and beyond*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter, 359-80.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/book/9783110214017/10.1515/9783110214017.359.xml>]
- Ierodiakonou, K. (2012). "The Notion of Enargeia in Hellenistic Philosophy". Morison, B.; Ierodiakonou, K. (eds). *Episteme, etc. Essays in Honour of Jonathan Barnes*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 60-73.  
[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199696482.001.0001/acprof-9780199696482-chapter-3>]
- Inwood, B.; Warren, J. (eds) (2020). *Body and Soul in Hellenistic Philosophy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.  
[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/body-and-soul-in-hellenistic-philosophy/1B09B743F24D53D4031DA4549CBF4CD2>]
- Isnardi Parente, M. (1977). *La filosofia dell'Ellenismo*. Torino: Loescher.
- Krämer, H.J. (1971). *Platonismus und hellenistische Philosophie*. Berlin-New York: de Gruyter.
- Levi, A. (1951). «Il concetto del tempo nelle filosofie dell'età ellenistica». *RSF*, 6, 209-16.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020849?seq=1#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/44020849?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Marković, D. (2010-2011). "Lucretius 3.978-1023 and the Hellenistic Philosophical Polemics Against the Grammarians". *ICS*, 35-6, 143-53.  
[[https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/illiclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata\\_info\\_tab\\_contents](https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.5406/illiclasstud.35-36.0143#metadata_info_tab_contents)]
- Masi, F.G.; Maso, S. (eds) (2013). *Fate, Chance, and Fortune in Ancient Thought*. Amsterdam: Hakkert.
- Messina, G. (1956). «L'uomo e la felicità nel pensiero ellenistico». *Civiltà Cattolica*, 107/2, 598-609.
- Mitsis, P. (2020). *Natura Aut Voluntas? Recherches sur la pensée politique et éthique hellénistique et romaine, et sur son influence postérieure*. Brepols: Turnhout.  
[[http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod\\_id=IS-9782503589459-1](http://www.brepols.net/Pages/ShowProduct.aspx?prod_id=IS-9782503589459-1)]
- Nádor, Gy. (1956). « Le fatalisme et la croyance aux miracles dans la philosophie hellénistique ». *AAntHung*, 4, 153-70.
- Nussbaum, M.C. (1994). *The Therapy of Desire: Theory and Practice in Hellenistic Ethics*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.  
[<https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt2tt8tt>]
- Prost, F. (2004). *Les théories hellénistiques de la douleur*. Louvain-Paris: Peeters.
- Ranocchia, G.; Helmig, C.; Horn, C. (Hrsgg) (2014). *Space in Hellenistic Philosophy. Critical Studies in Ancient Physics*. Berlin-Boston: de Gruyter.  
[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/title/496938>]
- Rashed, M. (2015). « Le "critère de vérité" (κριτήριον τῆς ἀληθείας) comme outil hellénistique de classification des systèmes philosophiques ». *Les Études Philosophiques*, 112/1, 65-82.  
[<https://www.cairn.info/revue-les-etudes-philosophiques-2015-1-page-65.htm#>]
- Robin, L. (1942). *La pensée hellénique des origines à Épicure. Questions de méthode, de critique et d'histoire*. Paris: Presses Universitaires de France.
- Shapiro, H.; Curley, E.M. (eds) (1965). *Hellenistic Philosophy. Selected Readings in Epicureanism, Stoicism, Skepticism and Neoplatonism*. New York: Modern Library.

Spinelli, E. (a cura di) (2015). *Razionalità e prassi. Modelli ellenistici e post-ellenistici*. Monographic section of *Iride*. Bologna: Il Mulino, 545-639.

Spinelli, E. (2016). «Epistemologie ellenistiche: il problema del criterio». Spinelli, E. (a cura di). *Storia della filosofia antica*, vol. 3: *L'età ellenistica*. Roma:Carocci, 169-96.

Striker, G. (1974). “Κριτήριον τῆς ἀληθείας”. *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen*, 2, 48-110 (reprinted in 1996. *Essays on Hellenistic Epistemology and Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 22-76).

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essays-on-hellenistic-epistemology-and-ethics/C1D113EBFEBCE35C28E12EEBFE7EFC6A>]

Striker, G. (1996). *Essays on Hellenistic Epistemology and Ethics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[<https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/essays-on-hellenistic-epistemology-and-ethics/C1D113EBFEBCE35C28E12EEBFE7EFC6A>]

Waggle, L.J. (2003). *Just Friends: Justice and Friendship in the Social Theories of Aristotle and Epicurus*. PhD dissertation, University of Kansas.

Warren, J. (2006). *Facing Death: Epicurus and His Critics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/0199252890.001.0001/acprof-9780199252893>]

White, M.J. (1983). “Time and Determinism in the Hellenistic Philosophical Schools”. *AGPh*, 65, 40-62.

[<https://www.degruyter.com/view/journals/agph/65/1/article-p40.xml>]